Case 1:16-cr-00338-PKC Document 148 Filed 04/19/17 Page 1 of 221

H3rdwal1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, New York, N.Y. S1 16 Cr. 0338(PKC) 4 V. 5 WILLIAM T. WALTERS, 6 Defendant. 7 -----x March 27, 2017 8 10:12 a.m. 9 Before: 10 HON. P. KEVIN CASTEL, 11 District Judge 12 **APPEARANCES** 13 JOON H. KIM Acting United States Attorney for the 14 Southern District of New York BY: DANIEL S. GOLDMAN BROOKE E. CUCINELLA 15 MICHAEL FERRARA 16 Assistant United States Attorneys 17 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL, LLP Attorneys for Defendant BY: BARRY H. BERKE 18 PAUL H. SCHOEMAN 19 ANDREW J. ESTES MICHELLE BEN-DAVID 20 -and-WRIGHT STANISH & WINCKLER 21 BY: RICHARD WRIGHT 22 - also present -23 SA Edmund Rom SA Nicholas Anderson, Federal Bureau of Investigation 24 Raymond McLeod, Defense Tech Support Holly Meister 25 Sarah Pyun, Government Paralegal Specialists

(Trial resumed; jury not present) 1 2 THE COURT: All right. Bring our jury in, please. 3 Good morning. 4 (Jury present) 5 THE COURT: Please be seated. 6 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. You are 7 impressive individuals and impressive as a group. You did what I asked. You're back safe and sound -- and on time -- on a 8 9 rainy Monday morning. It's not easy to do that, you know. 10 requires you thinking ahead of time: I've got to get up early, 11 I've got to set the alarm, I've got to get someplace where I 12 don't usually go. You know, you go to a different location. 13 I hope you had a productive weekend and you got your 14 to-do list done and maybe enjoyed yourself a little while. OK, 15 I didn't get my to-do list completely done, but I did check off a few items, so that's good, and checked in on everybody in the 16 17 family and things like that. So, it's great to see you, and I really am in awe of your service, your dedicated service. It's 18 19 so very impressive. 20 This is service, and it's not always easy, and you 21 should be very proud of yourselves. 22 JURORS: Thank you. 23 THE COURT: That's where we are. We are back in 24 action, ladies and gentlemen.

And, Mr. Davis, the Court reminds you that you are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

still under oath.

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

THOMAS C. DAVIS,

Resumed, and testified further as follows:

THE COURT: OK. Mr. Berke, you may continue.

MR. BERKE: Thank you, your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed)

BY MR. BERKE:

Q. Mr. Davis, do you recall --

THE COURT: One second. One second.

MR. BERKE: Of course, Judge.

THE COURT: That's a most reasonable request, and it's granted, even though I'm not the biggest fan of your team. that said, that said, I respect a fellow basketball fan, and that's most reasonable. So we are going to break about 10 or 15 minutes early this afternoon so that one of our jurors can get to the regional championship in Bridgeport. All right.

A JUROR: I'm not ratting out anybody.

THE COURT: No. Don't rat anybody out.

OK. Go ahead, Mr. Berke.

MR. BERKE: Thank you, Judge.

BY MR. BERKE:

- 23 Mr. Davis, do you recall, you testified on direct that you
- 24 would visit Las Vegas three or four times a year?
- 25 Yes. On average I think that's right.

And you said that you would infrequently see or speak to

- 2 Mr. Walters when you were in Las Vegas?
- 3 Α. Yes.

- 4 And do you recall, sir, you testified that you were there Q.
- 5 on April 9, 2010, to meet with Mr. Walters at a meeting at his
- 6 golf course where you said that you asked him for a loan? Do
- 7 you recall that testimony, sir?
- Yes. 8 Α.
- 9 And am I right, sir, that after you met with Mr. Walters to
- 10 explain your financial situation and ask for a loan, you went
- 11 directly to a casino, correct?
- 12 I went back to my hotel.
- 13 You were staying at a casino, is that correct, sir? Ο.
- 14 I believe I was staying at the Wynn Hotel. Α.
- 15 Q. Wynn Hotel and Casino, correct?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 And you proceeded to get a marker for a hundred thousand
- 18 dollars for gambling; isn't that true, sir?
- I don't recall. 19 Α.
- 20 Sir, let me show you what's been marked for identification
- 21 as Defense Exhibit 5372. And if we can first look at the first
- 22 page and then we're going to go to page 201. Well, actually --
- 23 page 201 for 4/9/2010.
- 24 And, sir, I ask you to look at the top line and page
- 25 of Defense Exhibit 5372 -- 5372. Do you see that, sir?

H3rdwal1

- 1 Α. The top line?
- 2 You can look at the top line going down for the dates
- 3 4/9/2010.
- Yes. 4 Α.
- 5 And, sir, does that refresh your memory that after meeting
- with Mr. Walters asking him for a loan, you went to the Wynn 6
- 7 Hotel and Casino and had a marker for a hundred thousand
- dollars? 8
- 9 That's not accurate, no.
- 10 You have a balance in your account when you were there on
- 11 4/9/2010 of a hundred thousand dollars, sir.
- I drew several different markers there. 12
- 13 And was the total amount, sir, a hundred thousand dollars?
- 14 I never drew a marker for a hundred thousand dollars, no. Α.
- You drew a marker for 20,000, correct? 15 Q.
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 You drew a second marker for 20,000, correct?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 You drew a third marker for 20,000, correct?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 You drew a fourth marker for 20,000, correct? Q.
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 And you drew a fifth marker for 20,000, correct? 0.
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 And you would agree with me, sir, five times 20,000 is a

H3rdwal1

- hundred thousand, correct? 1
- I would agree with that. 2 Yes. Α.
- 3 Q. Sir, do you recall testimony in both your direct and a
- little bit on Thursday that you would discuss with Mr. Walters, 4
- 5 and other people you knew outside of Dean Foods, what was in
- 6 analyst reports? Do you recall that testimony?
- 7 Α. Yes.
- 8 And that that was appropriate to do; do you recall that
- 9 testimony?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 I will take you back, sir. Do you recall that the time
- 12 period we're talking about, 2008 through 2013, you would
- 13 regularly be sent analyst reports to review by the Investor
- 14 Relations Department of Dean Foods?
- 15 A. Yes, that's accurate.
- Q. And let me show you what's been marked Government Exhibit 16
- 17 1945, for identification.
- 18 And, sir, does this reflect one of the email exchanges
- 19 with Barry Sievert of Investor Relations to you in May of 2013
- 20 regarding an analyst report that was sent?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I offer Government Exhibit
- 1945. 23
- 24 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 25 MS. CUCINELLA: One moment, your Honor.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

THE COURT: Yes.

2 (Pause)

> MS. CUCINELLA: Your Honor, we object. This email is hearsay for the reasons that we've discussed previously.

> MR. BERKE: And, your Honor, I'm offering it for this witness' state of mind.

MS. CUCINELLA: Given the time period here and for other reasons, that's irrelevant.

MR. BERKE: Your Honor, for this one, I am happy to withdraw the offer on this one.

THE COURT: All right.

- Sir, you would agree with me, sir, that you would often discuss with people at Dean Foods your reaction to various analyst reports, who you thought was good, who you didn't think was good, correct?
- 16 Yes. On occasion, yes.
 - And you would also discuss with folks, inside Dean Foods and outside, where you thought analyst report -- analyst reports were good or not so good, correct?
 - I don't recall -- I just don't recall that.
- 21 Q. Let me show you what's been marked for identification as 22 Defense Exhibit 4171.

And, sir, Roby Mize, that was a gentleman who was at Credit Suisse who handled your bank accounts, your trading accounts?

H3rdwal1

Α. Yes.

1

6

7

8

9

- And, sir, do you recall that Roby Mize is somebody who you 2
- 3 would discuss -- who you have discussed analyst reports and you
- 4 thought the comments were spot on? And if you need to look at
- 5 the email, at the bottom in the middle email.
 - If you need to go one lower, you can. It is an email chain.

(Pause)

Does that refresh your recollection, sir?

- 10 Α. Yes.
- And Roby Mize, again -- I'm sorry, Roby Mize, again, he's 11
- 12 outside of Dean Foods and it was OK to tell him what analyst
- 13 reports or comments you thought were spot on, correct?
- 14 Is that a question? Α.
- 15 Q. Yes.
- 16 Α. Is it OK?
- 17 There is nothing wrong with doing what you just said Q.
- 18 you did with Roby Mize, correct?
- I think the email speaks for itself. 19 Α.
- 20 It is not in evidence, sir. That is why I have to ask you.
- 21 Sharing your views that an analyst report is spot on
- 22 with someone outside Dean Foods like Roby Mize was entirely
- 23 appropriate, correct?
- 24 Α. Yes, I believe so.
- 25 And, sir, do you recall also that you were asked at

- times -- for example, do you recall being asked by Terry Quinn, 1
- of Kayne Anderson, to speak to his secured lending team in 2
- 3 Chicago about the milk industry, to share your perspective and
- 4 insights?

6

7

8

- I don't recall that, no. 5
 - Let me show you what's been marked for identification as Defense Exhibit 4662.
 - Let me show you the third email from the top, and this is just for you, sir.
- 10 (Pause)
- 11 If you would read from the bottom up to yourself.
- 12 This refreshes my memory, yes.
- 13 And Terry Quinn, he was a businessman who you knew,
- 14 correct?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- And he had -- and his company was Kayne Anderson Capital, 16
- 17 correct?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 And what he wanted you to do is essentially share your
- 20 expertise and knowledge about the dairy industry with his
- 21 secured lending team so they could learn more and make informed
- 22 decisions, correct?
- 23 I never ended up talking to him at all.
- 24 I understand, sir. But you said you would be happy to do
- 25 that because you did have expertise in the milk industry,

correct?

- 2 I wouldn't say I was an expert, no. Α.
- 3 You had expertise, sir, correct? 0.
- I don't know how to qualify that, but I think the email 4 Α.
- 5 speaks for itself.
- Again, sir, the email is not in evidence. That's why I'm 6
- 7 asking you.
- You understood that you were being asked to speak to 8
- 9 the secured lending team of Kayne Anderson Capital because your
- friend Terry Quinn thought you had expertise and a perspective 10
- 11 to share with his team, correct?
- 12 Terry Quinn must have assumed that, yes.
- 13 And you agreed that you would do it if they needed you to
- 14 do it, correct?
- 15 Α. I said I would be happy to do so, yes.
- And that's because he believed that you had 16 Right.
- 17 knowledge and experience based on your many years involved with
- Dean Foods to talk about the milk industry, correct? 18
- 19 I think that's what Terry Quinn assumed.
- 20 And you said you would be happy to speak to him, Yes.
- 21 correct?
- 22 Α. I certainly did.
- 23 And that's a fair -- and you assume Terry Quinn assumed
- 24 that because you knew how long you had been involved with Dean
- Foods; is that your understanding, sir? 25

H3rdwal1 Davis - cross

- 1 MS. CUCINELLA: Objection .
- I will rephrase, your Honor. 2 MR. BERKE:
- 3 THE COURT: Yes, please.
- 4 Sir -- and, again, there is nothing wrong with you sharing
- 5 your insights and observations or thoughts about the milk
- 6 industry with someone outside of Dean Foods based on your
- 7 experience, correct, sir? That's totally proper?
- So long as I didn't provide him inside information, it is 8
- 9 proper, yes.
- 10 Q. And Terry Quinn certainly thought when he was making the
- request, your understanding was that he was asking you to do 11
- 12 something that would be proper, correct?
- 13 I really don't know what his understanding was. Α.
- 14 Q. But when you told your friend Terry Quinn that you would be
- happy to speak to his group, you thought that was something 15
- totally appropriate to do, correct? 16
- 17 I merely answered his question. That's all I did.
- 18 Q. My only question, sir, is when you say you would be happy
- 19 to speak to his group, you didn't think you were agreeing to do
- 20 something that was wrong, did you, sir?
- 21 Α. No.
- 22 Sir, do you recall there was a point in time when you were
- 23 asked and you knew you were going to be announced to be
- 24 chairman of Dean Foods after the WhiteWave spin-off?
- 25 Yes. Α.

- 1 And do you recall there was a press release to that effect issued in May of 2013? 2
- 3 Yes. Α.
- 4 And you recall, sir, before that press release, you told a 0.
- 5 number of friends and associates of yours that you were going
- to be chairman of Dean Foods? 6
- 7 Yes, I probably did. Yes.
- Do you recall, for example, you told Bucky Lyons and some 8
- 9 other people who you knew?
- 10 I don't recall who I spoke to, no. Α.
- 11 Well, let me show you what's been marked for identification
- 12 as 4927.
- 13 My question to you, sir, is does 4927 refresh your
- recollection that in November of 2012 you told Mr. Lyons, 14
- 15 Mr. Bucky Lyons, that you were going to become chairman of Dean
- Foods the following year? 16
- 17 Α. Yes.
- And isn't it correct, sir, that you don't recall telling 18
- 19 Mr. Walters that you were going to become chairman of Dean
- 20 Foods until it was announced in 2013, correct?
- 21 I don't recall when I told Mr. Walters that.
- 22 Q. Well, do you recall telling the prosecutors in one of your
- 23 preparation sessions that you do not recall telling Mr. Walters
- 24 that you were asked to be the chairman of Dean Foods?
- 25 I think that's accurate, yes.

- Sir, let me show you what's been marked for identification 1 2 as 4695.
- 3 Is that an email exchange between you and Tim Byrne 4 regarding a company you were on the board of, WhiteHorse
- Financial? 5
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I would offer into evidence Defense Exhibit 4695. 8
- 9 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 10 MS. CUCINELLA: One moment, your Honor.
- 11 (Pause)
- 12 No objection.
- 13 THE COURT: Received.
- 14 (Defendant's Exhibit 4695 received in evidence)
- 15 MR. BERKE: Thank you. Mr. McLeod, publish the
- 16 document.
- BY MR. BERKE: 17
- 18 Q. Tim Byrne, you testified last week, is a good friend of
- 19 yours, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And WhiteHorse Financial, that was a company you were on
- 22 the board of, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Ο. And a public company, correct?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- 2 "Tim, take a look at 'WHF'. This stock is yielding Α.

And will you read your email to Mr. Byrne?

- 3 9-and-a-half percent and is trading at a slight discount to
- 4 book value (\$15.30 per share). The next record date for the
- 5 first quarter dividend is March 22. Interesting alternative
- 6 for some cash. Enjoyed dinner; good idea."
- 7 Q. And that was a perfectly appropriate email for you to send
- to Mr. Byrne, correct? 8
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 There was nothing about you being a director of WhiteHorse
- 11 that prevented you from sharing that sort of information with
- 12 Mr. Byrne, correct?
- 13 A. No, there wasn't.
- 14 Q. Let me show you another document marked Defense Exhibit
- 15 4663. And this is another email between you and Mr. Byrne
- dated December 9, 2014 with regard to another company, Alphatec 16
- 17 Spine?
- 18 My question, first, sir is what is it? Is that what
- it is? 19
- 20 Yes. I'm sorry. Yes. Α.
- 21 And it is dated December 9, 2014, correct? Q.
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Your Honor, I offer Defense Exhibit 4663. MR. BERKE:
- 24 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 25 No objection. MS. CUCINELLA:

- 1 THE COURT: Received.
- 2 (Defendant's Exhibit 4663 received in evidence)
- 3 MR. BERKE: Thank you.
- 4 BY MR. BERKE:
- 5 | Q. I first want to ask you about the second -- go to the third
- 6 email. I'm sorry. If you go down to the email at 6:24 a.m.,
- 7 do you see Mr. Byrne is asking you, on December 2014, "Where
- 8 are you?"
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And you said, "San Diego, back home tonight."
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And then he asked you, "Are you milking cow?" Do you see
- 13 | that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Did you understand that he's asking you about if you're
- 16 doing Dean Foods' business?
- 17 A. I have no idea what he was referring to.
- 18 Q. OK. All right. Let's go to the top email.
- 19 Now, Alphatec Spine, that is another company that you
- 20 were on the board of, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Also a public company, correct?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. It was a smaller company, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Davis cross
- Can you read what you wrote to your friend Tim Byrne? 1
- "New company that I've gone on the board of ... Alphatec 2 Α.
- 3 Spine ... ATEC. Very cool business controlled by my friends in
- 4 NYC at HealthPort Capital. Very small market cap. Lots of
- 5 upside. Let's have lunch Thursday if you're in town."
- 6 Again, sir, perfectly appropriate for you to share that
- 7 information as a director of Alphatec Spine with a friend of
- 8 yours who may be interested in investing, correct?
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 Sir, I want to talk about, do you recall that we talked --
- 11 you gave testimony last week that you had borrowed money from a
- 12 number of people or entities, one of which was Mr. Walters,
- 13 correct?
- 14 Yes. Α.
- And you had told Mr. Walters that you needed the money 15 Q.
- because a lot of your assets were illiquid at the time, 16
- 17 including in sports teams and stock, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- And you recall, sir, that you also had outstanding loans to 19
- 20 entities in which Bucky -- Buck and Bucky Lyons, father and
- son, were involved in as well? Do you remember that testimony, 21
- 22 sir?
- 23 That's not totally accurate.
- 24 Well, you owed money -- you had a loan that you borrowed
- 25 from an entity in which they were involved, correct?

- 1 They were not both involved, no.
- 2 Well, one or two -- one of them -- which Lyons were Q.
- 3 involved, sir?
- Bucky Lyon and I were partners. 4 Α.
- 5 OK. And you had a loan from that entity in which you were
- partners with Bucky Lyons, correct? 6
- 7 Yes, that's correct.
- 8 And you also had monies you owed in another corporation
- 9 related to the aircraft you talked about, correct?
- 10 Yes, that's correct. Α.
- 11 Was that Buck Lyons or Bucky Lyons in that?
- 12 They were both partners of mine in the aircraft, yes.
- 13 Just as -- and you had discussions with them as well about 0.
- 14 your attempts to pay back those loans and some of the
- 15 challenges you faced because your assets were illiquid,
- 16 correct?
- 17 Yes. Α.
- 18 Q. And all those discussions you had with either Buck Lyons,
- 19 Bucky Lyons or both, those were totally appropriate, correct?
- 20 Yes, I believe so. Yes.
- 21 And, sir, you'll agree with me that when a director sells
- 22 or buys stock in a company, that can sometimes send a message
- 23 to investors, is that correct?
- 24 Α. Yes. Yes, sometimes it does. Yes.
- 25 Let me show you what's been marked for identification as

4158.

- Sir, my question is is this an email between you and 2
- 3 Gregg Tanner, who would become CEO of Dean Foods in June of
- 2013? 4
- 5 A. Yes, it is.
- MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I would offer into evidence 6 7 Defense Exhibit 4158.
- 8 MS. CUCINELLA: No objection.
- 9 THE COURT: Received.
- 10 (Defendant's Exhibit 4158 received in evidence)
- 11 BY MR. BERKE:
- 12 Q. Sir, will you read -- we can first go to the bottom. Will
- 13 you read that, your email to Mr. Tanner?
- 14 A. "Gregg, FYI: I exercised some expiring options and sold
- 15 some stock today. I haven't lost the faith, just wanted some
- liquidity. 16
- 17 Q. And Mr. Tanner responds: "I heard a rumor to that effect,
- but I appreciate you letting me know." 18
- 19 Again, you didn't want Mr. Tanner to draw the wrong
- 20 message from your sales, correct?
- 21 A. Yes, I think that was my intent, yes. I wanted to disclose
- 22 I sold some stock.
- 23 Q. Right. But that it was for reasons having nothing to do
- 24 with whether you thought it was a good time to sell the stock
- 25 based on your opinion about the future performance of the

H3rdwal1

- company, correct?
- I had some options that were going to expire. 2
- 3 recollection was they were going to expire in the next 30 days
- so I needed to do something with them. 4
- 5 Q. Right. But you were saying the timing of your sale had
- 6 nothing to do with any concern you may have had that the stock
- 7 price would fall in the future, corrects?
- I don't -- I don't agree with that, no. 8
- 9 Sir, when you say in your email "I haven't lost the faith,"
- 10 you are saying you haven't lost the faith in Dean Foods,
- 11 correct?
- 12 Yes, that's accurate, yes.
- 13 Q. You still believed Dean Foods will perform well; that's not
- 14 the reason you sold your stock, correct?
- I didn't say anything about performance at all. 15 Α.
- Sir, when you say "I haven't lost the faith in Dean Foods, 16
- 17 "what you meant is you haven't lost the faith that Dean Foods
- 18 is still a good investment, correct?
- That's correct. 19 Α.
- 20 Sir, do you recall, also, that when you would talk to your
- 21 broker Roby Mize at Credit Suisse about selling, you often
- 22 tried to sell at a time when you thought the price would be at
- 23 a good price point so you could maximize the amount of money
- 24 you would get from selling your Dean Foods stock?
- 25 I'm sure I had that kind of conversation with my broker,

yes.

- And you recall that there were multiple conversations and 2
- 3 emails where you would debate whether the price was a good time
- 4 for you to sell or whether you should wait until it gets to a
- 5 higher point, isn't that correct?
- 6 I don't recall the series of emails, but I'm sure I
- 7 discussed my trades with him.
- Q. Right. And wanting to get the best price at the best time 8
- 9 for your stock that you would sell, correct?
- 10 I think I've answered the question. I don't recall the
- 11 specifics, but I certainly used his advice, yes.
- Again, just to be clear, the advice is to try to sell your 12
- 13 stock at the best time to get the highest price so you would go
- 14 get the most money for your stock, correct?
- 15 A. Yes. I think that's accurate, yes.
- And you recall, sir, that when you owed debts to people, 16
- you would frequently talk about what you might be doing to try 17
- to pay the debt, including selling your Dean Foods stock; is 18
- that fair, sir? 19
- 20 Yes, I think that's accurate.
- 21 Q. For example, let me show you what's been marked as
- 22 Government Exhibit 1719, for identification.
- And -- well, actually, we can take that down for a 23
- 24 second.
- 25 Let me ask you, sir, for example, do you recall

- that -- do you recall that in June of 2011, you had a debt that 1 2 you owed to the Cosmopolitan Hotel and Casino?
- 3 Yes. Α.
- 4 And you recall they were trying to collect on that debt? Q.
- 5 Yes, I recall. Α.
- 6 And you recall telling them that you are going to sell
- 7 stock but you didn't want to do it at a time when you might
- have to take a loss on the stock, but that you had a plan to 8
- 9 sell your stock to pay off the marker you owed Cosmopolitan?
- 10 Yes. I think that's accurate, yes.
- 11 And you recall, sir -- and you recall, sir, having similar
- 12 conversations with Buck or Bucky Lyons about the timing of when
- 13 you may pay down their loans based on when you thought it was a
- 14 good time to sell Dean Foods stock?
- Yes. I think that's accurate as well. 15 Α.
- Let me show you, sir, what's been marked for identification 16
- 17 as Defense Exhibit 4933.
- 18 Is this an email between you and Bucky Lyons, sir, on
- July 2012 -- July 20, 2012? And first I am just asking you if 19
- 20 you could identify what it is, sir?
- 21 Α. It is an email.
- Your Honor, I would offer Defense Exhibit 22 MR. BERKE:
- 23 4933 into evidence.
- 24 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 25 MS. CUCINELLA: May I just have one moment, your

1 Honor?

3

4

5

6

7

8

2 THE COURT: Yep.

(Pause)

MS. CUCINELLA: No objection.

THE COURT: Received.

(Defendant's Exhibit 4933 received in evidence)

BY MR. BERKE:

- Q. Sir, again, that's July 20, 2012, from you to Mr. Lyons,
- 9 | correct?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Would you read what you wrote?
- 12 | A. I'm sorry?
- 13 | Q. Would you read what you wrote to Mr. Lyons.
- 14 A. "Bucky, still working on selling some assets to pay off the
- 15 | loan. At a minimum, it looks like I will be able to sell some
- 16 stock in August after the blackout period is over for me. That
- 17 | will enable me to pay down the loan in August.
- 18 "I will be in New York City Monday and Tuesday, so
- 19 | maybe we can visit Wednesday. I should know about my asset
- 20 sale idea by next week as well.
- 21 "Hope that works for you."
- 22 | Q. Sir, do you recall that in July of 2012, the stock price of
- 23 Dean Foods was very low because of issues in the commodity
- 24 | markets?
- 25 A. I don't recall that specifically.

- 1 Do you recall something significant happening in early
- 2 August of 2012?
- 3 Yes. Α.
- 4 What was that, sir? Q.
- 5 Α. When they announced the spin-off.
- The WhiteWave spin-off, correct? 6 0.
- 7 Yes, that's correct. Α.
- 8 And you sold your stock in August after that announcement,
- 9 correct?
- 10 I don't recall exactly when I sold my stock.
- 11 Well, let me show you some documents.
- 12 Let me show you what's been marked as Defense -- let
- 13 me show you -- actually, if we can go to the same exhibit on
- 14 the first page. We are again in Defense Exhibit 4933. Let's
- 15 go to the top. A little bit lower. It is going to be on the
- 16 first page, second email from the bottom.
- 17 Will you read that, sir?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 What you wrote to Mr. Lyon? Q.
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Read it out loud, sir. Q.
- 22 Α. "Looks like I will be able to sell some stock after the
- 23 August 8 earnings announcement in order to pay down -- in order
- 24 to make a pay down. I am working on an asset sale which would
- 25 allow me to may off the note by the end of August.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

Davis - cross

clearly would be my preference." 1

> Did I miss something or did the witness THE COURT: read this already?

MR. BERKE: No. I read an earlier one saying that was his hope --

THE COURT: All right.

He wasn't going to sell in July. MR. BERKE:

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. BERKE: Thank you, Judge.

BY MR. BERKE:

Q. And now can I show you what's been marked for identification as Defense Exhibit 4053.

Actually, on that, after the August 8th announcement, that's referring -- you knew, sir, of course, that was after the announcement of the WhiteWave spin-off, correct?

- I referred to the earnings announcement, yes.
- But that also was after the WhiteWave spin-off, correct? Ο.
- 18 It happened to coincide with our earnings announcement, 19 yes.
- 20 Q. And you knew, of course, the stock price of Dean Foods went 21 up significantly after that announcement, correct?
 - I'm not a predictor of the market.
- 23 My only question, as a matter of fact, after the
- 24 August 7th announcement, the market went up significantly,
- 25 correct?

H3rdwal1

- 1 A. Yes, it did.
- 2 | Q. Now, sir, let me show you what's been marked for
- 3 | identification as Defense Exhibit 4053.
- And, again, is this an email in August 8th between you
- 5 | and Mr. Bucky Lyon?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I would offer into evidence
- 8 Defense Exhibit 4053.
- 9 | THE COURT: Any objection?
- 10 MS. CUCINELLA: No objection.
- 11 THE COURT: Received.
- 12 (Defendant's Exhibit 4053 received in evidence)
- 13 BY MR. BERKE:
- 14 | Q. If we can go to the bottom first. We are going to read up.
- 15 Do you see at 7:23 a.m. on August 8th, Mr. Lyon says:
- 16 | "TD: I saw the Dean/WhiteWave news -- I hope it's all good.
- 17 | Bucky."
- 18 And, sir, could you read your response?
- 19 A. I said, "It certainly is."
- 20 | Q. And Mr. Lyons responds, "Fantastic."
- 21 Again, that's what we are talking about. That's after
- 22 | the announcement of the WhiteWave spin-off and the stock price
- 23 went up very high, correct?
- 24 A. Yes. Yes, sir.
- 25 | Q. And you recall, sir, that throughout September, following

H3rdwal1

that, you continued to have discussions with Mr. Lyons about paying off the debt that you owed?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And let me show you what's been marked as Defense Exhibit 4048.

And, sir, this is an email exchange from you -between you and Mr. Lyon on October 17, 2012, isn't that correct?

A. Yes. It looks like it, yes.

MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I would offer into evidence Defense Exhibit 4048.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MS. CUCINELLA: Just one moment, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. CUCINELLA: I apologize.

(Pause)

Are you offering the entire email chain just so I can tell what you are --

MR. BERKE: I was offering emails on the first two I don't need to go beyond that. I would just offer the first two pages.

(Pause)

MS. CUCINELLA: Your Honor, there is one line in here with respect to the analyst reports that we believe is hearsay in the middle of the first page and is subject to your Honor's

```
1
      earlier --
 2
               THE COURT: Show it to Mr. Berke.
 3
               (Pause)
 4
               MR. BERKE: Your Honor, if I could just have a moment?
5
     We are happy to redact the one sentence.
6
               THE COURT: Yes.
 7
               (Pause)
               MR. BERKE: Mr. McLeod, would you put on the screen,
8
9
      the first two pages with that redaction.
10
               THE COURT: All right. Now, this is Defense Exhibit
      4048.
11
12
               I want to remind counsel that it is their obligation,
13
      each side's obligation, to keep track of their own exhibits.
14
      So, in this trial it is incumbent on your team, Mr. Berke, to
15
      note that only the first two pages of 4048 were received and
      they were received with the redaction so that when we get to
16
17
      the end of the trial and the exhibits go into the jury room,
18
      the proper exhibit goes in the jury room.
19
               That's for both sides. You will have to keep track of
20
      that. It is not sometimes as easy as just an exhibit number.
21
               MR. BERKE: We will, your Honor. Thank you.
22
               (Defendant's Exhibit 4048, first two pages with
23
      redaction, received in evidence)
```

So let me -- I would like to begin, sir, if we may, on the

24

25

BY MR. BERKE:

- second page. And you see, sir, where you -- sir, this is from 1 2 you to Mr. Lyon at 11:33 a.m. on the 17th, correct?
 - Yes. Α.

- 4 And would you read, sir, the sentence that you write Q.
- beginning in the middle of that email, "I have an idea." 5
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 "I have an idea that might make sense for all of us.
- I will sell some Dean stock before year end to provide 100K of 8
- 9 proceeds, and Buck will loan me the remaining amount on the
- 10 same loan schedule as you and I have agreed to and pay off the
- 11 CSSF loan before year end."
- 12 Q. And you can stop there.
- 13 Now if we can go to the email above it.
- 14 And you recall, sir, you were talking -- this is where
- 15 Mr. -- where Bucky Lyons is referring to potentially using the
- Periscope proceeds to pay off part of the loan as well; do you 16
- 17 see that, sir?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 That's the same Periscope that we talked about in
- 20 connection with Mr. Walters' loans, correct?
- 21 Yes, it is. Α.
- 22 Now, if we can go to the email above that, please.
- 23 Now, it says -- you say to Bucky Lyons: "Hope you
- 24 made a lot of money on your investment."
- 25 Do you see that, sir?

- Α. Yes.

- 2 Do you recall why you said that to Mr. Lyon on
- October 17th? 3
- 4 I think he told me that he owned some Dean Foods stock
- 5 after this transaction was announced. That's my recollection.
- 6 Q. And it was announced on -- the WhiteWave IPO was announced
- 7 on October 17, correct?
- 8 Α. No.
- 9 I'm sorry. When was it announced, sir? Ο.
- 10 August the 7th. Α.
- 11 0. August 7?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 Q. Excuse me. That's when the IPO was announced, correct,
- 14 sir?
- 15 That's when the spin-off and the plans to do an IPO were
- 16 announced, yes.
- 17 Q. Sir, on October 17th, that was the announcement of the IPO
- price, correct? 18
- A. No. I think that's incorrect. 19
- 20 Q. Do you recall there was an announcement about the IPO that
- 21 provided details about the IPO on October 17th?
- 22 A. The IPO wasn't priced on October the 17th. That's -- my
- recollection is different. 23
- 24 Q. What do you recall happening on October 17th with regard to
- 25 the IPO?

- 1 I'm sorry. I didn't hear the question.
- What do you recall happening on October 17th with regard to 2 Q.
- 3 the IPO?
- 4 Α. I don't recall --
- Well, sir --5 0.
- 6 -- what happened on October 17.
- 7 We are going to come back to that timeline, but let's stick with this email for now. 8
 - Do you see where it says, sir, "Hope you made a lot of money on your investment"?
- 11 A. Yes.

9

- 12 And you are referring to the investment in Dean Foods in
- 13 connection with the stock price going up with regard to the --
- 14 because of the WhiteWave spin-off, correct?
- 15 A. I think that's what I was referring to. I'm not a hundred
- percent positive, but given the timing, I think I learned that 16
- 17 Bucky owned some stock and I think that's what I was referring
- 18 to, yes.
- 19 Q. OK. And if we could go to the email above that.
- 20 Do you see Mr. Lyon said to you: "Made some.
- 21 hoping to buy more today. I hope it goes to 25."
- 22 Do you see that, sir?
- 23 A. Yes.
- He is saying he hopes the stock price is going to go to 25, 24
- 25 do you recall?

- Α. Yes?
- Does that refresh your memory, sir, that there was an 2
- 3 announcement around this time related to the pricing of the
- 4 IPO?

- 5 A. I don't recall precisely when the announcement was made on
- the pricing of the IPO. 6
- 7 Q. OK. Let me show you your response. And would you read it,

8 sir.

9

10

(Pause)

What did you write to Mr. Lyon, sir?

- 11 "My guess is that it will be \$20 by the end of the road
- 12 show next week."
- 13 Q. Again, when you say \$20, you are talking about the price of
- 14 Dean Foods stock, correct?
- 15 A. Yes, I think so. Yes.
- When you say "end of the road show," that was the road show 16
- 17 with regard to the WhiteWave spin-off and IPO, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Again, as you said earlier, all of this was entirely 19
- 20 appropriate, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Now, sir, I want to ask you about some testimony on direct
- 23 about the frequency with which you spoke to Mr. Walters.
- 24 You spoke to Mr. Walters a lot, I believe you
- 25 testified, correct?

H3rdwal1

Α. Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Would you be surprised, sir -- would you be -- well, 2 3 withdrawn.

Do you think between 2008 and -- I'm sorry, January -withdrawn.

Do you think, sir, that between January 2008 and December 2012, you would have spoken to Mr. Walters or texted with him more than 430 times?

- I have no idea how many times I texted or spoke to him.
- Well, sir, would you think that on average you spoke to
- 11 Mr. Walters during that entire time period an average of
- 12 one-and-a-half to two times per week?
- 13 What is the question? Α.
- 14 Q. Would you believe, sir, that during the time period of
- January 8th through December 2012, you spoke to Mr. Walters on 15
- average between one-and-a-half times to two times every week? 16
- A. I don't -- I don't have my phone records here. I have no 17
- 18 idea how many times I spoke to him. I think my testimony is
- 19 accurate. I spoke to him a lot.
- 20 Right? Ο.
- 21 Α. Period.
- 22 You spoke to him about business transactions, correct? Q.
- 23 As well as other things, yes. Α.
- 24 You spoke to him about your professional life, correct? Ο.
- 25 I spoke to him about a lot of things. I've already Α.

- testified to that.
- You spoke to him about investment banking, correct, sir? 2
- 3 I don't recall ever talking about investment banking. I
- 4 was out of the investment banking business.
- 5 Q. You were, sir, but do you recall telling prosecutors when
- 6 you were being interviewed that Mr. Walters was curious about
- 7 investment banking and as an investment banker you were in a
- position to answer many of his questions? 8
- 9 I don't recall that specifically, no.
- 10 Let me show you what's been marked as 3501-7. I will show
- 11 you the first page first.
- 12 And now let me show you page 2, paragraph 2.
- 13 (Pause)
- 14 Sir, would you read -- that is not the right
- 15 paragraph.
- 16 Sir, would you read the second sentence of what is
- 17 shown up there to yourself.
- 18 (Pause)
- My question, sir: Does that refresh your memory that 19
- 20 you told the prosecutors at a meeting in April of 2016 --
- 21 excuse me -- February of 2016, that Mr. Walters was curious
- 22 about investment banking and as an investment banker you were
- 23 in a position to answer many of his questions?
- 24 That refreshes my memory, yes.
- 25 (Continued on next page)

WAL2 Davis - cross

- 1 Q. Do you recall, sir, that you also discussed with
- 2 Mr. Walters many of your mutual friends?
- 3 A. Can you repeat?
- 4 | Q. Do you recall, sir, that you also during the time period
- 5 | we're talking about, 2008 to the end of 2012, you also
- 6 discussed with Mr. Walters your many mutual friends?
- 7 A. I -- don't know who you're referring to, but we had some
- 8 mutual friends, yes.
- 9 Q. Do you recall, sir, that you discussed mutual friends,
- 10 | that's one of the things you talked about in your many phone
- 11 | calls? Do you recall that sir?
- 12 A. I don't recall that specifically, no.
- 13 | Q. For example, do you recall you would occasionally talk
- 14 | about Bill Sexton? Do you recall that, sir?
- 15 | A. Yes, I'm sure we did.
- 16 | Q. There was a time you had ill health, you would talk about
- 17 | that over an extensive period of time.
- 18 A. Yes, that's accurate.
- 19 | Q. There were other people who you both know who you might
- 20 | talk about health or things in their lives. Do you recall
- 21 | that, sir?
- 22 | A. I don't recall who else we would talk about.
- 23 | Q. Without going through a long list, sir, you don't dispute
- 24 | you had other mutual friends who you would discuss on the phone
- 25 or in text, correct?

- Davis cross
- The only mutual friend I can recall is Bill Saxon. 1
- 2 Well, sir, do you recall, sir, you talked about stocks Q.
- together? 3
- I'm sorry? 4 Α.
- 5 Do you recall you talked about stocks together?
- Yes, occasionally we did. 6 Α.
- 7 You talked about investment opportunities together,
- 8 correct?
- 9 Yes, we did. Α.
- 10 You talked about gambling together, correct? Q.
- 11 We talked about some sports ideas, yes.
- 12 You talked about -- right, you talked about gambling and
- 13 sports as well, sometimes even separate from gambling, correct?
- 14 Yes. Α.
- You also were both very avid golfers and belonged at 15
- 16 various points in time to the same club, correct?
- 17 I'm sorry? Α.
- 18 You were both avid golfers, correct?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 There was a point in time when you belonged to the same
- 21 club, right?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 You talked about golf together as well, correct? 0.
- 24 Α. Yes, we did.
- 25 There were a variety of topics you would talk about, having Q.

L2 Davis - cross

- 1 | nothing to do with Dean Foods, correct?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. I believe you testified on direct, sir, that in late 2015,
- 4 | before Thanksgiving, you had a surgical procedure. Do you
- 5 | recall that, sir?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Am I right you had a blocked artery and you had surgery to
- 8 repair the blocked carotid artery, correct?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Sir, do you recall that was a few days before Thanksgiving,
- 11 you had that surgery on November 20, 2015?
- 12 A. It was the week before Thanksgiving. I don't recall what
- day I went in the hospital, but it was the week before
- 14 Thanksgiving.
- 15 | THE COURT: In 2015?
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, it was.
- 17 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 18 | Q. Do you recall, sir, that within a week of the surgery, you
- 19 | were in good enough health to continue sports gambling with
- 20 your friends in the amounts of thousands of dollar? Do you
- 21 | recall that, sir?
- 22 A. I don't recall specifically, no.
- 23 | Q. Let me show you what's been marked for identification as
- 24 Defense Exhibit 4786. Please read that to yourself, sir.
- 25 My question to you, sir, does that refresh your memory

5

6

7

8

9

10

Davis - cross

- by November 25, you're betting a couple dimes to -- a couple of 1 thousands of dollars with your friend Tim Byrne on football 2 3 games?
 - Α. That's not what this e-mail says.

THE COURT: No. The question you're being asked is whether looking at the e-mail refreshes your recollection on the subject.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Yes, it does refresh my memory, yes.

- 11 So my question is, sir, did you talk with on November 25,
- 12 2015 -- again, your memory -- about betting a couple dimes on
- 13 football games?
- 14 Did I talk to him? Α.
- Did you e-mail with him about that? 15 Q.
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 Do you recall, sir, that a few weeks after this, you
- 18 reached out to the Wynn Hotel and Casino to request lodgings
- for the Super Bowl and that you be allowed to go and bring your 19
- 20 friends to the big Super Bowl party they had at the Wynn?
- 21 Do you recall doing that within weeks of this surgical 22 procedure you had?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 You were going with the usual group of four that you go to
- 25 Vegas with, correct?

- Yes, I think that's right. 1
- You recall in December that you had asked the Wynn that you 2 Q.
- 3 wanted to stay there for the Super Bowl, and you needed four
- 4 tickets for their big Super Bowl party, correct?
- Yes, I think that's accurate. 5
- 6 You did go there in early February, February 6, 7, 2016,
- 7 correct?
- 8 I think that's accurate, yes.
- 9 That's before you began meeting with the prosecutors,
- 10 correct?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 You recall while you were there, sir, you did in fact go to
- 13 the Super Bowl party. Do you recall that, sir?
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 Q. You recall, sir, that you would sit at the casino tables
- for many hours and gamble as well when you weren't watching the 16
- 17 Super Bowl or going to the party? Do you recall that, sir?
- 18 A. No.
- Let me show you what's been marked as Defense Exhibit 4372. 19
- 20 Directing your attention to page 294, the middle of the page.
- 21 4372. I'd ask you to look at the dates and review it to
- 22 yourself.
- 23 My question to you, sir, is does that refresh your
- 24 memory when you were in Las Vegas for the Super Bowl and the
- 25 party, first week of February 2016, that in addition to doing

- all of that, you gambled during your stay at the tables at the 1 2 hotel and casino?
- 3 A. I did gamble at the table and I thinks that record 4 indicates --
 - THE COURT: No.
- 6 Α. Yes.

5

7

8

23

- Do you recall, sir, before that Vegas trip in January, you went on a trip to the Bahamas with friends to celebrate a
- 9 friend's birthday? Do you recall that, sir in January 2016?
- 10 Α. Yes, I do recall.
- 11 You recall, sir, you gave testimony last week that the day 12 you signed your cooperation agreement, you made plans to go to
- 13 Las Vegas, and shortly thereafter you went to Las Vegas with
- 14 friends? Do you recall that?
- Yes, I was invited to go for my birthday. 15 Α.
- Do you recall, sir, that when you were in Vegas just after 16
- 17 your meetings with the prosecutors on May 19 and the 20th, you
- 18 in fact gambled all the way to 3 in the morning on some of
- 19 those days? Do you recall that, sir?
- 20 I don't recall how late I gambled, no.
- 21 Let me show you again, sir, what's been marked for
- 22 identification -- let me show you a different document, rather.
- 24 as Defense Exhibit 5372. I'm going to show you page 294 and
- 25 ask if that refreshes your recollection the same week you were

Let me show you what's been marked for identification

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

meeting with the prosecutors and signed your cooperation agreement, you were gambling from 6 at night to 3 in the morning at the Wynn Hotel and Casino.

- Yes, I recall this. Α.
- Q. Sir, talking about -- that was right after the series of meetings that you testified about that led to your cooperation agreement in May of 2016, correct?
- A. Yes.

MR. BERKE: Your Honor, if I may, let me show the witness what has been marked as Defense Exhibit 5 for identification.

Your Honor, may I have one moment with Ms. Cucinella?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BERKE: Thank you.

Your Honor, if I may as to Defense Exhibit 5, we have a stipulation with the government that this document reflects the dates that Mr. Davis had meetings with the government in connection with this case.

Excuse me.

To rephrase, your Honor, the agreement is this document accurately reflects that there were meetings with the government on the dates in the exhibit.

THE COURT: All right. Is that so stipulated?

MS. CUCINELLA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So this is a stipulation of

fact, ladies and gentlemen. And you must accept the fact as proven. The weight, if any, that you give to the fact is entirely up to you to decide. Go ahead.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ BERKE: If we can enlarge the first half, ending with the May 11.

- Q. Sir, you see at the top it says "Davis meetings with the government"?
- A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

- Q. You see that there are various dates which you met with the government and numbers next to them, numbers 1 through 13?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall, sir, that you met with the government on 13 different days prior to signing your cooperation agreement on
- 15 | A. Yes.

May 11, 2016?

16 Q. If we can show the second half of that document.

Do you recall, sir, that following your meeting, your meetings with the prosecutors prior to signing the cooperation agreement, you had at least an additional 16 meetings that are numbered 14 through 29 with the government as well prior to the start of this trial -- or prior to your testimony?

- A. Yes.
- MR. BERKE: We can take that down for now and come back to it.
 - Q. Sir, you testified last week that prior to meeting with the

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Davis - cross

- prosecutors, you hired those new lawyers you talked about in 1 2 New York to help you try to get a deal. Do you recall that, 3 sir?
 - I didn't hear the entire question. Α.
 - Q. Do you recall you testified last week, sir, that when you decided to try to get a deal, you hired new lawyers in New York to try to help you in those efforts?

THE COURT: Try to avoid repetition in your cross-examination, Mr. Berke. Otherwise I'll sustain objections as cumulative.

MR. BERKE: Yes, your Honor.

Q. My question to you, sir, let me ask you a different question.

Do you recall, sir, that the new lawyers you hired met with the prosecution before you met with them to talk about your upcoming meetings that are reflected in the chart? Do you recall that, sir?

- A. Yes, I think they did.
- Do you recall, sir, that on your behalf, your lawyers that you retained had told the prosecution team that they wanted to have sufficient time with you to help make sure that the sessions you had with them went off without a hitch? Do you recall that, sir?
- I wasn't present for those discussions so I can't -- I don't have a recollection of what my lawyers talked to the

4

- prosecutors about.
- Did they report back to you something to that effect, that 2 3 they were talking to them about your upcoming sessions?
- - MS. CUCINELLA: Objection.
- 5 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 6 Do you recall, sir, prior to your first meeting, that you 7 had many meetings with your own lawyers before you started
- meeting with the prosecutors? Do you recall that, sir? 8
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 And then, sir, do you recall you started on the series of
- 11 meetings that are reflected in Defense Exhibit 5? Do you
- 12 recall that?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 Do you recall, sir, that often between these meetings you
- would also meet with your lawyers? Do you recall that, sir? 15
- 16 Repeat the question? I'm sorry.
- 17 Do you recall, sir, that between the meetings we were
- 18 talking about you would often meet with your lawyers between
- 19 those meetings?
- 20 Between some of the meetings I did, yes, that's accurate.
- 21 Do you recall, sir, that at times the prosecutors would
- 22 identify documents either at the prior session or between
- 23 sessions for you and your lawyers? Do you recall that, sir?
- 24 Identify documents? Α.
- 25 Documents that they would like you to review. Q.

- Α. Yes.

- Do you recall, sir, that they at times had you come in on 2
- 3 the weekends if they wanted to meet with you before you had
- your deal? Do you recall that, sir? 4
- 5 A. I don't recall which days I met with them on. But I met
- with them as your exhibit shows. 6
- 7 Q. Sir, when you were meeting with them, they would show you
- 8 documents, correct?
- 9 Yes. Α.
- 10 Do you recall, sir, that on direct you testified that you
- 11 were asked, Mr. Davis, what did you do during these meetings
- 12 and talking about the debriefings, you would say they provided
- 13 me a lot of information that included board minutes, credit
- card records, travel records, bank statements. 14
- 15 Do you recall that, sir?
- 16 Yes. Α.
- 17 Those were some of the records that you reviewed, correct? Ο.
- 18 That's correct. Α.
- You also reviewed phone records, which you didn't mention 19
- in your answer, but you did review phone records, correct? 20
- 21 A limited amount of phone records, yes. Α.
- 22 Whatever phone records they wanted to show you, right?
- 23 was the prosecution who showed you phone records?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 You understood that your own lawyers had phone records as

- well, correct? 1
- 2 Yes, they did. Α.
- 3 You understood they had your phone records, correct?
- Yes. 4 Α.
- 5 And you knew they had Mr. Walters' phone records from back
- when they did that SEC presentation that you testified about, 6
- 7 do you recall that?
- 8 They had some of his records, yes.
- 9 Do you recall, sir, that on your testimony on the 21st you
- 10 were asked this question and gave this answer: Does reviewing
- 11 the board meeting minutes help you put things in place in time?
- 12 And you answered: It did. I mean, I don't think anybody can
- 13 remember exactly what happened in a board meeting eight years
- 14 ago, but I've been asked to do so.
- Do you recall being asked those questions, sir? 15
- 16 Yes. Α.
- 17 And you'll agree with me, sir, that the telephone records
- 18 that you reviewed were simply records of the time of a call,
- 19 but not the substance of the call, correct?
- 20 Yes, I think that's accurate.
- 21 You didn't have any text messages. That wasn't available
- 22 for you to review or see between you and Mr. Walters, correct?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 You recall, sir, that your lawyers also had Mr. Walters'
- 25 trading records so they knew when and what amounts he traded in

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Dean Foods stock? Do you recall that? 1
- They had some of his trading records, yes. 2 Α.
 - You recall they discussed that with you as well before and in between these meetings we're talking about. Do you recall that sir?
 - MS. CUCINELLA: Objection.
 - THE COURT: Basis?
 - MS. CUCINELLA: Privileged conversations. The nature of the conversation, what they actually discussed, as opposed to the fact of the discussion.
- 11 MR. BERKE: I can rephrase it, your Honor.
- 12 My question, sir, is in meetings with your lawyers, did you 13 review -- just if you reviewed it, yes or no -- did you review
- 14 the trading records or the information in the trading records
- with your lawyers? 15
- I was shown some of the trading records, yes. 16
- 17 You recall, sir, that at many of these meetings at the end
- 18 of the session you would have with the prosecutors and the FBI,
- they said we're going to stop talking about this topic and 19
- 20 we're going to talk about it again at a later session.
- 21 Do you recall that, sir, that that happened quite 22 regularly in these sessions?
- 23 Α. I don't recall that.
- 24 Let me show you what's been marked as Exhibit 3501-7.
- 25 First I'll show you the date, sir. I'm going to show you page

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Davis - cross

two to three. The bottom of page two and the top of page three, and I'd ask you to review it to yourself, just the last sentence on page and two the first two lines on page three.

My question, to you, sir -- have you had a chance to read that?

No. Give me a second. Α.

All right, I've read it.

- Does that refresh your memory that during these proffer sessions, the prosecution would, to certain topics, say we're going to stop talking about that topic and we'll talk about it at a future date. Do you recall that?
- 12 Α. Yes.
 - Do you recall that between the meetings at times the Ο. prosecution would give documents or other materials to your lawyers for you to look at before that meeting? Do you recall that?
 - A. Yes, I think that's accurate, yes.
 - Q. You recall, sir, that during this meeting, there came a point -- during this series of meetings before you had an agreement with the prosecutors -- let me ask you this question.

You understood, sir, that you before you had a cooperation agreement, you signed something call a proffer agreement? Do you recall that, sir?

- Α. Yes.
 - The proffer agreement provided some limitations on what the

- prosecution could do with your statements if they didn't agree to give you a cooperation agreement, is that right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Is it right, sir, that for every one of the meetings, the
- 5 | 13 meetings before your cooperation agreement, you either
- 6 | signed a new proffer agreement or initialed an existing one?
- 7 | Is that true, sir?
- 8 A. Yes, that's accurate.
- 9 Q. You understood that although there was some limitations,
- 10 | that once you started meeting with the prosecution, they could
- 11 | make certain uses of your statements that you made, for example
- 12 about Shelter Golf. Isn't that true, sir?
- 13 A. Can you repeat the question? I'm not sure I understand.
- 14 | Q. You understood, sir, if the prosecution decided not to give
- 15 you a cooperation agreement, or you decided to stop meeting
- 16 with them, there were some uses they could make of some of the
- 17 | information you gave them, is that correct, sir?
- 18 | A. I don't -- I don't recall exactly the terms of the proffer
- 19 | agreement. No. I don't recall that.
- 20 | Q. But as a general matter you recall, sir, that there was
- 21 some uses that they could make of that information?
- 22 | A. I'm going to have to give you the same answer. I don't
- 23 | recall the exact terms of the proffer agreement. But my lawyer
- 24 | said it was in good shape and I could sign it.
- 25 | Q. Do you recall, sir, that during these sessions, there came

- a point in time when the prosecution played for you phone calls 1
- 2 that had been recorded pursuant to a wiretap that the
- 3 prosecution had used on Mr. Walters' phone? Do you recall
- 4 that, sir?
- 5 Yes. Α.
- And they played calls that were between you and him after 6
- 7 articles that appeared in the paper about the investigation
- 8 that you testified about last week. Do you recall that, sir?
- 9 Yes. Α.
- 10 And you recall that, sir, they played you these
- 11 conversations that you had with Mr. Walters as part of your
- 12 review of information, correct?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 Am I right, sir, that the prosecution didn't tell you how
- 15 many calls had been recorded of you, correct?
- 16 That's correct. Α.
- 17 Did they tell you when the calls were recorded?
- 18 Α. No.
- 19 Do you recall, sir, that prior to them actually playing
- 20 calls for you, whether or not they had told you in earlier
- 21 sessions that they had recorded calls with you on them?
- 22 Can you repeat the question?
- 23 Had they told you, sir, in earlier sessions, of the 13
- 24 sessions we're talking about, that they had calls that were
- 25 recorded with your statements on them?

- I don't recall that. Α.
- Do you recall knowing that prior to the time they played it 2 Q.
- 3 for you and your counsel?
- Knowing that what? 4 Α.
- 5 There were calls that were recorded between you and
- Mr. Walters. 6
- 7 I don't recall them telling me that, I just don't know.
- Am I correct, sir, that other than these recorded calls 8
- 9 that you are aware of, when you talk about referring phone --
- 10 to phone records during these sessions or refreshing your
- 11 memory, there is no record of the substance of any of your
- 12 calls that you had with Mr. Walters? Isn't that correct?
- 13 I don't know what the government has. They didn't share
- 14 everything they had with me as far as the investigation's
- 15 concerned, so I really can't tell you that I have any knowledge
- about what the government has here. 16
- 17 I'm asking you a different guestion, sir.
- 18 Α. Okay.
- 19 You testified that at various points you were shown
- 20 documents and materials to try to refresh your memory, correct?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 You testified, among other things, you were shown phone
- 23 records, correct?
- 24 Α. Some, yes.
- 25 The phone records were simply the date and time of a call

2

7

20

21

22

23

24

25

and the length of the call, correct?

- That's what I saw, yes. Α.
- 3 Other than these recorded calls that we just talked about,
- 4 there was nothing in any of the materials shown to you that
- 5 gave any indication of what was discussed on those calls,
- 6 correct?
 - There was no materials shown to me, that's correct.
- Sir, you recall, do you recall, sir, that on the eve --8 9 withdrawn.

10 Do you recall, sir, that the month prior to this 11 trial, you, sir, were interested in learning what would be 12 disclosed to Mr. Walters about your prior statements during the

- 13 13 sessions that we reviewed? Do you recall that, sir?
- 14 A. I may have asked that question. I don't recall
- 15 specifically, but I may have asked that question, yes.
- Q. Let me show you, sir, what's been marked for identification 16
- as DX 5428. I'm asking you just to review it to yourself and 17
- 18 I'll ask you a question -- it is not in evidence -- about the
- 19 paragraph on the bottom.

My question to you, sir, simply does that refresh your recollection that last month you had asked the prosecutors to tell you what was going to be in the prior -- the records of your prior meetings that were going to be turned over?

Do you recall that, sir?

Can you -- I'm sorry. Can you ask the question again? Ι

- want to make sure I understand it. 1
- Just so you know, I'm asking you specifically if it 2 Q.
- 3 refreshes your recollection, the paragraph in the beginning, in
- 4 the middle of this top paragraph beginning with the word "he."
- 5 And my question is, sir, does that refresh your recollection
- 6 that last month, you asked the prosecutors in this case to tell
- 7 you what would be in the reports of your prior meetings that
- were going to be turned over to Mr. Walters? 8
- 9 This is an e-mail --Α.
- 10 Don't talk about the document, sir. My only question is
- 11 does that refresh your memory about what you did?
- 12 No. It does not refresh my memory.
- 13 Sir, you recall during the direct, your direct testimony at Ο.
- 14 various points Ms. Cucinella asked you if you remembered
- 15 certain meetings or discussions with Mr. Walters? Do you
- recall that? 16
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. You recall, sir, that on March 21, last week, you were 18
- asked specifically what you discussed with Mr. Walters at the 19
- 20 April 9, 2010 meeting in Las Vegas where you had asked him for
- 21 the loan. Do you recall that, sir?
- 22 Α. Yes, I do.
- 23 Sir, do you recall you being asked these questions and
- 24 giving this answer: Do you recall what about Dean Foods you
- 25 discussed at this meeting? I was -- we talked about the

- outlook for the year generally. And what was the outlook for 1 2 the year? It was cloudy. It was not clear. The commodity 3 environment was not all that good. And do you recall if you 4 discussed anything else at this meeting about Dean Foods? I 5 don't recall discussing anything else at that meeting about
 - My question, sir, do you recall being asked those questions and giving those answers on the 21st?
 - Α. Yes.

6

7

8

9

18

20

25

Dean Foods.

- 10 Do you recall, sir, that after you were giving that answer, 11 you were asked to review an exhibit? Do you recall that, sir?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 Q. Do you recall the exhibit were board minutes that took 14 place but had nothing to do with your -- withdrawn.
- 15 Do you recall that the exhibit was board minutes of Dean Foods? 16
- 17 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. You recall, sir, after reviewing those board minutes, you 19 were asked does that refresh your memory of what you were -- of what was going on at that time. Do you recall that, sir?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 Q. You said it does, about the WhiteWave spinoff. Do you 23 recall that, sir?
- 24 Α. Yes.
 - There was nothing in the board minutes that would address

5

7

8

9

14

Davis - cross

- 1 what you said to Mr. Walters when you met with him in Las Vegas 2 and you testified about earlier in your testimony, was there, 3 sir?
 - MS. CUCINELLA: Objection.
 - Rephrase your question. THE COURT:
- Of course, your Honor. 6 MR. BERKE:
 - Q. You'll agree with me, sir, that there was no record of what you discussed with Mr. Walters at your meeting in Las Vegas, correct?
- 10 Just my vivid memory of it, yes.
- 11 Your vivid memory of what you testified about before the 12 board minutes in which you said what you talked about was that 13 the outlook for the year was cloudy. That was your vivid
- 15 Α. Yes.
- 16 And then you looked at the board minutes, correct, sir? 0.
- 17 Α. Yes.
- 18 And the board wasn't talking about your meeting with

memory that you gave sworn testimony to, correct?

- 19 Mr. Walters, was it?
- 20 MS. CUCINELLA: Objection. Your Honor, may we have a 21 sidebar on this?
- 22 THE COURT: No. Go ahead. But sustained as to form.
- 23 Sir, that process where you had a memory of something and 24 then you would review board minutes or something at Dean Foods,
- 25 that was similar to the process that you did at the 29 meetings

- you had before and after you signed your cooperation agreement. 1
- 2 Is that fair, sir?
- 3 I think it was similar, yes.
- 4 Sir, do you recall you testified there was a time you pled Q.
- 5 quilty?
- I'm sorry? 6 Α.
- 7 Do you recall there was -- you testified there was a time
- 8 you pled guilty to a variety of crimes? Do you recall that,
- 9 sir?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 Do you recall, sir, with regard to providing material
- 12 non-public information, that what had you said when the judge
- 13 asked you to discuss what -- to say in your own words what
- 14 you're pleading guilty to, you said that it was for providing
- information from, quote, about 2008 to 2013. Do you recall 15
- 16 that, sir?
- 17 A. What's the time frame again? I don't -- I'm sorry, I don't
- 18 follow your question.
- THE COURT: And it is sustained as to form. 19
- 20 Sir, do you recall that when you made a sworn statement
- 21 about this case in court, you had said that you had at that
- 22 time provided information to Mr. Walters from about 2008 to
- 2013? 23
- 24 I don't recall exactly what the time frame was.
- 25 Let me show you what's been marked for identification as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3501-1. I'll show you the first page and then page 20. No, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I'll let them get organized. Let's take our midmorning break. Please do not discuss the case among yourselves or with anyone. We'll be back in action in 10 minutes. Thank you.

(Jury excused)

THE COURT: See you in 10 minutes.

(Recess)

MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I want to mention one thing to you.

(Jury present)

THE COURT: We're missing one juror. So we'll just patiently wait for a moment.

Take your time. Don't rush.

Go ahead, Mr. Berke.

MR. BERKE: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. BERKE:

Q. Let me show you what's been marked for identification as 3501-2. This is just for you, sir. I just want to show you what it is. Go to the second page. If we go to page 20.

My question to you is whether this refreshes your recollection that when you came to court and swore to the conduct as part of your quilty plea, you said that it was from 2008 to 2013 that you claimed you provided material non-public

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

Davis - cross

information related to Dean Foods to Mr. Walters. 1

MS. CUCINELLA: Objection, your Honor. The question was specific to the charges in the indictment.

THE COURT: And I don't think the question that was asked has a basis in what I'm looking at, so rephrase your question.

Do you recall, sir, that when you were asked -- actually, we can take that down.

Do you recall being asked questions about the cooperation agreement dated GX 1750? Do you recall that, sir? A. Yes.

MR. BERKE: If we can publish that on the screen, Mr. McLeod. If we can first go to the second paragraph on the first page.

- Q. Do you recall, sir, that the agreement references information being provided from 2008 through in or about 2014? Do you see that, sir?
- 18 A. Yes.
 - Now if I can show you page two of the agreement. recall, sir, that we talked about last week that under the agreement, that you would, if you follow the agreement, you would get certain benefits? Do you recall that, sir?
- 23 A. Yes.
 - Let me show you on page three the last paragraph. sir, you see this was -- withdrawn.

You see, sir, where it says if you fully complied with 1 the understandings specified in this agreement, you will not be 2 3 further prosecuted criminally by this office for any crimes

related to your participation in -- do you see that, sir?

Α. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

- And on (a) for what you're getting protection from, you see it is the commission of and the conspiracy to commit securities fraud from in or about 2008 through in or about with 2014? you see that, sir?
- Α. Yes.
- 11 That was your understanding -- withdrawn.

Do you recall, sir, that throughout your direct testimony, you were asked about various quarters between 2008 through 2013 involving Dean Foods securities, correct?

- 15 Α. Yes.
- Sir, with regard to an equity offering announced on 16 February 28, 2008, do you recall testifying that you told 17 Mr. Walters about the equity offering and that you thought it 18 would be a positive thing for the company? Do you recall that, 19 20 sir?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 So that was a lie, wasn't it?
- 23 Α. No.
- 24 Isn't it a fact, sir, that you knew the equity offering 25 would cause the stock price of Dean Foods to go down?

H3R3WAL2

- 1 that true, sir?
- 2 No. Α.
- 3 Well, you recall, sir, that you were shown Government
- 4 Exhibit 422 which is the Dean Foods board meeting with regard
- 5 to the equity offering? Do you recall that, sir?
- A. Yes. 6
- 7 I want to show you what's been marked for identification as
- DX 471. And sir, do you see -- well, let me show you first in 8
- 9 addition to 471 can I show you what's been marked for
- 10 identification as well as Defense Exhibit 468.
- 11 Sir, do you see 468 is a e-mail from Steve Kemps to
- 12 you and other directors with regard to a Dean Foods board call?
- 13 Do you see that, sir?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Dated 2/26. And sir, do you recall and you see, sir, that
- it refers to attached file agenda and others? 16
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And it says summary proposed initiatives. Do you see that,
- sir? 19
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 And now we can look at Defense Exhibit 471. You see, sir,
- 22 that's summary proposed initiatives?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 You see the Bates stamp on the upper-right-hand corner?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- MR. BERKE: Your Honor, we'd offer Defense Exhibit 468 1 and 471 into evidence. 2
- 3 MS. CUCINELLA: No objection.
- 4 THE COURT: Received.
- (Defendant's Exhibit 468, 471 received in evidence) 5
- MR. BERKE: Mr. McLeod, if we can first show 468. 6
- 7 Sir, that's the e-mail from Steve Kemps, the general
- 8 counsel, on February 26 to you and other board members,
- 9 correct?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 You see it says "Subject Dean Foods board call 2/27/08"?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 0. Then it shows the attachment, correct?
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 Q. It says "Attached are the materials for Wednesday's call."
- 16 Yes, I see that. Α.
- 17 Let's go to Defense Exhibit 471. You see at the top it
- 18 says "Summary proposed initiatives to provide additional
- 19 balance sheet flexibility." You see that, sir?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And you see it refers to the equity -- the issue of equity
- 22 that we were just talking about, issuing equity. You see that,
- 23 sir?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 You see, sir that it's proposing -- just to pause for a

- 1 second.
- You understand, sir, when you're issuing equity, it's 2
- 3 Dean Foods releasing more stock to the public, correct?
- It's selling more stock, yes. 4 Α.
- 5 They're talking about the 400 million net equity -- excuse
- Net public equity issuance. Do you see that, sir? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- 8 They announced they're anticipating announcing transaction
- 9 after market close on March 3, 2008. You see that, sir?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You see where it says discount to last trade expected to be
- 12 approximately 7 to 9 percent with final pricing dependent upon
- 13 market conditions? You see that, sir?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Now I'd like you to go to the second page. You see where 15 Q.
- that refers to a modest dilution? Do you see that, sir? 16
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. You understand dilution is diluting or reducing the value
- of a stock, correct? 19
- 20 A. It means there's going to be more shares outstanding.
- 21 That's what it means.
- 22 Q. Which is going to reduce the value of the existing shares,
- 23 correct?
- 24 Α. That's not accurate. No.
- 25 Well, sir, why don't you look -- you see the chart.

- where it talks about on the bottom the 2008 projected and it 1 2 says accretion or dilution? You see that, sir?
- 3 Yes. Α.
- You see where it says, sir, it's projecting dilution? 4 Q.
- 5 see that, sir?
- 6 Α. Yes.
- 7 You see on the bottom it gives a percentage of how much it
- thinks it's going to go down. The bottom row, minus 8
- 9 1.3 percent, minus 4.3 percent.
- 10 That's not referring to the stock price.
- 11 Sir, let me show you if we can go to the portion that
- 12 refers to the assumptions. You see where it refers to key
- 13 assumptions on the bottom there, sir?
- 14 A. Yes, I do.
- 15 Q. If we can enlarge that, please. You see, sir, where it
- says "key assumptions" and then it says a 6.8 percent discount 16
- 17 to the February 15 closing price of 24.75 to 2306 you see that,
- 18 sir?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 The assumption that this is reflecting is that the issuance
- 21 of more stock is going to cause the existing stock to be
- 22 discounted or fall in value by 6.8 percent after the
- 23 announcement. Isn't that what that means, sir?
- 24 That's not correct. Α. No.
- 25 You dispute that that key assumption in this document is

- referring to a discount for the February 15 closing price? 1
- 2 Can you repeat your question? Α.
- 3 Q. Yes. You're disputing, sir, that when it says 6.8 percent
- 4 discount to the February 15 closing price, that that refers to
- 5 a 6.8 percent reduction of the price as of February 15 as one
- of the key assumptions? 6
- 7 Are you disputing that, sir?
- I'm disputing the fact that it would make the stock go 8
- 9 down. What it's referring to is how the stock got priced in
- 10 the offering. Not the aftermarket in the stock.
- 11 Sir, you'll agree with me this portion says "key
- 12 assumptions, " correct?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 And then the assumption is it is going to be issuing more
- 15 stock to pay down the debt, correct?
- Yes, it does, all of which I thought was positive. 16
- 17 And you recall, sir, that it's referring to some sort of
- 18 discount -- take it a step at a time -- to the price of the
- 19 stock as it was on February 15. Do you recall that, sir?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Your testimony is that you're saying that the new stock
- 22 that's being released is going to be released at a price less
- 23 than 24.75. Is that your testimony, sir?
- 24 It was priced below the offering price, yes, that's
- 25 correct.

- It's your testimony, sir, that you did not think that's 1
- going to have any -- if that's your interpretation, your 2
- 3 testimony is you did not think that would have any impact on
- 4 the price of Dean Foods stock after the announcement. Is that
- your testimony, sir? 5
- No. It's not my testimony. 6
- 7 Q. You knew, sir, that the price of Dean Foods stock was going
- to fall immediately after this announcement, didn't you, sir? 8
- 9 Because people who are the shareholders who held stock were
- 10 going to have their shares diluted. Isn't that true, sir?
- 11 That's not what I thought at the time, no.
- 12 Your testimony is that's not what that means, that the
- 13 document does not mean a 6.8 percent discount. Is that
- 14 correct, sir?
- 15 MS. CUCINELLA: Objection.
- 16 THE COURT: Basis?
- 17 MS. CUCINELLA: Asked and answered over and over.
- THE COURT: Sustained. 18
- 19 Sir, you go to the big chart. You see again where it's
- 20 projecting what's going -- you understood earnings per share is
- 21 EPS, right?
- 22 A. Yes, it is.
- 23 Where it says dilution, and again, your testimony is that
- 24 doesn't involve diluting the value of the current shares, is
- 25 that your testimony, as to that EPS?

H3R3WAL2 Davis - cross

- 1 MS. CUCINELLA: Objection. Asked and answered.
- Different question. 2 MR. BERKE:
- 3 THE COURT: Overruled.
 - Question again, please? Α.
- 5 Q. Is it your testimony where it says dilution, it has 6 negative percentages projected, that that doesn't involve 7 reducing the value of Dean Foods stock? Is that your
- 8 testimony?

4

- A. It's my testimony that does not refer to the value of the 9 10 stock, yes, that's my testimony.
- Isn't it a fact, sir, that when the equity -- when the 11 12 equity offering was announced in March, it had the exact impact
- 13 that the board was told it would have, and Dean Foods' stock
- 14 price fell 6.4 percent just as was listed on the key
- 15 assumptions? Isn't that true, sir?
- MS. CUCINELLA: Objection. Assumes facts not in 16 17 evidence.
- 18 THE COURT: Rephrase it.
- Sir, you know that when this equity offering was announced 19 20 in March, the stock price of Dean Foods fell 6.4 percent,
- 21 didn't it?
- 22 Yes. To my surprise it did go down, yes.
- 23 Q. You're not -- if we can leave that up there.
- 24 Sir, you're not disputing that you saw these
- 25 materials, correct?

- 1 Α. No.
- That's referring to the 6.8 percent dilution? 2 Q.
- 3 I saw these materials, yes. Α.
- 4 When you testified before that you were surprised that the Q.
- 5 announcement of the equity offering resulted in the reduction
- 6 of 6.4 percent, said you were surprised, that was a lie too,
- 7 sir, wasn't it, because you were told that at the earlier board
- 8 meeting, weren't you?
- 9 I did not lie about it, no.
- 10 Sir, you recall you testified on direct that you had a
- 11 meeting with Mr. Engles on March 17, 2008, and that he had the
- 12 flash financials for January and February of that quarter.
- 13 you recall that, sir?
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 Q. And you recall, sir, your testimony that you told
- Mr. Walters that you were going to have a good quarter. 16 Do you
- 17 recall that, sir?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 Sir, do you recall in your testimony you were shown a press
- 20 release from April 30 that showed it was a good quarter?
- 21 you recall that, sir?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 And you recall, sir, that when you talked about your
- 24 meetings with Mr. Engles, you said that he had the flash
- 25 financials for January and February? Do you recall that, sir?

- Because your meeting with him was on March 17. 1
- 2 Α. Yes.
- 3 That was all a lie, wasn't it, sir?
- No. 4 Α.
- 5 Isn't it a fact, sir -- let me show you, sir, what's been
- marked for identification as DX 283-A. You see, sir, that is 6
- 7 the Dean Foods' audit committee meeting financial reports for
- the quarter ending March 31, 2008. Do you see that, sir? 8
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I'd offer in evidence Defense
- 11 Exhibit 283-A.
- 12 MS. CUCINELLA: No objection.
- 13 THE COURT: Received.
- 14 (Defendant's Exhibit 283-A received in evidence)
- Sir, this is the financial results for the quarter we're 15 Q.
- 16 talking about, correct?
- 17 This is the -- yes. Sorry.
- 18 Q. And you'd said when you met with Mr. Engles in early
- mid-March, he had January and February of this quarter, 19
- 20 correct?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 And the quarter ended March 31, 2008, correct?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 I'd like to direct your attention to page four. And sir,
- 25 do you see that the report following the close of the quarter

5

9

12

AL2 Davis - cross

- shows that the reason there was an announcement following the quarter, that it was a great quarter, was because the performance in excess of anticipated range attributed largely
 - Do you recall that, sir?
- 6 A. I can see the chart. Yes.

to the March performance.

- 7 MR. BERKE: Thank you, Mr. McLeod. Your Honor, may I 8 have a moment?
 - THE COURT: You may.
- Q. Sir, do you recall testifying, sir, that you discussed events in the market with Mr. Walters? Do you recall that,
- 13 A. Yes.

sir?

- Q. That was perfectly okay, correct? That was perfectly okay to discuss with Mr. Walters or other friends events in the
- 16 market, correct?
- 17 A. So long as it was not providing him non-public information.
- 18 Q. I'm talking about events that impact the market generally.
- 19 Big events in the market. That was okay to talk about,
- 20 correct?
- 21 | A. Sure.
- Q. You recall, sir, that there was a time in March where Bear
 Stearns was going to go under and it was having a impact on the
- 24 market? Do you recall that in March of 2008 in the midst of
- 25 | the financial crisis?

Case 1:16-cr-00338-PKC Document 148 Filed 04/19/17 Page 69 of 221 Davis - cross

H3R3WAL2

1

2

3

- I don't recall exactly when Bear Stearns failed, no.
- You recall the event of it failing at some point, yes? Q.
- Yes, I'm certainly aware of that, yeah.
- 4 You recall at some point JPMorgan came in and they Q.
- 5 ultimately offered a significant premium to Bear Stearns
- 6 investors over what was previously discussed, and it caused the
- 7 market to go up a lot? Do you recall that, sir, that happened
- at some point? 8
- 9 I don't recall the specific events around Bear Stearns, no.
- 10 Q. Let me show you what's been marked Defense Exhibit 51 --
- 11 you regularly read financial reports like The Wall Street
- Journal, correct? 12
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Let me show you what's been marked as 5175 for
- 15 identification. If we can scroll down, this is just to review
- yourself, sir. See if it refreshes your memory. 16
- 17 (Continued on next page)

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- My question to you, sir, is do you recall in March 2008, 1
- March -- third week in March 2008, that there were these 2
- 3 discussions involving the Fed and JPMorgan and Bear Stearns?
- 4 Do you recall that, sir?
- 5 A. Yes, I do.
- 6 And do you recall, sir, that it had a significant impact on
- 7 the market, causing it to go up significantly across the board?
- Do you recall that, sir? 8
- 9 I don't recall exactly what happened in the market, no, on
- 10 that particular day or week.
- 11 Q. But you recall it to be a big event during this quarter
- 12 we're talking about, correct?
- 13 I don't know how to define "a big event." I'm sorry. Α.
- 14 An impactful event in the market, correct? Q.
- I've already testified, I don't recall exactly how the 15 Α.
- 16 market reacted.
- 17 Q. Sir, let me ask you about your testimony regarding the
- quarter towards the end of 2008. 18
- Do you recall being asked on direct testimony if you 19
- 20 remember anything that happened after the Dean Foods earnings
- 21 announcement on November 4, 2008, and answering that you recall
- 22 exactly what happened after this announcement? Do you recall
- 23 that question and testimony?
- 24 This was referring to what date? I'm sorry. Α.
- November 4, 2008. 25 Q.

Α. Yes.

1

- And you recall going on to say that you had gotten a call 2
- 3 from Mr. Walters and that you told him on the call that you
- 4 thought that the company was going to do fine, it was going to
- 5 do well the rest of the year? Do you recall that testimony, in
- 6 substance?
- 7 Α. Yes.
- And you recall you were also asked about -- that you were 8
- 9 previously asked about whether you had told Mr. Walters
- 10 anything in that time period that you had not -- that you had
- told the prosecutors in your proffer session and you didn't 11
- 12 remember telling them anything? Do you recall that testimony
- 13 on direct?
- 14 I think that's accurate, yes.
- And you recall that when you previously stated that you 15 Q.
- didn't give any information to Mr. Walters, that they showed 16
- you the same documents that you said that refreshed your 17
- 18 recollection when you testified in court? Do you recall that,
- sir? 19
- 20 A. I'm not sure what you are referring to when you say "the
- 21 same documents."
- 22 Q. Well, do you recall that you were shown -- you were asked
- 23 to review documents that included audit committee meetings for
- 24 that period.
- 25 Withdrawn.

2

7

8

- Do you recall that you were -- yes, that you were asked to review audit committee meetings for that period?
- 3 What period are you referring to?
- November 2008 audit committee meetings held on November 3, 4 Q. 5 2008 and November 18, 2008.
- 6 Yes, I recall that. Α.
 - OK. And notwithstanding that, in your prior session with the prosecutors you told them you didn't remember this,
- 9 correct?
- 10 I think that's accurate, yes.
- 11 So, now, isn't it a fact, sir, that what you claim you
- 12 told Mr. Walters right after the earnings announcement was
- 13 exactly what the CEO, the CFO, and the company told the
- 14 investing public at large; isn't that true, sir?
- I don't recall exactly what they told the public at large, 15
- no, but I just don't recall. 16
- 17 Q. Let me show you, sir, what is in evidence as Government
- 18 Exhibit 601-C. I will put it up on the screen.
- 19 And you see that, sir, to be an earnings transcript
- 20 call November 4, 2008, the same day you claim that you had a
- 21 conversation with Mr. Walters; do you see that, sir?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Now, if we could go to page 6, the second-to-last paragraph
- 24 first, to show who the speaker is. The second-to-last
- 25 If we could go up to the prior page to show the paragraph.

speaker.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

You see Jack Callahan, the CFO, was speaking; do you see that, sir?

- Yes. Α.
- If we go back to page 6, the second-to-last paragraph.

And you see, sir, you see where Mr. Callahan, the CFO, was saying on November 4th, "We expect to finish the year very much on track." Do you see that, sir?

- A. Yes.
- 10 And it continues saying, "We expect to deliver on our 11 original guidance for adjusted earnings per share of 1.20," do you see that, sir? 12
- 13 A. Yes, I do.
- 14 Q. And that's your response. And you recall that you
- testified the markets did not react well to the November 4, 15
- 2008 announcement, correct? 16
- 17 Repeat the question. I'm sorry.
- Q. Do you recall after the November 4, 2008 announcement, the 18 19 stock price fell?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Q. Now, you see what Mr. Engles said, if you go to the bottom 22 paragraph.
- 23 This is at page 8, last paragraph. Thank you.
- 24 And you see where it says -- Mr. Engles says, "We look 25 forward to successfully closing out 2008, what we expect to be

- a solid fourth quarter." Do you see that? 1
- 2 Α. Yes.
- 3 "Solid fourth quarter." 0.
- 4 Α. Yes.
- Again, everything that Mr. Engles is saying on November 4th 5
- to Mr. Callahan, that's all public information, correct? 6
- 7 Yes, it is.
- That is perfectly appropriate for you to talk about with 8
- 9 somebody outside the company, correct? You can repeat exactly
- 10 what they said, you can say that, you can share this
- 11 information, it's public; correct?
- 12 THE COURT: You've got about five questions there.
- 13 You're right, your Honor. I'm sorry. MR. BERKE:
- 14 This is perfectly appropriate to share this public
- information, correct? 15
- 16 Α. Yes.
- And you testified, sir, on -- last week that you regularly 17
- 18 reviewed analyst reports, correct?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- 20 Q. And you shared the analyst reports with -- and you often
- 21 discussed analyst reports with Mr. Walters as well, you
- 22 testified to, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 And you recall, sir, that after these statements by the CFO
- 25 and CEO of the company, the analyst reports, as well, said that

Davis - cross

- Dean Foods was going to have a good quarter -- I'm sorry, was 1 going to have a good end of year based on the statements of 2
- 3 their senior officers? Do you recall that, sir?
- 4 Yes, I think they did say that. Α.
- 5 Q. And you recall, sir, you talked about -- last week, when 6 your own lawyers made a presentation to the SEC to say why you 7 didn't do anything wrong; do you recall that, sir?
- 8 A. Yes.

that, sir?

16

22

23

24

- 9 Q. And you recall, sir, that with regard to this -- and you 10 recall, sir, in talking about sort of the next quarter after November 8th, where we talked about all of this public 11 information that the year would end well, that your lawyers 12 13 provided to the SEC information about what analyst reports --14 what analysts were saying in anticipation of the February -- in
- 15 anticipation of the next earnings announcement? Do you recall
- 17 Yes. I think they did provide information, yes.
- Q. And you recall, sir, that one of the analyst reports they 18 provided was of Eric Katzman, who had a very positive 19 20 recommendation for Dean Foods on February 6, 2009? Do you 21 recall that, sir?
 - MS. CUCINELLA: Objection. Analyst reports, the same ruling -- your Honor's ruling as before.
 - MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I believe it now goes to his state of mind given the testimony that he reviewed these and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

Davis - cross

shared it with Mr. Walters and discussed it with Mr. Walters. 1

MS. CUCINELLA: His state of mind at that point I believe is irrelevant given the testimony of what he did.

THE COURT: Yes. It is sustained.

Go ahead. Next question.

BY MR. BERKE:

Q. Well, sir, you recall that your lawyers argued that during the time period in February '09 analysts that were highly respected were making very positive recommendations and that that was the reason to buy? Do you recall that, sir?

MS. CUCINELLA: Objection. The same objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. BERKE:

- Sir, do you recall, you testified on direct that you told Mr. Walters that the Alpro acquisition would have a significant impact on the earnings of Dean Foods? Do you recall that, sir?
- Yes. I think I said that, yes.
- Q. And you recall you told them -- you testified, excuse me, on direct that you told Mr. Walters about this transaction
- 20 before it was announced publicly? Do you recall that, sir?
- 21 A. Yes, I do.
- 22 Q. And you recall -- and you recall, sir, that in the many --23 withdrawn.
 - You recall, sir, that in your prior meetings with prosecutors, you were asked about when you recall discussing

- the Alpro deal with Mr. Walters? Do you recall that, sir?
- I don't recall specifics of that, no. 2 Α.
- 3 My specific question is, sir, do you recall talking to him
- 4 after there was a board meeting regarding the Alpro
- 5 acquisition? Do you recall that, sir?
- I didn't hear all your question. Sorry. 6
- 7 Do you recall, sir, previously telling prosecutors
- that you told Mr. Walters about the Alpro acquisition following 8
- 9 a board meeting? Do you recall that, sir?
- 10 Α. Yes. That's accurate.
- 11 And you recall, sir, learning that that testimony wasn't
- 12 helpful to prosecutors because Mr. Walters had bought his Dean
- 13 Foods stocks before the board meeting you were referring to; do
- 14 you recall that, sir?
- 15 MS. CUCINELLA: Objection.
- THE COURT: Sustained. 16
- 17 Sir, your testimony in this trial was different, correct,
- 18 sir? You didn't testify that you tipped Mr. Walters after the
- 19 board meeting, did you?
- 20 THE COURT: Sustained as to form.
- 21 Sir, your testimony at this trial -- withdrawn.
- 22 Is it your testimony today, sir, that you told
- 23 Mr. Walters before or after the board meeting in which the
- 24 Alpro deal was discussed on May 21, 2009?
- 25 Do you understand the question? THE COURT:

recollection.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor, I do.

2 THE COURT: Then you may answer.

- A. My recollection is there was a board meeting prior to that board meeting you are referring to, and we also discussed the Alpro acquisition at that board meeting. That's my
- Q. Sir, do you recall that when you were asked these questions in your prior meetings with prosecutors, you were shown the May 21, 2009 board minutes and asked did you tell Mr. Walters about Alpro before or after that meeting, and you said after
- That's in my proffer, are you referring to? 12

the May 21, 2009 meeting? Do you recall that, sir?

- 13 0. Yes.
- 14 I don't recall that. No. Α.
- Let me show you what's been marked for identification as 15 Q. 3501-17. First let me show you the date on the first page. 16 17 Then I'm going to show you page 3, last full paragraph, and ask
- that you review it to yourself. Read it to yourself. 18

(Pause)

My question to you, sir, is does that refresh your recollection that on April 13, 2016, you told the prosecutors that you told Mr. Walters about Alpro following the May 21, 2009 meeting?

- This doesn't refresh my recollection, no.
- Do you deny it, sir? Q.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

You asked me if it refreshed my recollection. I just don't recall having said this. That's my testimony.

- Q. Let me ask you this, sir. Do you recall two weeks later, in another meeting, being asked the identical question based on
- 5 the exact same minutes? Do you recall that, sir?
 - I don't recall that, no. Α.
 - Let me show you what's been marked for identification as 3501-19. I show you the date.

Now let me show you what's on page 3 of 8 and the heading and what's underneath it. I ask you to review that to yourself, sir.

(Pause)

- All right. I've read it. Α.
- 14 Q. My question to you, now, sir: Does that refresh your recollection that on April 28, 2016, you told prosecutors that 15 following the May 21, 2009 board meeting, right after it took 16 17 place you called Mr. Walters to discuss Dean Foods' plan to 18 acquire Alpro?
 - A. This does not refresh my recollection as to what I said in that meeting, no.
- 21 Q. Mr. Davis, do you recall testifying on direct, the morning of your second testimony -- second day of your direct 22 23 testimony, that you said when you met with Mr. Walters in Las 24 Vegas on April 9, 2009, you gave him a somewhat optimistic view 25 of the first quarter earnings? Do you recall that, sir?

H3rdwal3 Davis - cross

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Again, sir, that was a lie, wasn't it, sir?
- 3 | A. No.

- 4 | Q. The lie, sir, because you knew at the time by
- 5 April 9th that in fact it was going to be a negative quarter;
- 6 | isn't that a fact, sir?
 - A. I don't recall when I knew that.
- 8 | Q. Let me show you what's been marked for identification as
- 9 GX507-B. And I'm going to also -- sir, do you see that this is
- 10 | an email by Mr. Engles' assistant on behalf of Mr. Engles, to
- 11 you and other members of the board?
- 12 A. Yes.
- MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I would offer GX507-B.
- 14 THE COURT: Any objections?
- MS. CUCINELLA: No objection.
- 16 THE COURT: Received.
- 17 (Government's Exhibit 507-B received in evidence)
- 18 BY MR. BERKE:
- 19 Q. You see that is on April 1, 2010. If we can highlight the
- 20 | text. You see Mr. Engles says he's providing you the first
- 21 | mid-cycle update memo on April 1, 2010. Do you see that, sir?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Let me show you what's been marked for identification as
- 24 GX507. And you see, sir, that is the update memo Mr. Engles is
- 25 | circulating?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

(Pause)

Dated April 1, 2010, from Mr. Engles. Do you see that, sir? I'm just asking you to identify it first.

A. Yes.

MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I would offer into evidence GX507.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MS. CUCINELLA: No objection.

THE COURT: Received.

(Government's Exhibit 507 received in evidence)

BY MR. BERKE:

Q. If we can highlight the top just to show what it is.

Again, this is from Gregg Engles to the board about the mid-quarter update on April 1, 2010. Do you see that, sir?

- A. Yes.
- 16 Q. If we could go and look what it says about first quarter
- 17 | performance. If we could get the -- if we could just show
- 18 | first what the heading is for that, Mr. McLeod. Thank you.
- 19 This is under Q-1 performance, correct?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- Q. You see where Mr. Engles writes to you and other members of
- 22 | the board, "I have attached a preliminary Q-1 forecast."
- 23 Q-1 means first quarter forecast, correct, sir?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. "Which shows us missing the bottom of our guidance range,

- .25 to .30 by .03." Do you see that?
- 2 Α. Yes.

1

- 3 Q. He goes on to say, "We are off to a slow start, and there
- 4 are as yet no apparent good answers to the branded milk mix
- 5 issues, as discounting to stem share declines or holding price
- 6 and mixing out to private label are both profit destroying in
- 7 the near term."
 - Do you see that, sir?
- 9 Yes. Α.
- 10 And then he goes on to describe what can be done. Do you
- 11 see that, sir?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 So it's a true fact, sir, that when you met Mr. Walters on 0.
- 14 April 9th, you had known since April 1st that your first
- quarter was going to be -- was going to be a bad quarter, was 15
- going to miss the bottom of the guidance range; isn't that 16
- 17 correct, sir?
- 18 A. Yes.
- So your testimony on direct that you told him -- you told 19
- 20 him to buy because the quarter was going to be a good one was a
- 21 lie, wasn't it, sir?
- 22 MS. CUCINELLA: Objection. That misconstrues the
- 23 witness' former testimony.
- 24 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 25 What was the question?

- So your testimony that you told Mr. Walters when you were 1 in Las Vegas that the first quarter was looking good was a lie 2
- 3 because you knew he bought stock following that meeting?
- I didn't lie at all. That's what I told him. Period. 4 Α.
- 5 So you lied to Mr. Walters; even though you knew it was
- going to be a bad quarter, you still told him it was going to 6
- 7 be a good quarter? Is that your testimony here today?
- There were a lot of other things going on at the company, 8
- 9 and I did not lie to Mr. Walters. That was my opinion.
- 10 Despite that Mr. Engles is telling you, who has access to
- the financials, that you are actually going to miss your 11
- 12 guidance, which would cause the stock price to go down,
- 13 correct?
- 14 Despite that, yes. I had a different opinion.
- 15 Q. And, sir, you had given a lot of testimony, haven't you,
- that quidance is what -- and the range is what investors look 16
- 17 at, and when the company is going to exceed guidance or fall
- 18 below it, that impacts whether the stock price is going to go
- 19 up or down? Isn't that true, sir? True or false?
- 20 A. Have I given testimony to that effect? Is that the
- 21 question?
- 22 I'm asking you if that's a true statement, sir.
- 23 Well, rephrase your question. THE COURT:
- 24 MR. BERKE: I will.
- 25 Sir, isn't it a fact that your exact words that you

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

Davis - cross

- testified on direct examination, in response to questions from 1 Ms. Cucinella, was about the April 9th meeting, "I gave them a 2 3 somewhat optimistic view of the first quarter earnings;" isn't
 - A. That's correct.

that what you said, sir?

- MS. CUCINELLA: May we have a transcript cite for that?
 - THE COURT: Page and line.
- MR. BERKE: 758, 16 to 17.
- MS. CUCINELLA: Thank you.
- MR. BERKE: I will just complete the sentence. 11
- 12 "I gave them a somewhat optimistic view of the first 13 quarter earnings, which at that point in time I felt were going 14 to be decent."
- 15 And that was false, wasn't it, sir?
- 16 MS. CUCINELLA: Can you finish the next sentence as well, Mr. Berke. 17
 - THE COURT: Yes. Why don't you put the testimony in front of the witness.
 - MR. BERKE: Thank you. If we could put before the witness transcript 758, 16 to 19.
- 22 Q. Do you see that, sir?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 THE COURT: Take your time.
- 25 And you knew, sir, that your statement that the first

true, sir?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- quarter earnings were going to be decent, you knew that to be 1 2 false, because eight days earlier Mr. Engles told you that you 3 were going to miss guidance based on those numbers; isn't that
 - A. There were a lot of other things going on at the company. I thought the first quarter was going to be positive. I think my statement here is accurate, and that's what I recall telling Mr. Walters, yes.
 - Q. Sir, your statement that you had an optimistic view of the first quarter earnings, which at that point in time you thought were going to be decent, your testimony is that was true?
 - My testimony is that's what I said and that was my opinion at the time, yes. That was my opinion.
 - Q. And you don't dispute, sir, that you were sent Mr. Engles' mid-cycle board review, Government Exhibit 507, in evidence, that said you were going to miss the bottom of the guidance? You don't dispute that you saw that, do you, sir?
 - I don't dispute that, no. Α.
 - Sir, do you recall testifying on direct that you were at an October 18, 2010 board meeting where you learned that Jack Callahan, who was the chief financial officer at the time, was planning on leaving? Do you recall testifying to that on direct in response to questions by Ms. Cucinella?
 - MS. CUCINELLA: May we have a transcript cite? MR. BERKE: 781, 6 to 16, yes.

Put the testimony in front of the witness. THE COURT:

MR. BERKE: Of course, your Honor. That is 781, 6 to

16.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Show him the question.

Q. Yes. We can do the question. If we could go to the page before just so he can see -- there. That is fine.

So you recall, sir, that you were asked about a special board meeting in October 2010, and you said, among other things discussed at that meeting, was that Jack Callahan, who was the Chief Financial Officer, was planning on leaving the company?

- Α. Yes.
- Q. You recall that testimony?

THE COURT: All right. Listen, you can ask the question and you can show the witness the testimony. You've got to give him an opportunity to look at the testimony before answering.

> I'm sorry, your Honor. MR. BERKE:

THE COURT: All right.

- Again, sir, that was a lie because you didn't learn that until November, isn't that true, sir?
- I don't recall precisely when I learned it at the board meeting, but I was aware of the fact that Jack Callahan was going to leave.
 - OK. You learned it in November, not October, isn't that --

- 1 No, that's not accurate. Not at all accurate.
- 2 And, sir, you learned it because -- sir, let me show you Q.
- 3 what's been marked as Defense Exhibit 289, for identification.
- 4 And, sir, do you see that this is an email from
- Mr. Engles' assistant to you on November 4, 2010? 5
- A. Yes. 6
- 7 MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I would offer Defense Exhibit 289. 8
- 9 MS. CUCINELLA: No objection.
- 10 THE COURT: Received.
- 11 (Defendant's Exhibit 289 received in evidence)
- 12 BY MR. BERKE:
- 13 Q. And, sir, you see here that on -- go to the bottom. Do you
- 14 see Mr. Engles' assistant is telling you that Mr. Engles was
- 15 going to be calling you on your cell November 4, 2010, 3:23?
- 16 Yes. Α.
- 17 OK. If you go up. And then it says calling you again and
- 18 it is 8:27 p.m. at night. Do you see that, sir?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 O. December -- November 4th.
- 21 Now let me show you what has been marked for 22 identification as GX1917-D.
- 23 Sir, you see this is an email from Mr. Engles to you
- 24 and other members of the board, dated November 8, 2010?
- 25 Α. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

H3rdwal3 Davis - cross

MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I would offer into evidence Government Exhibit, for identification, 1917-E.

MS. CUCINELLA: No objection.

THE COURT: Received.

(Government's Exhibit GX1917-D received in evidence)

MR. BERKE: I'm sorry. That is correct. Let me state it again, your Honor. I apologize. GX1917-D, as in dog.

MS. CUCINELLA: Still no objection.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

- Ο. Sir, do you see this is Mr. Engles sending -- on November 8th, it says "CFO press release"?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 And do you see, he says, "Members of the Board of Ο.
- 14 Directors, " November 8th, "I am pleased to inform you that
- 15 Shaun Mara has accepted our office to succeed Jack Callahan."
- Then it says, "As I discussed with each of you individually 16
- 17 last week, Jack Callahan is resigning to assume the CFO
- 18 position at McGraw-Hill."
- 19 Do you see where he says he discussed with each member 20 of the board the prior week?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 Q. Isn't it a fact, sir, that when Mr. Engles' assistant was
- 23 trying to set up a call with you the prior week on
- 24 November 4th, that was to tell you that he just learned that
- 25 Jack Callahan was going to resign; isn't that true, sir?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- I don't recall which phone call I had with Mr. Engles where he told me Jack Callahan was going to leave. We had numerous calls during this period of time.
 - Is it your testimony, sir, that Mr. Engles may have told Q. you in October that Mr. Callahan was resigning, which is why vou testified on direct --

THE COURT: Slow down --

MR. BERKE: I'm sorry, your Honor.

- Q. -- which is why you testified on direct that you had told Mr. Walters in October that the CFO was resigning?
 - THE COURT: Do you understand the question?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. I think I do, your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: OK. You may answer it.
 - I don't recall which phone conversation and precisely when it took place when Mr. Engles informed me that Jack Callahan was going to leave.
 - Q. Well, if Mr. Engles didn't learn that Jack Callahan was leaving until November 4th, then he couldn't have told you that before November 4th, could he have, sir?
 - MS. CUCINELLA: Objection. It assumes facts not in evidence.
- 22 MR. BERKE: Actually, those facts are in evidence, 23 your Honor, during his testimony.
- 24 THE COURT: I am going to allow the guestion. 25 witness can address it as he chooses.

2

Go ahead.

- I'm sorry. What is the question. Α.
- 3 If Mr. Engles did not learn from Mr. Callahan of his plans
- to resign until November 4th, he couldn't have told you in 4
- 5 October that Mr. Callahan was resigning, could he have?
- 6 I really don't know when Mr. Engles knew about Jack
- 7 Callahan's departure.
- Q. But if he knew on November 4th, sir, he could not have told 8
- 9 you the prior month, correct, sir?
- 10 A. My answer is I really don't know when Gregg Engles learned
- 11 about Jack Callahan's departure. I have no knowledge of that.
- Q. And you recall, sir, in your prior sessions with the 12
- 13 prosecutors, in coming up with your -- withdrawn.
- 14 Do you recall in your prior sessions, your proffer
- sessions with the prosecutors, they showed you the November 9, 15
- 2010 press release that Jack Callahan was resigning? Do you 16
- 17 recall that?
- A. Yes, I do. 18
- Do you recall telling them -- I'm sorry. 19 Q.
- 20 Do you recall tell them, oh, I told Mr. Walters that
- 21 back in October, I told him that? Do you recall telling them
- 22 that, sir?
- 23 A. I don't recall precisely what I said in that particular
- 24 proffer session.
- Do you recall telling them, in proffer sessions, that you 25

- told -- just like you testified on direct, after reviewing that 1
- November 9th press release, you said that you had told 2
- 3 Mr. Walters that back in October? Isn't that what you told --
- I think that's accurate, yes. 4 Α.
- 5 Sir, do you recall you testified on direct that you tipped
- 6 Mr. Walters after a May 8, 2012 Dean Foods board call? Do you
- 7 recall that, sir?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 MS. CUCINELLA: May we have a transcript cite?
- 10 MR. BERKE: Yes, of course, Ms. Cucinella. 833/19 to
- 11 834/10.
- 12 Q. And you said that you had a very specific recollection, of
- 13 this call that took place well over four years ago, that you
- 14 heard something that sounded like an international ring? Do
- you recall that, sir? 15
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 THE COURT: Show the witness the testimony.
- Of course. 833/19 to 834/10. 18 MR. BERKE:
- 19 (Pause)
- 20 Q. You recall, sir, telling the -- saying on direct testimony
- 21 that you have a specific recollection that it was an
- 22 international ring tone; do you recall that, sir?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Do you recall, sir, that in your prior proffer sessions
- 25 leading up to your cooperation agreement, you never said that?

- 1 Do you recall that, sir?
- I think that's accurate. Α. 2
- 3 And you recall, sir, that you knew that your -- you knew
- from -- without getting into details, but you knew the fact, 4
- 5 from discussions you had with counsel, that Mr. Walters was out
- 6 of the country on that date; isn't that true, sir? You learned
- 7 that?
- A. What I recall is the prosecution asked me if I ever talked 8
- 9 to Mr. Walters while he was in Mexico. That's what I recall.
- 10 Q. Right. Right. And, sir, your testimony, again -- sir, do
- 11 you recall being asked repeatedly about whether or not you
- called Mr. Walters following a Dean Foods' board meeting on 12
- 13 May 8th to discuss Dean Foods? Do you recall being asked that
- 14 on many meetings that you had with the prosecutors prior to
- 15 this trial?
- Yes, I think that's accurate. 16
- 17 And do you recall, sir, saying -- go back.
- 18 Do you recall saying at all the meetings leading up to
- your cooperation agreement, you had no recollection having any 19
- 20 discussion with Mr. Walters about Dean Foods following the
- 21 May 8, 2012 board meeting? Do you recall that, sir?
- 22 Ask the question again, please.
- 23 In all your meetings leading up to your signing your
- 24 cooperation agreement, sir, do you recall telling the
- 25 prosecutors that you did not discuss -- speak to Mr. Walters

- about Dean Foods in a call immediately following the May 8, 1 2012 Dean Foods board call? 2
 - I'm still not following the question.
 - I will rephrase. Q.
 - Do you recall, sir -- do you recall the meetings that we had talked about on Defense Exhibit 5, the 13 meetings prior to your cooperation agreement?
- 8 Α. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

- Do you recall that, sir? Ο.
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 Do you recall during those meetings they asked you about
- 12 this? And this board call that we're talking about on May 8,
- 13 2012, was the call that you testified you did from the Preston
- 14 Trail Golf Club, correct?
- 15 A. Yes, that's accurate.
- You recall in those sessions they asked you on many 16
- occasions about whether or not you called Mr. Walters to 17
- 18 discuss Dean Foods following that board call on May 8th; do you
- 19 recall that, sir?
- 20 A. Yes, that's accurate.
- 21 And do you recall, sir, you told them at every one of those
- 22 meetings that you had no recollection and that you do not
- 23 believe you spoke to Mr. Walters on May 8, 2012 following that
- 24 Dean Foods board meetings about Dean Foods? Do you recall
- 25 that, sir?

3

4

5

6

7

8

Davis - cross

1 MS. CUCINELLA: Objection as to form. Compound.

THE COURT: I'll allow it. Go ahead.

Do you understand the question?

THE WITNESS: No. Could you repeat it, please.

THE COURT: All right. Rephrase it.

MR. BERKE: Of course, your Honor.

BY MR. BERKE:

- Q. And in all those sessions that you had prior to signing
- 9 your cooperation agreement, you told the prosecutors you had no
- 10 recollection of speaking to Mr. Walters about Dean Foods on
- 11 May 8, 2012, following the Dean Foods board call, correct?
- 12 In the proffer sessions?
- 13 Ο. Yes.
- 14 I think that's accurate, yes. Α.
- And you also told them that it was highly unlikely that you 15 Q.
- would leave material, nonpublic information on a voicemail? 16
- you recall that as well, sir? 17
- 18 I think I did say that in a proffer session, yes.
- And you recall, sir, that the very first time that you ever 19 20 offered the additional detail -- withdrawn.
- 21 Do you recall, sir, that for the very first time you
- 22 said you had a specific memory of the call going to an
- 23 international dial -- withdrawn.
- 24 I'm sorry, your Honor. If I may start again?
- 25 Do you recall, sir, for the very first time that you

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

25

Davis - cross

that? Isn't that true, sir?

- said you recall calling Mr. Walters after the Dean Foods 1 meeting to discuss Dean Foods was on February 26, 2017? Just a 2 3 few weeks ago. That that was the first time you ever said
 - There were a number of things that the prosecutors showed me that refreshed my memory, including where I was when I placed those calls. I didn't remember initially I was at Preston Trail Golf Club until they showed me my calendar. after that I recall distinctly, when they asked me about a call, had I ever made a call to him while I was in Mexico, and I remembered distinctly doing that, yes.
 - Sir, isn't that a lie? Isn't that another lie?
- 13 Α. No, it is not.
- 14 Isn't it true, sir, that going way back to March and April 15 of 2016, the prosecutors continually told you you were at Preston Trails when you made this call and they showed you 16 17 records that you were at Preston Trails and you still said you didn't call Mr. Walters about Dean Foods; isn't that true, sir? 18
 - Whatever the records reflect, that's accurate. Α.
- 20 No, sir, I'm asking you. Do you recall, sir, that going 21 all the way back to last year, when you were asked about this 22 call, you were specifically shown records that you were at 23 Preston Trails for this board meeting?
- 24 Α. Yes. That's accurate.
 - Sir, your testimony, just minutes ago, that your newfound

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- memory at the end of last month was refreshed because you 1 learned for the first time that the call was at Preston Trails; 2 3 that was another lie, wasn't it, sir?
 - MS. CUCINELLA: Objection. Misstates his testimony.
- 5 THE COURT: I'll allow the question to stand as 6 phrased and the witness can answer.
 - THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question, please? Sir, your testimony just minutes ago that your newfound memory at the end of last month was refreshed because you
- 10 learned for the first time that the call was at Preston Trails, 11 that was another lie, wasn't it, sir?
- 12 I learned about making the phone calls at Preston 13 Trails, that's accurate.
 - Q. You didn't learn about it, though, for the first time in the end of February, when you came up with your new statements that you had spoke to him; you knew that a year earlier, in 2016, didn't you, sir?
 - THE COURT: OK. Rephrase your question. Sustained. MR. BERKE: Of course, your Honor.
 - Q. You knew in 2016, sir, that the call you made was in -from Preston Trails, didn't you, sir?
 - A. Yes, when they showed me the records, yes. That's accurate.
 - So there is no new information you were shown at the end of February 2017, when you came up with your different statement

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

Davis - cross

- that you now recall discussing Dean Foods with Mr. Walters
 following the May 8th meeting?
 - A. The only new information that I learned in my most recent discussions with the prosecutors was when they asked me a question. They asked me a question and they said do you ever recall talking to Mr. Walters in Mexico. And a light bulb went off and I said yes. I distinctly remember the dial tone was an
 - MR. BERKE: Could we put up Defense Exhibit 5, Mr. McLeod.
 - Q. And you recall, sir, that in many of these 13 meetings, they asked you specifically about this May 8, 2012 call, correct, sir?
 - A. I think that's accurate, yes.

international dial tone.

- Q. And each time you gave them the same answer; you did not give Mr. Walters any information or any discussion with him about Dean Foods on May 8th, isn't that correct, sir?
- A. Mr. Berke, I think I've already explained this. Until they asked me if I'd ever placed a call to Mr. Walters when he was in Mexico, I didn't recall this call specifically.
- 21 Q. Sir, I would ask you to answer my question.
 - A. Why don't you re-ask it then.
- Q. And each time that they asked you about the May 8, 2012
 calls throughout those 13 sessions, you gave the same answer,
 that you did not give Mr. Walters any information or have any

- discussion with him about Dean Foods on May 8, 2012; isn't that 1 2 correct, sir?
- 3 A. I think that's an accurate depiction of what I said 4 earlier, yes.
- 5 Q. Sir, isn't it a fact that you would provide updates to
- Mr. Luce and Mr. Walters about the Periscope investment? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- And you would provide updates following Periscope board 8 9 meetings, wouldn't you, sir?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 And you recall, sir -- well, let me show you an email dated
- 12 May 1, 2012, DX109, for identification, not in evidence.
- 13 Do you see, sir, that these are emails dated May 1st and May 2nd, 2012 between you and Mr. Luce? 14
- 15 A. Yes.
- MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I would offer into evidence 16 17 DX109.
- 18 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 19 MS. CUCINELLA: No objection.
- 20 THE COURT: Received.
- 21 (Defendant's Exhibit 109 received in evidence)
- 22 BY MR. BERKE:
- 23 If we can go to the bottom first.
- 24 This is Mr. Luce on May 1st asking you, "Tom, can you 25 bring me up to date, " correct?

Α. Yes.

- MR. BERKE: Can you go to the next email, Mr. McLeod. 2
- 3 Then you respond to Mr. Luce by giving an update on the
- bank recap; do you see that, sir? 4
- 5 Α. Yes.
- 6 MR. BERKE: Can we go to the next email, please.
- 7 Q. And then you see that on 6:02 Mr. Luce says, "Also curious
- about Periscope. I have a meeting with Billy tomorrow at 8:30. 8
- 9 He would like to go through notes and investments."
- 10 Do you see that, sir?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 You understood "Billy" was a reference to Mr. Walters,
- 13 correct?
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 Q. You see, sir, that this is May 1, 2012, a week before your
- phone calls from Preston Trails; do you see that, sir? 16
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And you recall, sir, that prior to your Dean Foods board 18
- call that you did at Preston Trails, at 10 a.m. Central Time 19
- 20 you had a board call for Periscope? Do you recall that, sir?
- 21 My recollection was it was at 9 a.m., not 10 a.m.
- Q. Let me show you what's been marked for identification as 22
- 23 Defense Exhibit 100. If we could go down to May 8th.
- 24 Sir, do you recognize that as a calendar invite
- 25 showing that on May 8th -- withdrawn.

- 1 That is your calendar invite from May 8, 2012; is that 2 correct, sir?
- 3 A. Yes, it is.
- 4 MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I would offer into evidence 5 Defense Exhibit 100.
- 6 MS. CUCINELLA: No objection.
- 7 THE COURT: Received.
- (Defendant's Exhibit 100 received in evidence) 8
- 9 BY MR. BERKE:
- 10 Q. And, sir, so this reflects that you had on May 8, 2012, you
- 11 had the Periscope board meeting from 10 a.m. to 12 noon? Do
- 12 you see that, sir?
- 13 A. That's what's reflected in my calendar, but it didn't occur
- 14 like that.
- 15 Q. But you recall, sir, it did take place that morning,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. Yes. Absolutely.
- 18 Q. And you recall immediately afterwards, sir, you had a Dean
- 19 Foods board meeting? Do you recall that, sir?
- 20 Α. Yes, I do.
- 21 And do you recall you went from one to the other?
- 22 I called into the Dean Foods board call, yes.
- 23 Do you recall, sir, you were sitting in a room at the
- 24 country club at Preston Trails, correct?
- 25 I was sitting in a board room by myself, yes.

- 1 And you had the -- first you had the Periscope call,
- 2 correct?
- 3 Correct. Α.
- And you disconnected from the Periscope board call and 4 Q.
- 5 shortly thereafter you called into the Dean Foods board call,
- correct? 6
- 7 Α. Correct.
- And you recall, sir, you were also playing golf shortly 8
- 9 after those calls; do you recall that, sir?
- 10 Right after lunch, yes. Α.
- 11 And you recall, sir, that this board call was significant
- 12 for Periscope that you had; do you recall that, sir?
- 13 I thought it was fairly significant at the time, yes. Α.
- 14 Q. And it had good news for the company; do you recall that,
- sir? 15
- A. Yes. But possible good news, that's how I would 16
- 17 characterize it.
- 18 Q. Let me show you what has been marked for identification as
- DX303. 19
- 20 Sir, am I correct that this reflects emails between
- 21 you and Brian Utley on May 8, 2012 and May 9, 2012?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I would offer in Defense
- 24 Exhibit 303.
- 25 MS. CUCINELLA: May I have one moment, your Honor?

1 (Pause)

2 No objection.

3 | THE COURT: Received.

(Defendant's Exhibit 303 received in evidence)

MR. BERKE: If we could show the middle email.

- Q. This is the evening of May 8th, correct, sir?
- A. Yes. It appears to be, yes.
- Q. And it is to Brian Utley, correct?
- 9 | A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

- 10 Q. And Brian Utley was also a board member and involved with
- 11 | Periscope, correct?
- 12 A. He was the CEO.
- 13 Q. Yes. And was he on the board as well, sir?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And he said -- and you say to him: Very good board meeting
- 16 | today. I wish you all well insofar as state contracts are
- 17 concerned. The next couple of months will be very important
- 18 | for the company.
- 19 Do you see that, sir?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And then if you go to the top, his response the following
- 22 day.
- 23 And you recall, sir, that at the board meeting you
- 24 were talking about a variety of deals in a number of states for
- 25 | that year; do you recall that, sir?

Α. Yes.

- And you recall, sir, that this -- we already saw the May 1, 2
- 3 2012 email in evidence, at DX109, where Mr. Luce told you that
- 4 Mr. Walters was interested in Periscope and he was asking for
- 5 information; do you recall that, sir.
- 6 Α. Yes.
- 7 And you recall, sir, that, again, following this time
- period, there were further discussions and that Mr. Walters and 8
- 9 Mr. Luce were interested in Periscope, correct?
- 10 I gave them updates, correct. Α.
- 11 Because one of the issues during this very time period, May
- 12 and June, was about the sale of Periscope that could have been
- 13 very lucrative, correct, sir?
- 14 A. I think the sale had been postponed, and there was some
- 15 reference in the last email that you showed me to the fact that
- I said don't worry about Stevens, referring to Stevens, Inc. 16
- 17 So the sale had been postponed by this point in time.
- 18 Q. Let me show you a document marked DX107.
- 19 Sir, this is a June 1, 2012 email between you and Mike
- 20 Luce?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I would offer Defense Exhibit
- 107. 23
- 24 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 25 No objection. MS. CUCINELLA:

THE COURT: Received.

(Defendant's Exhibit 107 received in evidence)

- BY MR. BERKE:
- 4 Sir, do you see on bottom email, on June 1, 2012, a couple 0.
- 5 of weeks after -- three weeks after the May 8th board meeting?
- 6 Α. Yes.

1

2

- 7 Mr. Luce asks you again for an update on anything that may
- be happening and he says, "including the banks and potential 8
- 9 cash for note reduction." Do you see that, sir?
- 10 Α. Yes, I do.
- 11 And you understood "note reduction" related to your loan
- 12 and potential payment of your loan, correct, sir?
- 13 Yes, it did. Α.
- 14 Could you read your response, sir?
- 15 Α. "I will send you a more detailed update on Monday.
- bank deal is still on track to close the recap in the third 16
- quarter. We have about 75 million in commitments and we need 17
- 18 100 million. Our Periscope sale is in process ... should have
- 19 indications of interest by mid to late June. We will know a
- 20 lot then."
- 21 Isn't it a fact, sir, that in June of 2012, the Periscope
- 22 sale was in progress, isn't that true, sir?
- A. My recollection was at the time, because of the Stevens' 23
- 24 comments to me as a board member, that this deal was dying and
- 25 it did die.

- You're not claiming that you're lying here to Mr. Luce that 1 in June the belief was the Periscope sale was in progress, are 2
- 3 you?

9

22

23

- 4 I don't think I misrepresented anything. I'm just giving Α. 5 you my recollection of the timeframes.
- 6 So I ask you again, sir, in the May and June time period, 7 that was a very important tame for Periscope because of the possibility of a very lucrative sale of the entity; isn't that 8
- 10 Yes, that's accurate, yes.
- 11 And you recall, sir, following these two board meetings you 12 were having playing golf with one of the fellow -- one of the 13 individuals who was very active with you in trying to raise 14 capital for Park Cities, the bank recap, Roy Salley, isn't that
- 15 true, sir?

true, sir?

- 16 Yes, that's accurate.
- 17 And isn't it also true, sir, that this time period in May 18 of 2012 was a key period where you had to tell the regulators 19 of how much money has been promised as investments if you were 20 able to take over the Texas bank as part of the Park Cities 21 deal; isn't that true, sir?
 - I don't recall the precise timing of the reports that we gave the regulators. I don't recall the precise timing.
- 24 Let me show you what's been marked as Defense Exhibit 5294. 25 Sir, do you recognize this as an email -- emails

- between you, John Denes, and others on May 9, 2012? 1
- A. Let me take a minute here. 2

3 (Pause)

- 4 I'm just asking you to identify it, sir. It is not in Q. 5 evidence yet.
- MR. BERKE: It should be taken down. 6
- 7 I'm sorry, your Honor. It was on the screen. should not be. It is my fault. 8
 - THE COURT: All right.
- 10 I'm just asking you to identify it first, sir. Q.
- 11 Those are emails between you, John Denes, Roy Salley
- 12 and others --
- 13 Α. Yes.

- -- May 9 --14 Q.
- 15 Α. Generally, yes, that's what they are.
- MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I would offer Defense Exhibit 16 17 5294.
- 18 MS. CUCINELLA: No objection.
- THE COURT: Received. 19
- 20 (Defendant's Exhibit 5294 received in evidence)
- 21 MR. BERKE: Thank you, your Honor.
- 22 Now -- and, again, this is May 9th. That's the day after
- 23 the board calls we are talking about, correct?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 And am I right, sir, that -- and I'm going to look on the

- first page.
- And do you recall, sir, that John Denes, he was 2 3 involved in the Park Cities deal, correct?
- He was the bank president at the time, yes. 4 Α.
- 5 And Roy Salley, he was your friend but he was also involved working with you to try to make this deal happen? 6
 - He was my partner in this transaction.
- 8 And Dory Wiley and Hatch Smith also had roles in connection 9 with this transaction, correct?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 Do you see where John Denes says to you -- well, do you see
- 12 where on the email below, you talk about that you had dinner
- 13 with Ted Rosinus?
- 14 Α. Rosinous, yes.
- 15 Ο. And you said you were rather forceful in your tone with him
- earlier in the week. And that would have been on the 7th that 16
- 17 you would have had dinner with him, correct?
- 18 I don't recall what night I had dinner with him but --
- 19 Do you see from this email, the email is Wednesday, Q.
- 20 May 9th; do you see that, sir?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 You see you say in your email you had dinner with him
- 23 Monday night?
- 24 Α. Yes. I'm sorry. Yes, that's true.
- 25 That would have been May 7th, correct, sir?

Α. Yes.

- You say that you were rather forceful in your tone with him 2
- 3 and told him you wanted a subscription agreement from them,
- 4 correct?
- 5 Yes. Α.
- 6 And, again, these were attempts, as you explained last
- 7 week, to get people to make a commitment that if you won the
- transaction to refinance this bank, they would invest a certain 8
- 9 amount of money, correct?
- 10 Α. Yes. That's accurate.
- 11 Q. Now, Mr. Dean's responds: "Thanks, Tom. Would love to
- 12 have a big fish (as well as the local, and Vegas, soft circles)
- 13 sign subscription agreements before the showdown with the
- 14 regulators the 16th."
- 15 Do you see that?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 And then it says, "Don't forget I need you and Roy
- 18 available for that board meeting."
- 19 Do you see that, sir?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 And the "soft circle," sir, that means that you needed
- 22 people to commit, that if you got the deal, they would invest a
- 23 certain amount of money, correct?
- 24 I would call a soft circle an indication of interest, not a
- 25 commitment, to be perfectly accurate.

- And when you talk about having, in addition to a big fish, 1
- local and Vegas soft circles, the Vegas was the hope that you 2
- 3 may be able to get Mr. Walters to agree to make a soft
- commitment in Park Cities, as you testified last week? 4
- 5 Yes. That's accurate. Α.
- 6 And, sir, it's right that the hope was that this could be
- 7 done by the 16th so the regulators would know you had the
- commitments and the financial wherewithal to take over the 8
- 9 bank; isn't that true, sir?
- 10 That's John Denes' term in this email. I don't know what
- 11 he was referring to when he said the showdown with the
- 12 regulators. I just don't recall exactly what he had to do to
- 13 provide the regulators an update. I'm not sure.
- 14 Q. But you agree, sir, that this is about locking down the
- 15 soft circles, including Mr. Walters, about Park Cities,
- 16 correct?
- 17 Yes. I agree with that, yes.
- 18 Q. And you agree, sir, that right after your Dean Foods board
- 19 meeting, you had a schedule to play golf with Roy Salley, your
- 20 partner in the Park Cities deal; isn't that true, sir?
- 21 Yes. That's accurate.
- 22 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, let's
- 23 break for lunch.
- 24 Remember, please do not discuss the case among
- 25 yourselves or with anyone. Keep an open mind. There is more

Case 1:16-cr-00338-PKC Document 148 Filed 04/19/17 Page 110 of 221

H3rdwal3 Davis - cross to come. And see you for a 2 o'clock start. Thank you. (Continued on next page)

Case 1:16-cr-00338-PKC Document 148 Filed 04/19/17 Page 111 of 221

H3rdwal3 Davis - cross (Jury not present) THE COURT: Have a pleasant lunch. MR. SCHOMAN: Your Honor, if I could just alert the Court? We have an issue to raise, but if your Honor wants, we can do it right before we resume after lunch. THE COURT: All right. That's fine. (Luncheon recess) (Continued on next page)

AFTERNOON SESSION

2

1

2:00 p.m.

3

4

THE COURT: There was an issue that you wanted to

5

raise, Mr. Schoeman?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

> 23 24

25

(In open court; jury not present)

MR. SCHOEMAN: Thank you. I wanted to explain. submitted last night an application, a request for judicial notice relating to longitude and latitude.

THE COURT: I saw it.

MR. SCHOEMAN: I wanted to explain what it was and it is something that's relevant to what's going to happen this afternoon.

So what that is, is the longitude and latitude of a cell tower near Love Airport in Dallas. There is an exhibit in evidence, Government Exhibit 1002, that it is a very long exhibit, but it is Mr. Davis' phone records. It shows that on December 18, 2012, Mr. Davis' cell phone was calling Mr. Walters and was hitting off a tower at that location.

THE COURT: Mr. Davis' cell phone was hitting off the tower.

MR. SCHOEMAN: Hitting off the tower at Love Field and was calling Mr. Walters. And it's clear from the record that's already in evidence that cell location on this record is provided by longitude and latitude. And we actually have a document from AT&T that explains that that column of that cell

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

record is the longitude and latitude of the location where the towers pinged.

The only thing we want judicial notice of is that a well-respected mapping program would identify that location, that longitude and latitude, as being at Love Field. And what we would show is that on Mr. Davis' records, where it says cell location, it's that longitude and latitude. And all we're establishing through that is on that day at that time, when he called Mr. Walters, he was in the vicinity of that tower.

THE COURT: Okay. I didn't have all the blanks filled in, but I kind of guessed at them.

> MR. SCHOEMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead. Any objection?

MS. CUCINELLA: Yes, your Honor. As your Honor is aware, we just found out about this last night. The Second Circuit ruled earlier this year that a layperson, even a layperson from a phone company, can't provide testimony about a person's location based on cell tower, because that's expert testimony. In order to establish -- in that case they were trying to exclude someone who was suspected of an arson, they were trying to establish they must have been in Queens because their phone hit off a cell tower in Queens.

THE COURT: Let's just break this down. Because part one of this is a request that the Court take judicial notice of where certain coordinates are based on Google Maps. And that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Davis - cross

part of it has nothing to do with cell towers in any way, shape or form.

> That is correct, your Honor. MS. CUCINELLA:

THE COURT: All right. So, do you have any objection to my taking judicial notice of the location of these coordinates?

> MS. CUCINELLA: No.

THE COURT: All right. Now, so that much I guess your request for judicial notice is granted. So, that's what I have on my to-do list.

MR. SCHOEMAN: Just so your Honor sees where this is going, and we don't have a sidebar, what we would like to do is elicit from the witness on the stand using the phone records that are in evidence and the column that is marked "cell location," that the cell location as reflected on that chart are those coordinates. We could, if necessary, offer in evidence the AT&T explanation that "cell location" means what it says, the location. But we want to establish with this witness that he was -- that he was in the vicinity of that tower at that time.

THE COURT: I think maybe that last step may be where you're hitting up on the objection.

MR. SCHOEMAN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: But, it seems to me that there is the intermediate step that the coordinates that appear on the phone

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

record are at Love Field.

MR. SCHOEMAN: Yes.

THE COURT: That much, do you have any objection to that much?

MS. CUCINELLA: Yes, your Honor. To the extent that they're trying to establish that there is a meaning, that the witness on the stand was at Love Field, that's not what that information actually means. It means that his phone hit off a tower --

THE COURT: That's all it means.

MS. CUCINELLA: -- in that vicinity. But that is expert testimony. So, I think that there needs to be -- there needs to be more here about what exactly that means in terms of the vicinity, how close in range it could be. In Texas it's very different than it is in New York because of how close the towers are. So, this is a whole area where there has been so much debate and --

THE COURT: Listen, there's debate in certain areas and then there is not debate. I recognize that if you're in lower Manhattan you can ping off a cell tower in Jersey City or vice versa. And you could be in an entirely different state. I got that.

But, do you contest the proposition that -- this is going to be very interesting because I got a lot of government proffers on this subject area all the time. You're now

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

contesting that a cell phone tower ping does not suggest that the ping came from approximately a quarter mile from that location?

MS. CUCINELLA: We're not contesting it, your Honor. We need time to determine what it means in Texas versus what it means here. It is very different based on the number of towers in the area, which I think is consistent with what we've always said and what we've always argued about whether a defendant is on the phone in Manhattan or versus whether they're on the phone somewhere else. So we just would like time to speak with someone from AT&T to figure out what it means. It may be, as we told defense counsel at lunch --

THE COURT: At the moment, maybe I'm misunderstanding. I do have a jury in the jury room, so forgive me for cutting everybody short.

But, we've now established this much for today's purposes. That the defense plans to elicit that there is a phone record that has a longitude and latitude and that longitude and latitude is for Love Airfield. Dallas Love Field Airport. That's all at this stage of the game.

Are you going to suggest, Mr. Schoeman, so isn't it a fact that you were at Dallas Love Field? Is that the next question?

MR. SCHOEMAN: So we're going to ask the witness and he can testify to his recollection. Just so the Court

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Davis - cross

understands, Mr. Davis has previously told the government that he met Mr. Walters at Dallas Love Field at a particular facility, and that a particular group of people were there and that Mr. Walters' plane was there.

We can establish that there are other records that exactly the people Mr. Davis said were there were there on that day, that Mr. Walters' plane was there on that day, and that through this record Mr. Davis was there. And we think he's lying, if he denies being there, but we don't need to get into technical cell phone information with Mr. Davis. We just want to ask him where he was.

THE COURT: You're not asking the jury to draw any inference, you're cross examining a witness.

MR. SCHOEMAN: Correct.

THE COURT: Seems to me that's permissible cross-examination.

MS. CUCINELLA: That's fine with the government. were under a different impression as to what they intended to do.

MR. BERKE: I wanted to give you an update. Over Friday and the weekend I've been cutting the cross and have been giving the government updates, and I expect I'll be done this afternoon. Just for your planning, your Honor.

THE COURT: Bring my jury in, please.

(Continued on next page)

2

3

4

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Mr. Berke, you may continue.

Thank you, your Honor. MR. BERKE:

- BY MR. BERKE:
- 5 Q. Mr. Davis, do you recall giving testimony on your direct
- 6 about a trip you took to San Diego in July of 2012?
- 7 Α. Yes.
- If I can put in front of you transcript 848. And my 8
- 9 question is do you recall testifying that you think the date
- 10 was July 27, 28 and 29th, right around there?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 Isn't it a fact, sir, that you had previously told the
- 13 prosecution on multiple occasions that you were there visiting
- 14 the Walters on July 30 and 31st?
- I don't recall what dates I quoted. 15 Α.
- Let me show you what's marked for identification as 16
- 17 3501-11. You see the date first and then I'm going to direct
- 18 your attention to page six, top first paragraph under the
- 19 heading.
- 20 My question to you, sir, does that refresh your
- 21 recollection that you previously told the prosecutors that you
- had visited Bill and Susan Walters' home in Carlsbad, 22
- 23 California July 30 and July 31?
- 24 Yes. Α.
- 25 In fact, those were the dates that you visited them,

- weren't they?
- Whatever my itinerary shows, I will clearly stand by that. 2
- 3 Let me show you what's been marked for identification as DX
- 4 2196. Sir, do you recognize these as your flight records to
- 5 San Diego in late July 2012?
- 6 A. Yes.

- 7 MR. BERKE: I'd offer DX 2196.
- 8 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 9 MS. CUCINELLA: No objection.
- 10 THE COURT: Received.
- (Defendant's Exhibit 2196 received in evidence) 11
- 12 MR. BERKE: If we can highlight the top half,
- 13 Mr. McLeod.
- 14 Sir, it shows you flying to San Diego near Carlsbad
- July 30, 2012, correct? 15
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 Let me show you, do you recall, sir, you rented a car also
- 18 on July 30 in San Diego?
- 19 Α. Yes, I recall that.
- 20 By the way, you knew -- do you know Mr. Walters owned
- 21 rental car agencies in that area?
- I don't recall that, no. 22 Α.
- 23 Well, let me ask you, sir, do you recall during your direct
- 24 testimony, the prosecutor showed you a car rental slip that
- 25 indicated that there was a car pickup for July 28 to see if

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Davis - cross

that refreshed your memory to say that you arrived on July 28? 1 2 Do you recall that, sir?

- No, I don't recall it. Α.
- Let me put up transcript 848, line 17 to 849, line 13. Q.

My question to you, sir, do you recall Ms. Cucinella put up a car rental notification from your American Express to suggest that you had rented the car on July 28 instead of the July 30 dates we just covered?

- A. I don't recall the dates. I clearly recall she showed me a American Express charge for a rental car. I just don't recall the dates.
- Q. Do you recall now, sir, that you originally were scheduled to go the 28th, but you postponed it to the 30th? Do you recall that, sir?
- Α. No, I don't actually.
 - Let me show you your American Express bill. This is just for you, Defendant's Exhibit 2198 for identification at page four halfway down the page.

You see, sir, that your car was rented starting on July 30, 2012? Do you see that, sir?

- Α. Yes.
- 22 Sir, you testified that you were there with the Walters two 23 nights and you had two dinners, correct?
- 24 Α. I think that's what I said, yes.
- 25 One dinner you had at the Walters' home, correct?

- Α. Yes.
- 2 And one dinner you went out to a restaurant, correct? Q.
- 3 Yes. Α.

- 4 The name of the restaurant was Firenze Trattoria; isn't 0.
- 5 that correct, sir?
- 6 I don't recall the name of the restaurant. That sounds
- 7 familiar.
- Q. Let me show you what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 8
- 9 3501-20 for identification. I'm going to show you the second
- 10 page, last line.
- 11 Sir, does that refresh your memory that the restaurant
- 12 you ate at was The Firenze Trattoria?
- 13 I think that's accurate. I think that's what I said, yes. Α.
- 14 Do you recall, sir, that was the second night that you ate
- there? 15
- 16 The second night? Α.
- 17 Ο. Yes.
- 18 Α. In what time frame?
- I believe we have established you were visiting the Walters 19
- 20 on the 30th and 31st, and you went to the restaurant the second
- 21 night you were there. Do you recall that, sir?
- 22 A. My recollection is we went on the first night and then ate
- 23 in the second night. That's what I thought. But I could be
- 24 wrong.
- 25 Sir, you only went there one night, correct? You only went

H3R3WAL4

- to that restaurant one night?
- 2 On this particular trip? Α.
- 3 0. Yes.

- As far as I recall, yes. 4 Α.
- 5 So the night that you were at that restaurant would have
- been the only night that you were there, correct? 6
- 7 On this particular trip.
- Yes. And you said that the conversation you relayed that 8
- 9 you claim you had with Mr. Walters was leaving that restaurant
- 10 on that trip, isn't that right?
- 11 It was leaving the restaurant on that trip, yes, that's
- 12 accurate.
- 13 Q. You recall, sir, that -- withdrawn.
- 14 Let me now ask you, sir, about -- do you recall, sir,
- 15 giving testimony about the sale of the Dean Foods entity
- Morningstar, that the sale of which the code name or the name 16
- 17 of it was Project Cyto?
- 18 A. Yes.
- You recall, sir, on direct that you gave testimony that you 19
- 20 tipped Mr. Walters that the sale was going to happen before it
- 21 was publicly announced? Do you recall that?
- 22 Α. Yes, that's accurate.
- That's another lie, isn't it, sir? 23 0. Okav.
- 24 Α. No.
- 25 You recall, sir, in your prior sessions with the Q.

- prosecution that we've shown on Defense Exhibit 5 telling them 1
- 2 exactly the opposite? Specifically telling them that you did
- 3 not tell Mr. Walters about Project Cyto until after it was
- 4 publicly announced in November or December? Do you recall
- 5 that, sir?
- No, I don't. 6 Α.
- 7 Q. Let me show you what's been marked for identification as
- 8 3501-18. You see the dates, sir?
- 9 Yes. Α.
- 10 Now going to direct your attention to page three and to
- 11 middle of the page, first paragraph, third sentence.
- 12 recall, sir, telling the prosecutors that you told Mr. Walters
- 13 about Project Cyto in late November, early December?
- 14 What was the date of this -- these FBI notes? Α.
- 15 Q. April 14, 2016.
- 16 And your question is? Α.
- 17 Do you recall, sir, telling the prosecutors that you told Q.
- 18 Mr. Walters about Project Cyto in late November, early
- December, 2012? 19
- 20 I don't recall this conversation, no.
- 21 Let me turn your attention to page three, second paragraph.
- 22 Do you recall, sir, at this same meeting on April 14, after
- 23 reviewing the December 3 press release announcing the sale of
- 24 Morningstar, you told the prosecution that you told Mr. Walters
- 25 about this transaction after the press release?

- I don't recall this, this is -- I just don't recall having 1 2 said that, no.
- 3 Do you deny it, sir? 0.
- I'm simply saying I don't recall having said it, I'm sorry. 4 Α.
- 5 My question to you, sir, is do you deny that you told the 6 prosecution prior to your testimony in your prior meetings that 7 you told Mr. Walters about Project Cyto or the sale of
- Morningstar after it was publicly announced? 8
 - MS. CUCINELLA: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 10 THE COURT: It's sustained. The witness has said he 11 doesn't recall.
- 12 Q. You would agree with me, sir, that you were free to discuss 13 the sale of Morningstar, Project Cyto, after it was publicly
- 14 announced, correct, sir?
- 15 Α. Yes.

- Sir, I want to ask, do you recall August 7, 2012, that's 16
- when Dean Foods announced it had filed an S-1 or announced it 17
- 18 was going to have the propose -- or announced the filing of
- 19 documentation with regard to the proposed IPO of WhiteWave,
- 20 correct, sir?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 Q. You recall, sir, that immediately following it, the next
- 23 day on the earnings call, Mr. Engles described in very positive
- 24 terms what this meant?
- 25 Yes. Α.

- Let me show you what's in evidence Government Exhibit 1 2 605-B. If you give me a moment, it may not be.
 - Let me show you what's not yet in evidence and marked for identification as Government Exhibit 605-B. You see, sir, this is the Dean Foods earning call transcript for August 8, the day after the August 7 announcement?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I'd offer in evidence Government Exhibit 605-B.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MS. CUCINELLA: No objection.

THE COURT: Received.

- (Government's Exhibit 605-B received in evidence)
- Q. Again, everything in these transcripts, public information, 14 15 correct?
- 16 Yes. Α.
- 17 Can we go to page three, to show it's Mr. Engles talking.
- You see these are Mr. Engles' remarks that follow? 18
- 19 You see that, sir?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Now can we go to page four, paragraph two. See where
- 22 Mr. Engles says "We also filed a registration statement to
- 23 conduct an initial public offering of up to 20 percent of the
- 24 WhiteWave Foods Company, which is comprised of our
- 25 WhiteWave-Alpro segment. For all the reasons we've discussed

4

Davis - cross

1 in the past, we continue to believe that this is the right move for shareholders." 2

You see that, sir?

- Yes, I do. Α.
- 5 Q. Let me direct your attention to page four, paragraph three.
- 6 You see where it says at the bottom of this second sentence
- 7 with regard to the same transaction: "As such, we believe the
- separation of WhiteWave-Alpro from Dean Foods is the next 8
- 9 logical step in our journey to create value for our
- 10 shareholders."
- 11 Do you recall that, sir?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 Q. You recall there are other public statements released by
- 14 the company about this announcement and about what the intent
- 15 and plans were with regard to WhiteWave following the August 7
- 16 announcement, correct, sir?
- 17 With regard to WhiteWave? Α.
- 18 Ο. Yes.
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 Now, sir, if I can show you what is in evidence as Defense
- 21 Exhibit 4053. DX 4053. Sir, to put this in the timeline, this
- 22 is the e-mails you had with Mr. Lyons that we looked at earlier
- 23 todav.
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 That was entirely appropriate. So the Dean WhiteWave news

- that he asks "it's all good" and you said "it certainly is." 1
- That's the August 7 announcement, correct? 2
- 3 Yes. Α.

4

8

9

10

11

Q. That we just talked about.

5 Let me show you, sir, what's marked as Government 6 Exhibit 466 for identification. You see, sir, these are the 7 minutes of the Dean Foods quarterly meeting for the board of

- A. Yes.
- MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I would offer Government Exhibit 466 into evidence.
- 12 MS. CUCINELLA: No objection.

directors for August 14 and 15?

- 13 THE COURT: Received.
- 14 (Government's Exhibit 466 received in evidence)
- 15 Q. Again the board of directors meeting on August 14 and
- August 15. Correct? 16
- 17 Α. Yes.
- 18 Q. I can direct your attention to page five, first paragraph.
- Sixth sentence. Beginning "Mr. Mara" stated. Middle of the 19
- 20 paragraph on the right side. See where it say "Mr. Mara stated
- 21 that strong second quarter 2012 performance had been well
- 22 received and that the news of the IPO of WhiteWave was viewed
- 23 favorably by the investment community."
- 24 Do you recall that, sir?
- 25 Α. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Davis - cross

- Let me show you what's marked as Defense Exhibit 1002-A for identification. Do you see sir, this is the Dean Foods outlook 2012 for the financial business overview and 2012 outlook for a board of directors meeting dated August 15, 2012? A. Yes.
- MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I would offer Defense Exhibit 1002-A into evidence.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MS. CUCINELLA: One moment, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GOLDMAN: The whole document?

MR. BERKE: Your Honor, if I could have a moment with Ms. Cucinella.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. CUCINELLA: Your Honor, we're fine with the document except for the pages that go through analyst reports for the objection that we've had standing throughout.

MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I believe it is to his state of mind for this time period, because those would have been statements that he would have been free to discuss outside of the company that were shared with him at a board meeting.

MS. CUCINELLA: His state of mind as to this is irrelevant at this point. It is whether or not the facts themselves were public, and here this is speculation projections, that kind of thing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Davis - cross

MR. BERKE: We're happy to explain why we think it is relevant to his state of mind at that juncture.

THE COURT: No, I understand your point and I'm going to allow it not for the truth of its content, but the fact that it was part of the presentation made at the board meeting.

(Defendant's Exhibit 1002-A received in evidence)

- This is what we're talking about, the financial business overview and 2012 outlook, the board of directors, August 15, correct, sir?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 If we can first go to page 27. And you see that the agenda 12 has the post earnings investor reaction? Do you see that, sir?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 If we can now go to page 31. And you see where it says "This was a well-received strong quarter," this is following 15
- the August 7 and August 8 announcements, correct, sir? 16
- 17 Α. Yes.
- Q. You see here that it's quoting including for the board from 18 BMO Capital and you see where it says in the middle these are 19
- 20 highlights on the document. It talks that the earnings were
- 21 stronger than expected performance in Fresh Dairy. Do you see
- 22 that?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 Second it says the WhiteWave-Alpro segment continues to be
- 25 a strong performer. Right, sir?

H3R3WAL4

Α. Yes.

- If I can next go to page 32, you see Sanford Bernstein and 2
- 3 it lists Sanford Bernstein, but the title is "Strong Reaction
- to Earnings and IPO Announcement." Do you see that? 4
- 5 Yes, I do. Α.
- 6 If we go to page 33. And you see on the bottom there is a
- 7 quote from Eric Katzman at Deutsche Bank?
- 8 Α. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you see, sir, that when it talks about in the middle
- 10 "Catch the White Wave," do you see that?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 It says "With the expected 20 percent IPO of WhiteWave, the
- 13 market will now be forced to recognize and value a strong,
- 14 organic product based business."
- A. Yes, I see it. 15
- Then it says "Assuming no M&A premium for WW, 16
- 17 although this is likely long term, we calculate close to \$10 of
- 18 value based on calculations that include, " you see that, sir?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, as I was trying to
- explain before, this portion of the document is not admitted 21
- 22 for the truth of its content. It's simply because it may have
- 23 affected this witness's state of mind, and that's the only
- 24 purpose for which it's received at this stage.
- 25 Sir, do you see that it says under valuation and risk that

- Eric Katzman of Deutsche Bank gives it a \$20 target from 18. 1
- 2 Do you see that, sir?
- 3 A. Yes, I do.
- 4 Now, do you recall I was asking you if you remember what Q.
- 5 happened on October 17? And this again, we were looking at
- 6 this slide deck is from August. Correct?
- 7 Α. Yes.
- August 15, correct? 8 Q.
- 9 Α. Correct.
- 10 Now I want to take you forward to October 17. Do you
- 11 recall this morning I was asking you questions about what
- 12 happened on October 17? Do you recall that, sir?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Let me show you what's in evidence as Government Exhibit
- 15 888-A. You see, sir, if we can get the date at the top, too.
- So you see, sir, that on October 17, there was an amendment 16
- filed with regard to the document, the S-1 announcing IPO? Do 17
- 18 you recall that, sir?
- 19 Yes, this was a third amendment, yes.
- 20 If we go to page two. If we can highlight the box over
- 21 there.
- 22 Do you recall, sir, that this amendment announced sort
- 23 of a proposed pricing for the IPO, which I'm showing on page
- 24 two. Do you recall that, sir?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 So that happened on October 17, correct?
- 2 Α. Yes.
- 3 Now let me show you what was asked before, which is in
- evidence as Defense Exhibit 4048. Do you recall, sir that 4
- after that was filed, the stock -- the share price of Dean 5
- Foods stock went up? Do you recall that? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.

- Do you recall, now looking at Defense Exhibit 4048, that at
- 9 1 o'clock on the 17th you wrote to Bucky Lyons "Hope you made a
- 10 lot of money on your investment, " correct?
- 11 Yes, I did.
- 12 You knew he held Dean Foods stock, so it would have gone up
- 13 in value, correct?
- 14 Yes, he told me that, yes. Α.
- 15 Q. Then when you're talking about he said on the 17th "Made
- some, was hoping to buy more today, I hope it goes to 25." 16
- 17 You see that, sir?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 And then you say "My guess is it will be \$20 by the end of
- 20 the roadshow next week."
- 21 Do you see that, sir?
- 22 Yes, referring to Dean Foods stock, yes. Α.
- 23 And that's the same -- do you recall that's the same price
- 24 Eric Katzman gave in the portion of his report that we showed
- 25 that you were showed at the August 15 board meeting that we

- 1 just looked at. Do you recall that, sir?
- I think you've confused your issues. I think Eric 2
- 3 Katzman's commentary referred to the proposed value of the
- WhiteWave stock, not the Dean Foods stock. That's the way I 4
- 5 read it.
- Q. Let me ask you, sir. You're speculating with Mr. Lyons 6
- 7 that the price of Dean Foods may go up to \$20 by the end of
- roadshows next week. Entirely appropriate as you testified 8
- 9 before, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Sir, let me show you what's in evidence as Government
- Exhibit 1934. Sir, you see that these are e-mail exchanges 12
- 13 between you and Mr. Engles?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. If we can go to the bottom one first. You see where
- Mr. Engles says "TD, got to tell you that the underwriters are 16
- 17 They were sure it was going to hit the low 20s in the
- 18 short term. When it didn't happen things softened quickly."
- 19 Right? That's related to the IPO, correct?
- 20 Α. Yes, correct.
- 21 You recall it was disappointing. Do you recall that, sir?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 If you go to your response you gave, "I've seen this happen
- 24 before. Sometimes it takes days, a few days for the stock to
- 25 find its comfortable level. I'll be back on November 3 so we

- can together almost any time the week of November 5. 1
- learned long ago to only worry about stuff that I can control." 2
- 3 Yes. Α.
- 4 It's fair to say the way the market reacted to the IPO Q.
- 5 announcement you couldn't control?
- Α. Yes. 6
- 7 The only thing you could speculate is how the market might
- react, and you may be right and you may be wrong, correct? 8
- 9 Yes, I think that's accurate.
- 10 Sir, do you recall between August and October you had a
- 11 number of things to talk about with Mr. Walters, having nothing
- 12 to do with Dean Foods stock?
- 13 Α. Of what year? I'm sorry.
- 14 August to October 2012. Q.
- 15 Α. I don't recall what we had to talk about then precisely,
- 16 no, besides Dean Foods.
- 17 Your Honor, if I can just have one minute. MR. BERKE:
- 18 THE COURT: Yes.
- 19 Thank you. MR. BERKE:
- 20 Sir, let me ask you, you said before that you couldn't
- 21 recall that there were other friends that you and Mr. Walters
- 22 had in common, right?
- 23 You mean besides Bill Saxon? Α.
- 24 Ο. Yes.
- 25 Α. Yes.

H3R3WAL4 Davis - cross

- 1 | Q. Tom King, mutual friend, correct?
- 2 | A. Who?
- 3 Q. Tom King.
- 4 A. I didn't know that Mr. Walters knew Tom King, other than
- 5 | the one time we played golf.
- 6 Q. He is a friend of yours, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. David Feherty?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Tom Ferguson?
- 11 | THE COURT: I don't understand the question. I just
- 12 | hear a name and then I hear a "yes." So what's the question,
- 13 Mr. Berke, so I understand the answer?
- MR. BERKE: Thank you, your Honor.
- 15 Q. These were other mutual friends you had with Mr. Walters,
- 16 | correct?
- 17 A. The ones you named, I would agree with, yes.
- 18 | Q. And now I want to talk about other things, other topics
- 19 | that you were discussing with Mr. Walters between August and
- 20 October 2012. Correct, sir?
- Do you recall, sir, that you agreed to be on the
- 22 | dinner committee for an Opportunity Village charity event that
- 23 was honoring Mr. Walters?
- 24 A. I agreed to contribute money, yes.
- 25 Q. Do you recall you were on the dinner committee?

- 1 I think my name was listed on the dinner committee because I made a contribution. 2
- 3 Q. You recall other friends of yours, mutual friends like
- Mr. Sexton, was also on the dinner committee? Do you recall 4
- 5 that, sir?
- A. Who? 6
- 7 Bill Sexton. Q.
- I don't know who else was on the committee. I just don't 8
- 9 recall.
- 10 Do you recall, sir, you were talking to Mr. Walters about
- 11 that during that time frame?
- 12 I recall receiving one e-mail from Mr. Walters' office
- 13 asking me to make a contribution for this function to raise
- 14 money. And I did so.
- 15 Q. Do you recall you spoke to Mr. Walters about it as well
- 16 during that time frame, sir?
- 17 A. I don't recall.
- 18 Q. Are you denying that or are you just saying you don't
- 19 recall?
- 20 I'm saying I just don't recall having that conversation,
- 21 no.
- 22 Q. Let me ask you about February 2013. Do you recall you
- 23 testified on direct at transcript page 879, lines 12 to 17.
- 24 you recall testifying that you had learned that Dean Foods was
- 25 going to lose some of Walmart's business and you claimed that

you told Mr. Walters of it before it was publicly announced? 1 2 Do you recall that testimony?

Yes, I do. Α.

3

4

5

- Sir, again, that was another lie, wasn't it, sir? Q.
- It definitely was not. Α.
- 6 Well, you recall, sir, in your 12th proffer session with 7 the government on April 14, 2016, you told the prosecution you didn't recall giving any material non-public information to 8
- 9 Mr. Walters between December 2012 and March 2013?

10 Do you recall that, sir?

- 11 I don't recall that proffer session, no.
- 12 MR. BERKE: Let me show you what's been marked for 13 identification as 3501-18. Show you page three of five, middle 14 of the third page. That's not the correct one. 3501-18, page 15 three of five, middle of the third paragraph under the heading
- 2012. No. Yes. Thank you, Mr. McLeod. 16
- 17 Q. Sir, read that first sentence to yourself. Sir, does that
- refresh your memory, sir, that you told the prosecution 18
- April 14, 2016, that you did not give Mr. Walters any material 19
- 20 non-public information between December 2012 and March 2013?
- 21 That doesn't refresh my memory, no. Α.
- 22 Ο. Do you deny it, sir?
- 23 I said it doesn't refresh my memory.
- 24 THE COURT: Do you remember one way or the other 25 whether you --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE WITNESS: No, sir, I don't.

THE COURT: That's the answer.

Do you recall, sir, at this same meeting what you told the prosecution was that the only thing you recall discussing with Mr. Walters about Dean Foods was whether Dean Foods would keep or sell its WhiteWave stock, and you told them you were expressing opinions that was based on pure speculation and was not in any way Dean Foods material non-public information?

Do you recall that, sir?

- Α. No. I don't recall that, no.
- Let me show you again the same document, 3501-18. Show you page three, fourth paragraph under 2012. My question to you after you're done reading it, does that refresh your memory, sir, that you told the prosecution in April of 2016 that the only thing you talked about with Mr. Walters during this period was you speculated what might happen with Dean Foods' shares of WhiteWave, and that was not based on any material non-public information?

Do you recall that, sir?

- That's not what this paragraph says and I don't recall that, no.
- Q. Let me ask you this, sir. Do you recall you telling the prosecutors that you shared opinions with Mr. Walters during this time period based on your speculation, not Dean Foods material non-public information?

- 1 Α. I don't recall that, no.
- 2 Do you deny you said it, sir? Q.
- 3 I said I don't recall it. Α.
- 4 One way or the other? Q.
- 5 I don't recall it, no.
- 6 Sir, do you recall that in the Super Bowl -- certainly in
- 7 2013 was in early February, do you recall that, sir?
- 8 Α. Yes.
- 9 Q. Do you recall testifying, sir, that you would discuss at
- 10 times Mr. Walters' views about big games like the Super Bowl?
- 11 Do you recall that, sir?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 Do you recall saying further that there was a time 0.
- 14 Mr. Walters would tell you his picks, who he liked for a game?
- 15 Do you recall that, sir?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 And his views on the betting line?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- I want to ask you about a different company now, sir. 19 Q.
- 20 Do you recall being asked questions on direct about a 21 company called Darden Restaurants?
- 22 A. Yes, I do.
- 23 Do you recall the prosecution showed you a deck or a
- 24 presentation, two of them, about Darden? Do you recall that,
- 25 sir?

- Α. Yes, I do.
- Do you recall, sir, that in your prior meetings with the 2
- 3 prosecution in April of 2016, you told them that you didn't
- 4 recall sending anything to Mr. Walters? Do you recall that,
- 5 sir?

- 6 A. Yes, I do recall that.
- 7 Do you recall, sir, even after they showed you some
- shipping information, you still said you didn't recall sending 8
- 9 anything relating to Darden to Mr. Walters? Do you recall
- 10 that, sir?
- 11 Can you repeat the question?
- Do you recall, sir, even after they showed you that you had 12
- 13 sent something to Mr. Walters, a receipt that you had sent
- 14 something, you still had no memory of sending anything relating
- 15 to Darden to Mr. Walters? Do you recall that sir?
- My recollection is different. 16
- 17 Well, you recalled that you told the prosecution that you
- 18 didn't recall sending anything to Mr. Walters? Do you recall
- 19 that, sir?
- 20 At some point in time, I do recall having said that, yes.
- 21 Do you recall you said that, sir, after a point in time
- 22 when they showed you the shipping notification?
- 23 I don't recall the sequence of events, no.
- 24 You don't recall one way or the other? Ο.
- 25 No, I don't. Α.

- Do you recall, sir, that what you told Mr. Walters about 1 Darden was he should take a look at it because the company was 2 3 probably undervalued and you thought it was an interesting investment opportunity when you spoke to him on the phone about 4
 - Do you recall that, sir?
 - Α. Yes.

Darden?

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Do you recall, sir, it was not a big deal, it was just an "oh by the way" conversation when you talked with him about
- 10 Darden? Do you recall that, sir?
- 11 I don't know what an "oh by the way" conversation is, I'm 12 sorry.
 - Q. Do you recall, sir, telling the prosecution that you provided this information to Mr. Walters in the context of, quote, "oh by the way"?
 - I don't recall precisely what I said during the proffer sessions, I'm sorry.
 - Q. Let me show you what's marked as 3501-79. Look at the date, please, sir. I'm going to direct your attention to page two, fourth full paragraph. Fourth line, end of line. Ask you to review. I'm going to ask you about the second half of that, the last two lines. I'd ask you to review the last two lines to yourself.
 - My question to you, sir, does that refresh your memory on March 19, 2016, you told the prosecutors that you provided

- information to Mr. Walters about Darden in the context of "oh 1
- 2 by the way"?
- 3 I don't recall using that term, no.
- Is that an accurate description, that it was an offhanded 4 Q.
- 5 remark when you told him it could be a good investment in
- Darden? 6
- 7 I don't think it was an offhanded description, no.
- 8 So you deny saying that?
- 9 I deny saying what? Α.
- 10 That your discussion with Mr. Walters of Darden was in the
- context of "oh by the wav"? 11
- 12 No, it was very specific.
- 13 You deny saying that, did you deny --0.
- 14 I've already said I don't recall saying that in the proffer
- session. 15
- But your testimony today is it would be a false to say that 16
- 17 you discussed Darden with Mr. Walters in the context of "oh by
- 18 the way." Is that your testimony, sir?
- A. Yes, it is. 19
- 20 It's true, sir, Mr. Walters never asked you any questions
- 21 about Darden, isn't that so?
- 22 I recall he asked me a few questions in a phone
- 23 conversation that I had with him about Darden, yes.
- 24 Sir, didn't you tell the prosecution again at the same
- 25 meeting -- if we can put that back up.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Do you recall telling the prosecution at the same meeting that Mr. Walters never asked you any questions regarding Darden?
- A. I think this is in the context of after I sent him the deck. The confidential deck, he never asked me any further questions about Darden. That's accurate.
- Q. So let's talk about that deck, sir. Do you recall you were shown the deck, you were shown two decks, correct, sir?
- A. Two?
- Q. Two. Do you recall you were shown two different decks?
- 11 A. I don't recall that, no.
- MR. BERKE: If we can put up on the screen what's admitted in evidence as Government Exhibit 1809-A.
- 14 Q. Do you recall being shown or being asked about this deck,
- 15 Government Exhibit 1809-A, Project Dee Perspectives on Value
- 16 | Creation?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. You recall, sir, also being asked about Government Exhibit
- 19 | 1807 which we'll put up. Do you recall also being asked about
- 20 | this one dated July 12, 2013?
- 21 | A. That's a different deck?
- 22 | Q. I'll show you the other one. Let's put up Government
- 23 | Exhibit 1809-A. See that's dated July 24, 2013?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 Q. You see Government Exhibit 1807 is dated July 12, 2013.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Davis - cross

- Two separate decks, correct, sir? 1
 - Yes, apparently there are two separate decks, yes. Α.
- 3 Q. You recall, sir, during your testimony, this is on page
- 883, lines nine to 18, you were asked: 4

It says Project Dee on the front I believe on both of the deal books we saw. You were asked what company was Project Dee referring to? You said Darden Company. You were asked did these deal books say Darden anywhere in them? You said I don't think so. No.

Going down to line 16. You were asked why wouldn't a company's name be used in a book like this. And you said in order to disquise it, you'd use a name and not the company's real name.

Do you recall being asked those questions, sir, and giving those answers?

- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 Again, sir, that's not true, is it?
- I don't know what's not true about that. 18 Α.
- Let's take a look if we can put up GX 1809-A. We'll do the 19
- 20 first one. Let's do 1809-A first. And again that's one of the
- 21 decks we were just talking about in your testimony, correct,
- 22 sir?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 If we can go to page eight. See the top line.
- 25 do you see up at the top of the title, sir?

Case 1:16-cr-00338-PKC Document 148 Filed 04/19/17 Page 145 of 221

H3R3WAL4 Davis - cross

1 A. It says Darden.

- 2 Q. So when you testified under oath and swore that the books
- 3 don't say Darden anywhere, that wasn't right, was it, sir?
- 4 A. That was my recollection when I testified.
- 5 Q. When Ms. Cucinella asked you why the books didn't say
- 6 Darden anywhere, you said it was because to maintain the
- 7 | confidentiality to hide the name of the company, that wasn't
- 8 | true either, was it, sir?
- 9 A. My recollection was that it was code named on the front of
- 10 | the deck. That's all I really recall.
- 11 Q. You spent at least 29 days meeting with the prosecution
- 12 about this case, correct, sir?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. You looked at these decks before with them, haven't you,
- 15 | sir?
- 16 A. I haven't -- I didn't use this deck to refresh my memory.
- 17 | I didn't need to.
- (Continued on next page)

19

20

21

22

23

24

Davis - cross

- You never looked at this deck before your testimony last 1 2 week; is that your testimony, sir?
- 3 A. I didn't look at the entire deck. No, I looked at the
- front page of it. 4
- 5 Q. And you never looked at any other page; that is your
- testimony? 6
- 7 That is my testimony, yes.
- You see, sir, it says Darden throughout this book, correct? 8
- 9 I haven't seen the whole book, but certainly it says that
- 10 on page 7.
- 11 Q. Now let's go to the first bullet point. What does it say?
- 12 "Darden is one of the world's largest publicly traded
- 13 companies, " right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- And there is only one Darden Restaurant that you know 15 Q.
- 16 about, correct, sir?
- 17 That is accurate. A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And it describes Darden and all the brand names it
- 19 operates, right?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 From Red Lobster, Olive Garden, all the way down, correct,
- 22 sir?
- 23 A. Yes, it does.
- 24 If you look at this source of this information, it says the
- 25 source of this information is SEC filings, capital IQ. Do you

H3rdwal5 Davis - cross

- 1 see that, sir?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. You know SEC filings are publicly available information,
- 4 | isn't that true, sir?
- 5 A. Yes, they are.
- 6 Q. Let's go to 18 -- let's go to Government Exhibit -- the
- 7 other deck, Government Exhibit 1807. And this is the July 12
- 8 | book, Project Dee, correct?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Again, as to this one, your testimony that doesn't mention
- 11 Darden, that is not true either, is it, sir?
- 12 A. I just don't recall.
- 13 Q. Go to page 7. "The company has significant strengths."
- 14 "The company," sir, is Darden Restaurants, correct,
- 15 || sir?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And there is no doubt that the company being described here
- 18 | is Darden, correct, sir?
- 19 A. I think that's accurate, yes.
- 20 Q. And you recall, sir, both reports also said that the
- 21 analysis was based solely on publicly available information; do
- 22 | you recall that, sir?
- 23 | A. I don't know that both reports were based solely on public
- 24 | information. There was a lot more information in these two
- 25 decks that was privileged and confidential information aside

Davis - cross

- from what you're showing the courtroom about Darden. 1
- Q. OK. Let's look at page 2 of this document, "this document" 2
- 3 being Government Exhibit 1807, the first paragraph, second
- 4 line.
- 5 Do you see what it says, sir, "The views expressed
- 6 herein represent the opinions of Barington, whose analysis is
- 7 based solely on publicly available information." Do you see
- that, sir? Do you see that line? 8
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Now let me show you Government Exhibit 1809A. Let's look
- 11 at the first page first. Then let's go to page 2, the same
- 12 place.
- 13 And it says, "The views expressed herein represent the
- 14 opinions of Barington, whose analysis is based solely on
- 15 publicly available information."
- 16 Did I read that correctly, sir?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Sir, you testified on direct that Mr. Walters you've, seen
- 19 him use a different -- more than one cell phone on occasion;
- 20 isn't that your testimony, sir?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You were aware, sir, that Mr. Walters had people throughout
- 23 Las Vegas who placed bets for him at different places for
- 24 games? You were aware of that, sir, weren't you?
- 25 Α. Yes.

Davis - cross

- 1 And you were aware that Mr. Walters himself personally kept 2 in touch with these folks who had placed these bets for him,
- 3 weren't you, sir?
- 4 Yes, I was aware of that. Α.
- 5 And you observed him, in the times that you were together
- 6 at various points, answering one phone; isn't that correct,
- 7 sir, or a different phone, isn't that correct, sir?
- 8 Multiple phones, yes.
- 9 Q. You are aware, sir, that he used one of those phones for
- 10 gambling so people wouldn't hear or learn what bets he was
- 11 placing on certain games, didn't you, sir?
- 12 I wasn't totally aware of that, no.
- 13 So that was your assumption, wasn't it, sir? Ο.
- 14 That was my assumption, yes. Α.
- And you already said that he would at times talk to you 15 Q.
- 16 about betting strategy or how he was going to bet on an
- 17 upcoming game, isn't that true, sir?
- 18 Occasionally he would, yes. Α.
- 19 And you would at times pass on that information to your
- 20 friends who were also betting on those games, correct, sir?
- 21 Yes, I would share it with them. Α.
- 22 Sir, I want to ask you about your testimony about when you
- 23 claim that you had obtained the phone that you called the bat
- 24 phone; do you recall that testimony on direct, sir?
- 25 Α. Yes, I do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

And you recall, sir, your testimony on direct because that was the phone you used -- I believe your testimony was that that was the phone that you obtained, according to you, in -sometime in 2011, and you used it in late 2011 and all of 2012 to discuss Dean Foods' information with Mr. Walters; that was your testimony, correct, sir?

- Α. Yes.
- Q. And you said, sir, that you gave this -- withdrawn.

Your claim, sir, is that you obtained this bat phone for the purpose of talking about Dean Foods' stock from Mr. Walters at Signature Aviation at Love Field; is that your testimony, sir?

- Yes, it is. Α.
 - Q. You had testified that Mr. Walters stopped in his own airplane at Signature Love Field and you met him there and you had a short conversation, and you claim that he then gave you that phone at that visit for purposes of using to discuss Dean Foods stock; that was your testimony, correct, sir?
 - There was a lot of questions there. What I testified to is I met him at Signature Aviation and he gave me the phone.
 - Q. And you recall, you remember during this meeting that the weather was good; do you recall that, sir?
- 23 Α. Yes.
 - And, sir, you recall testifying that Signature Aviation was a private terminal for private jets at Dallas Love Field; do

you recall that, sir?

Α. Yes.

1

- 3 And you recall, sir, that you previously said that the
- meeting was set up for you and Mr. Walters and Mr. Luce to 4
- 5 discuss the Periscope investment that you talked a lot about;
- 6 do you recall that, sir?
- 7 I'm not sure precisely why the meeting was set up.
- Mr. Walters called me and asked me to meet with him. 8 That's my
- 9 recollection.
- 10 Do you recall, sir, previously telling prosecutors that you
- 11 remember that Mr. Walters set up the meeting at the airport in
- 12 Dallas because he was going to be there and he wanted to
- 13 discuss the Periscope deal with you?
- 14 I think that's accurate, yes. I think I did say that. Α.
- 15 Q. And do you recall, sir, you saw Mr. Walters' plane and you
- noted it had its initials on the tail; do you recall that, sir? 16
- 17 Yes, I think I told the prosecutors that, yes.
- 18 Q. And you recall, sir, that you even went so far as to meet
- 19 with the prosecutors and agents in this case and you took them
- 20 to Signature Field to show where you and Mr. Walters and
- 21 Mr. Luce sat down and had a discussion while you were there at
- 22 that signature terminal; do you recall that, sir?
- 23 A. Yes, I do.
- 24 And you recall, sir, you told the prosecution that you
- 25 recall on that airplane there was Susan Walters and Mike Luce

Davis - cross

- with Mr. Walters on that plane; do you recall telling them 1 2 that, sir?
- 3 A. I didn't say anything about them being on the plane. I met 4 Susan and Mike Luce in the terminal. I said nothing about the
- 5 plane.

8

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 6 On that day, you recalled seeing them at Signature 7 Aviation, where the plane was parked, correct, sir?
 - Yes, that's my recollection. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you recall that on this trip being told that they were 10 there to meet with some banks in Dallas and that was their

reason they had to be in Dallas; do you recall that, sir?

- Yes. I do recall that, yes. 12
- 13 And you recall, sir, that you said, on this meeting when Ο. 14 you claim you got this phone that you used to talk about Dean 15 Foods, that Mr. Walters called you a few days prior to set up the meeting; do you recall that, sir?
 - I know he called me in advance. I don't know whether it was a couple of days or a few days, I'm not sure. But he set up the meeting, yes, I know that.
 - Q. And, sir, I would like to show you what's marked in evidence as Government Exhibit 1002.
- 22 Do you recognize this as your phone records, sir?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 I would like to direct your attention to page 1662. Ο.
- 25 This is your cell phone records, correct, sir?

Davis - cross

- Α. Yes. It appears to be, yes.
- And I would like to direct your attention to December 18th. 2 Q.
- 3 And, sir, do you see a phone call that you made on
- 4 December 18th, sir? Do you see that?
- 5 Α. Yes.

- And, sir, do you see the time and it says "UTC time"? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- 8 Q. And you see it has the originating number? Do you see
- 9 that, sir? That's your number, correct?
- 10 Yes, it is. Α.
- 11 And you see, sir, that on the right it has "Cell Location"?
- Do you see that, sir? 12
- 13 It's highlighted. Yes, I see that. Α. Yeah.
- 14 And that's for December 18, 2012, correct, sir? Q.
- 15 Α. Yes.
- And you see it says "Cell location, 96.86" in numbers and 16
- 17 "32.853" in numbers. Do you see that, sir?
- 18 Yes, I do. Α.
- Do you recognize that as a longitude and latitude number? 19
- 20 I'm not familiar with longitude and latitudes, no. Α.
- 21 MR. BERKE: Your Honor, if I may ask for your Honor to
- 22 take judicial notice of those two numbers?
- 23 THE COURT: I take judicial notice of the two numbers
- 24 as latitude and longitude indicators for a location in or about
- 25 Dallas Love Field Airport in Dallas, Texas.

25

Davis - cross

1 MR. BERKE: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. BERKE: 2 3 Q. Isn't it a fact, sir, that the alleged meeting in which you 4 claim that you supposedly got a bat phone from Mr. Walters took 5 place on December 18, 2012, long after the events that you 6 claimed required you to use a bat phone and in which you used 7 it; isn't that true, sir? That's not my recollection. 8 9 Q. And, sir, are you being as truthful about that as anything 10 else in your testimony today? 11 MS. CUCINELLA: Objection. 12 THE COURT: Sustained. 13 MR. BERKE: Your Honor, may I have a moment? 14 THE COURT: You may. 15 MR. BERKE: Thank you. 16 (Pause) 17 Thank you, your Honor. I have no further questions. 18 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we will take our mid-afternoon break. 19 20 Please do not discuss the case among yourselves or 21 with anyone else. Keep an open mind. We'll be back with the 22 redirect of the witness by Ms. Cucinella. Ten minutes. 23 (Jury not present)

THE COURT: See you all in ten minutes.

(Recess)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Davis - redirect

THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. 1

Bring our jury in. Well, please remain standing and we'll bring our jury in. Sorry.

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

Ms. Cucinella, you may inquire.

MS. CUCINELLA: Thank you, your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- BY MS. CUCINELLA:
- 10 Good afternoon, Mr. Davis. Ο.
- 11 Α. How are you?
- 12 Mr. Davis, over the last two days, Mr. Berke has asked you
- 13 a lot of questions. I'm going to just go over some of them
- 14 with some follow up and try to do it as fast as I can.
- 15 Mr. Berke asked you some questions about a
- presentation that your lawyers made to the SEC. Do you recall 16
- 17 that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 And that presentation was in the fall of 2015; is that
- 20 right, sir?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And the purpose of that presentation was to provide the SEC
- 23 with supposedly innocent explanations for your conduct and the
- 24 conduct of Mr. Walters, right, Mr. Davis?
- 25 That's accurate, yes. Α.

- But there aren't any innocent explanations, are there, 1
- Mr. Davis? 2
- 3 No, I'm afraid not. Α.
- Just to be clear, that presentation was part of a cover-up 4 Q.
- 5 of the insider trading you did with Mr. Walters?
- MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I would object to that, the 6 7 question. I also object to the leading.
- 8 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
- 9 Overruled.
- 10 BY MS. CUCINELLA:
- 11 Mr. Davis, did this presentation include an analysis of
- 12 Mr. Walters' trading?
- 13 A. Yes, I think it did.
- 14 Q. And did you and your lawyer hire experts to do that
- 15 analysis?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 Did this analysis try and explain the trading through
- 18 reliance on analyst reports?
- 19 To some degree, yes. Α.
- 20 Q. And that's one of the ways that your lawyers tried to
- 21 persuade the SEC that there had been no insider trading here,
- 22 right?
- 23 A. Yes, that's accurate.
- 24 THE COURT: Now, Ms. Cucinella, on redirect you have a
- 25 little bit of latitude on leading because you have to take the

6

7

8

- witness to the subject area, but once you get into the subject 1 area, try to avoid the leading questions. All right? 2
- 3 MS. CUCINELLA: Certainly, your Honor. I am just 4 trying to keep it moving.
 - Q. That presentation, Mr. Davis, did it also include an analysis of phone calls with Mr. Walters?
 - I think it did, yes. Yes.
 - And there were explanations for those phone calls, as well?
- 9 There were some explanations, yes. Α.
- 10 Did it suggest that you had talked about gambling? Q.
- 11 Α. Yes, it did.
- 12 Do you recall whether it spoke about mutual friends?
- 13 Α. I think it did, yes.
- 14 THE COURT: What are you referring to now, Mr. Davis?
- 15 THE WITNESS: The SEC presentation, your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 17 BY MS. CUCINELLA:
- 18 Mr. Davis, were those explanations truthful?
- 19 I'm sorry. Can you repeat? Α.
- 20 Were the explanations offered by your lawyers in that
- 21 presentation, were they truthful?
- 22 Α. No, not at all.
- 23 Were they accurate? 0.
- 24 Α. No.
- 25 Were you -- are you in fact innocent? Q.

Α. No.

- Mr. Davis, Mr. Berke showed you three pages of this 2
- 3 presentation. It was marked as Government Exhibit 3501-76. Do
- 4 you recall him showing you those pages?
- 5 A. Yes.
- MS. CUCINELLA: Ms. Meister, could you please pull up 6 7 for Mr. Davis 3501-76 at page 88.
- Mr. Davis, do you recognize this as one of the pages that 8
- 9 Mr. Berke tried to refresh your recollection with?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 MS. CUCINELLA: The government offers page 88 of Government Exhibit 3501-76 under Rule 612. 12
- 13 MR. BERKE: No objection, your Honor. Could I just 14 ask that it be scrolled down one page or two. I just can't
- 15 tell if it goes over to the second page.
- MS. CUCINELLA: At this point we are only offering 88. 16
- 17 MR. BERKE: Your Honor, think that section has
- 18 multiple pages. I would just ask that the whole section be --
- 19 THE COURT: Show me 89. Now go back to 88.
- 20 Keep going.
- 21 90, page 90, please.
- 22 Yes. You can offer 87 to 90 under the rule of 23 completeness.
- 24 MS. CUCINELLA: I don't believe we showed him 87, so 25 if we could do 88 through 90?

- 1 THE COURT: All right. That's fine.
- (Government's Exhibit 3501-76, pages 88 through 90, 2
- received in evidence) 3
- BY MS. CUCINELLA: 4
- 5 Starting on page 88, let's go through this document. O. OK.
- Looking at the first quote -- I will wait for it to 6 7 come up.

(Pause)

- 9 Mr. Davis, the first quote, where is that from?
- 10 It looks like it is from a consumer conference, Barclays
- 11 Consumer Conference.
- 12 That was a public statement as of what date?
- 13 2010. September 2010. Α.
- 14 And the second quote, and I won't have you read it to save Q.
- time, but what is that from? 15
- It's from an earnings conference call in November 2010. 16
- 17 That is a Dean Foods earnings conference call? Q.
- 18 Yes. Yes. I'm sorry. Α.
- 19 And looking at the -- I believe it is the third quote,
- 20 where is that from?
- 21 It's again from a conference in New York, an analyst
- 22 conference in New York in February 2011.
- 23 And the fourth quote? 0.
- 24 It is also a Dean Foods earnings call in May of 2012. Α.
- 25 And all of these quotes, they're all speculation about the

H3rdwal5 Davis - redirect

- spin-off, is that right? 1
- 2 I think that's accurate, yes. Α.
- 3 Q. Mr. Davis, these quotes that deal with speculation, were
- these your lawyers' efforts to convince the SEC that the 4
- 5 spin-off was in the public domain?
- 6 MR. BERKE: I would object, your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: Yes. Rephrase it.
- MS. CUCINELLA: I will rephrase. 8
- 9 Q. Mr. Davis, was the WhiteWave spin-off in fact ever made
- 10 public before it was announced on August 7th of 2012?
- 11 Α. Never.
- So this was a false argument? 12 Ο.
- 13 Objection, your Honor. MR. BERKE:
- 14 THE COURT: Overruled.
- Now, Ms. Meister, could we turn to page -- I'm sorry. 15 Q.
- 16 Can you answer the question?
- 17 I'm sorry. Could you repeat the question? Pardon me.
- 18 MS. CUCINELLA: Could we have the question read back?
- 19 (Record read)
- 20 Yes, this is a false argument. Α.
- 21 Q. Let's turn to page 90.
- 22 What are we looking at here, Mr. Davis, the caption at
- 23 the top of the page?
- 24 It says, "Gregg Engles talked about the spin-off in
- 25 May 2012."

- 1 And each one of these two quotes on this page, who are 2 these quotes from?
- 3 A. Gregg Engles responding to a question and Gregg Engles again responding to another question. 4
- 5 Q. And each of these questions, is he addressing the possibility of a spin-off? 6
- 7 Yes, to some slight degree. Yes, he was.
- 8 Q. To some slight degree?
- 9 He was purposely vague in answering both questions, as you 10 can see, so as not to disclose the timing or the actual plans 11 of the spin-off.
- 12 But by including these statements here, there was also an 13 effort to make it seem like this information was public, right,
- 14 Mr. Davis?
- 15 Α. Yes, it was. That was the intent.
- 16 Was this argument also false?
- 17 Α. Yes.
- Now, you authorized your lawyers to make this presentation, 18
- right, Mr. Davis? 19
- 20 Α. I did, yes.
- 21 Had you told your lawyers at that point that you were
- 22 innocent?
- 23 Yes, I had told them that.
- 24 At the time your lawyers made this presentation to the SEC,
- 25 were you in fact guilty of insider trading?

H3rdwal5

- A. Yes, I was.
- 2 | Q. Did you ultimately plead quilty to the conduct that your
- 3 | lawyers tried to convince the SEC that you had never done?
- 4 A. Yes, I did.
- 5 | Q. Did you also plead guilty to lying to the SEC about insider
- 6 | trading when you were trying to cover up your crimes?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 | Q. Now, Mr. Davis, I'm going to jump around a bit.
- 9 You were asked some questions at the end of
- 10 Mr. Berke's cross about when you received the burner phone from
- 11 Mr. Walters; do you recall that?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Do you know when you got that phone?
- 14 | A. No, not precisely, no.
- 15 | Q. What do you remember?
- 16 A. I distinctly remember meeting him at Love Field and walking
- 17 | out to the parking lot to my car and he handed me a box with
- 18 | this phone in it and a charger. And as I've already testified,
- 19 he went into some detail about how we should use the phone.
- 20 | Q. Mr. Davis, do you know for certain whether Mr. Walters'
- 21 plane was there that day?
- 22 A. I'm not certain of that, no.
- 23 | Q. Mr. Davis, along with Love Field, is there another airport
- 24 | near Dallas where private planes fly?
- 25 A. Yes, there is.

- What airport is that? 1 Q.
- It's called Addison Airport. 2 Α.
- 3 And where is Addison Airport with respect to downtown
- 4 Dallas?
- 5 It's about 15 miles north of downtown Dallas.
- And where is Love Field compared to downtown Dallas? 6 0.
- 7 It's about six or seven miles north of downtown Dallas.
- 8 And where is Love Field compared to Addison Airport?
- 9 They're roughly five miles apart, five or six miles apart
- 10 would be my estimate.
- 11 Is it fair to say that Love Field is somewhere between
- 12 Dallas and Addison Airport?
- 13 A. Love Field is between downtown Dallas and Addison Airport,
- 14 correct.
- And how far is your home from Love Field? 15 Q.
- Approximately ten minutes. 16
- 17 Mr. Davis, during cross-examination, Mr. Berke also asked Q.
- 18 you a number of questions about the burner phone specifically.
- 19 Do you recall that?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 And on your direct examination, you testified that you
- 22 would occasion use the burner phone in your car, is that
- 23 correct?
- 24 Α. Yes, that's correct.
- 25 But you primarily used it in your home, right?

- A. That's correct.
- 2 | Q. Where in your house did you typically use it?
- 3 A. Out on my patio, outside.
- 4 | Q. Mr. Davis, did anyone else ever see you using the burner
- 5 | phone?

- 6 A. Yes. Absolutely.
- 7 | Q. Who?
- 8 A. My wife, Terie.
- 9 Q. Approximately how many times did Terie see you using the
- 10 | burner phone?
- 11 A. Certainly more than once. A handful of times I would say.
- 12 | Q. You didn't hide it from her?
- 13 A. No, I did not.
- 14 | Q. And that was years before you started meeting with the
- 15 government, right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Is that during the time that you were actually passing
- 18 | insider information to Mr. Walters?
- 19 A. Yes, it was.
- 20 | Q. When did you throw the burner phone in Turtle Creek?
- 21 A. It was after the FBI came to my house and interviewed me.
- 22 | Q. Now, when you began cooperating with the Department of
- 23 | Justice, you had a number of meetings, right? You saw the
- 24 chart.
- 25 A. Yes.

wal5 Davis - redirect

- Q. And Mr. Berke asked you about a number of things that you said during those meetings, right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. In which meeting did you first mention that Mr. Walters had given you a burner phone to commit insider trading?
- 6 A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat?
 - Q. In which meeting did you tell the government that
- 8 Mr. Walters had given you a burner phone to commit insider
- 9 | trading?

- 10 A. When I met him at Love Field. He gave me the burner phone.
- 11 THE COURT: No. Listen to the words of the question.
- 12 | THE WITNESS: Sorry. Pardon me.
- THE COURT: Vinny, if you will read back the last
- 14 question.
- 15 (Question read)
- 16 A. The very first time I came in and met with the prosecutors,
 17 I disclosed this.
- 18 Q. I want to touch briefly on the color of the phone.
- Fair to say you haven't seen the phone since you threw
- 20 | it in Turtle Creek in 2014?
- 21 A. Yes, that's accurate.
- 22 | Q. You first attempted to describe it when you met with the
- 23 prosecutors two years later?
- 24 | A. I'm sorry?
- 25 | Q. You first attempted to describe it when you met with the

- prosecutors two years later? 1
- 2 A. Yes, I did.
- 3 Do you recall that you described the phone as black in your
- 4 first few meetings with prosecutors?
- 5 A. Yes, I did.
- 6 And there came a time in those meetings when you told the
- 7 government that the phone was maroon; do you recall that?
 - A. Yes, I do.

- 9 Q. What, if anything, happened between the meetings with the
- 10 government that caused you to change your recollection about
- 11 the color of the phone?
- 12 MR. BERKE: Objection, your Honor.
- 13 May we approach on this?
- 14 THE COURT: No.
- 15 Overruled.
- I went home after one of the meetings in New York with the 16
- 17 prosecutors, and I discussed a lot of the questions that had
- been asked of me with my wife --18
- 19 MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I would object again on
- 20 hearsay grounds.
- 21 THE COURT: All right. OK. Go ahead.
- 22 So far he hasn't described any conversation, and I
- 23 will direct him not to reveal the content of any conversation
- 24 with your wife.
- 25 Go ahead.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

Davis - redirect

- Mr. Davis, why did you come back and tell the prosecutors 1 that the phone was maroon and not black? 2
 - Because my wife recalled it as being maroon. She saw it.

MR. BERKE: Objection.

THE COURT: Yes. That is stricken.

You had a conversation with your wife, right?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Then you went back and you told the prosecutors what?

THE WITNESS: That I had been inaccurate and that the phone was maroon. It was actually maroon and not black.

- 12 BY MS. CUCINELLA:
- 13 And just to be clear, Mr. Davis, your wife was the other person who had seen you use the phone, right? 14
 - Α. Yes, she did, on a number of occasions, yes.
- 16 Now, Mr. Davis, Mr. Berke, when he started your 17 cross-examination last week, he asked you questions about
- 18 escort services that you had called; do you recall that?
- 19 Yes, I do. Α.
- 20 And he showed you some phone records; do you recall that?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 To be clear, Mr. Davis, the phone records that Mr. Berke
- 23 showed you, they refer to your regular cell phone, right,
- 24 Mr. Davis?
- 25 Yes, that's accurate. Α.

- 1 Q. The one you use every day?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Not a burner phone?
- 4 A. No, not a burner phone.
- 5 Q. Mr. Davis, what is the state of your marriage today?
- A. My wife has filed for divorce and, umm, I don't think I'm
- 7 going to be able to reconcile it.
- 8 Q. When did she file for divorce?
- 9 A. September last year.
- 10 Q. Are those divorce proceedings still pending?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Do you want to get divorced, Mr. Davis?
- 13 | A. No.
- MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I am going to object on
- 15 relevance grounds.
- 16 THE COURT: Yes. Sustained.
- The last answer is stricken. Ladies and gentlemen,
- 18 disregard it.
- 19 BY MS. CUCINELLA:
- 20 | Q. Staying with the burner phone for just another minute.
- 21 You testified that Mr. Walters told you to use a code
- 22 | when he spoke to you about Dean Foods; do you recall that?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And what was the code word again?
- 25 A. "Dallas Cowboys" to refer to Dean Foods.

- 1 Why do you believe Mr. Walters chose that code name?
- 2 MR. BERKE: Objection, your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 4 Mr. Davis, do you have an understanding of why he wanted Q.
- 5 you to use the Dallas Cowboys to refer to Dean Foods?
- A. Yes, I do. 6
- 7 MR. BERKE: I object again, your Honor.
- foundation. 8
- 9 THE COURT: No. Overruled.
- 10 Ο. What was that understanding?
- 11 I think he was trying to conceal the fact that I was giving
- 12 him inside information, and if our phone conversations were
- 13 ever recorded, we'd be talking about sports rather than Dean
- 14 Foods.
- 15 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to strike that
- Ladies and gentlemen, disregard it. It's not based on 16
- 17 any conversation that the witness had, and it appears to me to
- 18 be speculation.
- 19 Go ahead. Next question.
- 20 BY MS. CUCINELLA:
- 21 He asked you to use the "Dallas Cowboys," is that right?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 During this time you talked to him about sports a lot,
- 24 right, Mr. Davis?
- A. Yes, I did. 25

H3rdwal5

- 1 | Q. You'd also talk about gambling?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. You talked specifically about football?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. When Mr. Berke was questioning you, he asked you about your
- 6 Netflix password; do you remember that?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. And I think he also asked you about your Amazon password;
- 9 do you remember that?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. And he showed you Defense Exhibit 5205.
- MS. CUCINELLA: Ms. Meister, if we could pull that up
- 13 | just for the witness.
- 14 | Q. He showed you, to refresh your recollection, contacts from
- 15 | your phone where you had saved your passwords, right,
- 16 Mr. Davis?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And what kind of passwords are contained here?
- 19 | A. I'm sorry?
- 20 | Q. Do you recall -- withdrawn.
- 21 This is the same phone that you had handed over to
- 22 prosecutors during your interviews and meetings with them,
- 23 || right?
- 24 | A. Yes, it is.
- 25 | Q. And sitting here today, do you recall what your Netflix

- 1 password is?
- 2 A. No, I don't. I'm sorry. I do not. I'd have to look on my
- 3 phone to dig it out.
- 4 OK. I'll direct you to the first page of Defense Exhibit
- 5 5205, which is up, and toward the bottom of the page.
- Yes, I see it. 6 Α.
- 7 Does that refresh your recollection --
- 8 Α. Yes.
- 9 -- as to what your Netflix password is? Q.
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 0. What is it?
- 12 Α. Cowboy01.
- 13 Ο. And, Mr. Davis, do you recall what your -- one moment --
- 14 what your CSFB Employee Investment Plan Administration Group
- 15 password was?
- 16 Α. No.
- 17 If you look at the bottom of this page, does that reflect
- 18 your recollection as to what your password was?
- Yes. I see it. Yes. 19 Α.
- 20 What was it? Ο.
- 21 This is for CSFB Employee Investment Plan?
- 22 Q. Yes.
- 23 Cowboy, capital C, Cowboy01.
- 24 Does your Netflix password or your CSFB password have
- 25 anything to do with the code that you used for Mr. Walters?

- 1 Α. No, none whatsoever, no.
- 2 Does it even have anything to do with the Dallas Cowboys? Q.
- 3 Α. No.
- Mr. Berke -- you can take that down. Thank you, Ms. 4 Q.
- 5 Meister.
- 6 Mr. Berke also asked you about the 2011 taxes that
- 7 were filed on behalf of Shelter Golf; do you recall that?
- A. Yes, I do. 8
- 9 Q. And specifically, he asked you a bunch of questions about
- 10 whether you gave the same information to the two accountants
- 11 who worked on those taxes. Do you remember that?
- 12 A. Yes, I do.
- 13 Q. And the defense put into evidence Defense Exhibit 4057. Do
- you remember that document? 14
- A. Yes, I do, actually. 15
- 16 MS. CUCINELLA: And if we can pull that up,
- 17 Ms. Meister.
- 18 Q. And this is the document that was sent to the first
- 19 accountant, right?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Q. And if you turn to the page ending in 722, Bates number
- 22 722. This is the general ledger, right, Mr. Davis?
- 23 A. Yes, it is.
- 24 And does it reflect the hundred-thousand-dollar withdrawal
- 25 by you?

H3rdwal5 Davis - redirect

- 1 A. Yes, it does.
- 2 | Q. And does it also reflect when it was paid back?
- 3 A. Yes, it does.
- 4 | Q. And that's the information that he suggested that you had
- 5 sent to the first accountant but not the second, right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Mr. Davis, what was the second accountant's name?
- 8 A. Lon Houseman.
- 9 Q. And, now, just to be clear, Mr. Davis, you yourself never
- 10 | had a conversation with Mr. Houseman about the hundred thousand
- 11 | dollars, right?
- 12 | A. No, I didn't.
- 13 | Q. And Mr. Mozley had already told you about the penalties
- 14 | involved with respect to that transaction, right?
- 15 A. Yes. He said I needed to disclose it. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Let's go back to the front page of this document.
- I'm going to ask you to look at the top right-hand
- 18 corner. What does that say?
- 19 \parallel A. It says, "9/27," which I assume refers to September 27th,
- 20 "Copies made for Lon."
- 21 | Q. Whose handwriting is that?
- 22 A. That's my assistant, April Moffet.
- 23 | Q. What did you understand that handwriting to mean?
- 24 | A. It meant that she had sent exactly the same copies and all
- of the information to Lon as she had sent to the previous

accountant.

- And do you know for certain whether your secretary actually 2
- sent the same information? 3
- I know for certain she did, yes. 4 Α.
- 5 Q. Now, Mr. Davis, Mr. Berke asked you a lot of questions
- about obtaining health insurance for your wife in 2012; do you 6
- 7 recall that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You testified that you paid money to make it seem like
- 10 Mrs. Davis was on the payroll of Bucky Lyons' company so that
- 11 she could get on the company insurance plan, right?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 You knew that was wrong, right, Mr. Davis?
- 14 Α. Yes.
- What were the circumstances that led to needing health 15 Ο.
- insurance for Mrs. Davis? 16
- 17 MR. BERKE: Objection, your Honor. It not a question
- 18 of needing health insurance. It is whether he wanted to pay
- 19 the balance of the charges.
- 20 THE COURT: Yes, sustained.
- 21 BY MS. CUCINELLA:
- 22 Q. Mr. Davis, for how long did you have that arrangement with
- 23 Mr. Lyons?
- 24 I don't recall. I mean, it was a one-time event, as I
- 25 recall, or I think, as I testified, our human resources person

	H3rdWal5	Davis - redirect
	asked me	to do this one time because of some mix-up on the
	insurance	coverage. That's my recollection that I was aware
	of.	
	Q. Now, I	Mr. Berke also asked you some questions about the
	email exc	hange. He asked you a question about a couple of
email exchanges with regard to a company called Alpha		hanges with regard to a company called Alphatec Spine.
Do you recall that?		call that?
	A. Yes.	
	Q. And I	just want to talk about one of the examples, Defense
Exhibit 4883.		883.
	I	MS. CUCINELLA: Could we pull that up, Ms. Meister.
		(Pause)
	Q. Now,	this is Buck Lyon, not Bucky Lyon, right, Mr. Davis?
	A. Corre	ct.
	Q. Buck	is the dad?
	A. Yes,	he is.
		(Continued on next page)

- Buck is the dad? 0.
- 2 Yes, he is. Α.
- 3 You shared office space with Buck Lyon or Bucky Lyon? 0.
- Both, actually. 4 Α.
- 5 For how long did you share office space?
- From roughly 2001 to about 2012. 6 Α.
- 7 In 2012, what was the name of the location where you
- 8 officed together?
- 9 In 2012, I think we moved to, we moved from the Crescent
- 10 office space to another office space, downtown Dallas.
- 11 Prior to 2012, you were in the Crescent office suites?
- 12 I don't recall exactly when the move took place, but yes.
- 13 Turning back to this e-mail, take a look at it so you are 0.
- 14 reminded of the circumstances. Let me know when you're done.
- All right. 15 Α.
- 16 Q. You told Mr. Berke that there was nothing improper about
- 17 this, right?
- 18 A. Yes, I did.
- That's because when you told Mr. Lyon to reach out to Mike 19
- 20 Foster, you weren't expecting him to get inside information in
- 21 Alphatec Spine, right?
- 22 A. Right.
- 23 Typically if a director talks to someone about a company
- 24 whose board he's on, do they typically give out inside
- 25 information?

I object to the leading and the question. MR. BERKE:

I'll sustain as to the form of the THE COURT:

3 question.

1

2

- 4 Directors of a company have a duty to the company whose Q.
- 5 board they're on, right?
- Yes, they do. 6 Α.
- 7 What kind of duty is that?
- It's called a fiduciary duty. 8 Α.
 - Who does that duty go to? Q.
- 10 The shareholders of the company, primarily. Α.
- 11 What kind of limits does that duty put on what directors
- 12 can talk about with investors?
- 13 You can't share any inside information, clearly. And you Α.
- 14 shouldn't provide any further information than what has been
- 15 released by the company.
- Those are the typical rules for directors on public 16
- 17 companies?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- When you spoke to Mr. Walters, did you follow that rule? 19 Q.
- 20 No, I did not. Α.
- 21 When Mr. Walters asked you for information about Dean Q.
- 22 Foods, did you give him confidential information about the
- 23 company so he could trade on it?
- 24 Α. Yes, I did.
- 25 Is that a violation of your duty? Q.

- Davis redirect
- 1 Α. Yes, and the law.
- Mr. Berke asked you some additional questions today about 2 Q.
- 3 other communications with Bucky Lyon specifically relating to
- 4 July of 2012 and whether you were going to sell some stock in
- 5 Dean Foods. Do you remember that?
- A. Yes. 6
- 7 If we can publish Defense Exhibit 4933 and 4053 side by
- 8 side. You can take a minute and refresh yourself on these
- 9 e-mails.
- 10 Have you read them, Mr. Davis?
- 11 Α. Yes, I have.
- 12 You reference selling Dean Foods stock after August 8 of
- 13 2012, right?
- 14 Α. I'm sorry?
- 15 Q. Do they reference selling stock after August 8 of 2012?
- 16 It makes a reference, yes. Α.
- 17 Which was a date known to the public, right? Q.
- Yes, it would. 18 Α.
- 19 Because you specifically reference the earnings
- 20 announcement that's going to happen on August 8, 2012, right?
- 21 Yes. Α.
- 22 Mr. Davis, are you familiar with the restrictions that you
- 23 as a director had on selling your Dean Foods stock?
- 24 Yes, I'm very familiar with it. Α.
- 25 Did those restrictions apply around earnings time? Q.

- Α. Yes, they do.
- What are those restrictions? 2 Q.
- 3 Any time a director is deemed to have still, continue to
- have non-public information that could have an effect on the 4
- 5 stock, you're blocked out from trading.
- 6 So at the end of July in 2012, you were restricted from
- 7 selling Dean Foods stock, right?
- That's correct. 8 Α.
- 9 That was regardless of the spinoff, that was because of the
- 10 earnings on August 8, expected earnings on August 8, right?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 Is that why you wrote that to Bucky Lyon?
- 13 Yes, I think it had something to do with it. I was also
- 14 restricted, as I recall, after August the 7th as well.
- 15 Q. Why were you restricted after August 7?
- I still had non-public information about Dean Foods and I 16
- 17 was restricted.
- That's because -- what was the non-public information you 18
- had after the spinoff announcement on August 7? 19
- 20 I still had a lot of information, I had some knowledge
- 21 about the fact that we were working on Project Cyto which was
- 22 the sale of Morningstar Foods, which is probably the most
- 23 important thing that hadn't been disclosed in August.
- 24 Q. Well, you also were in possession of information until the
- 25 final S-1 was filed in October 17, right?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

20

21

22

Davis - redirect

MR. BERKE: Your Honor, again I would object to the leading. The witness answered the question and it was followed by a leading question. I'd object.

THE COURT: You're familiar with the text of 611(c) I assume.

> I am, your Honor. MR. BERKE:

Okay. So, leading questions should not be THE COURT: used on direct and ordinarily they may be used on cross. is the third area of redirect in which the Court is allowed to give the questioner some latitude, so the objection is overruled. You may proceed, Ms. Cucinella.

- Can you repeat the question?
- 13 Sure. When -- did you also have inside information up Ο. 14 until the point the final S-1 was filed on October 17?
- 15 A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. You were also shown a couple of e-mails, including one that said that you thought the price of the stock would go up to 20.
- 18 Do you recall that?
- 19 A. Yes.
 - When Mr. Engles was on the roadshow about the IPO, you had no particular insight into what the price would be for the IPO, right?
- 23 Not until the end of the roadshow.
- 24 What happened at the end of the roadshow? Ο.
- 25 There was an assessment of how much interest there was in Α.

- buying the stock, and it was way oversubscribed, so it looked 1
- 2 like the offering was going to get done, it was going to be
- 3 priced at or above the range.
- 4 But it wasn't until the night before the IPO that it was Ο.
- 5 officially priced, right, Mr. Davis?
- That's correct. 6 Α.
 - You were on the pricing committee?
- Yes, I was. 8 Α.

- 9 Who else was on the pricing committee?
- 10 It was Gregg Engles and John Muse and myself, as I recall. Α.
- 11 So, after October 17, until closer to, you weren't in
- 12 possession -- and closer to the pricing, you weren't in
- 13 possession of material non-public information, right,
- 14 Mr. Davis?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- You were free to share where you thought the price of the 16
- 17 IPO would go?
- 18 Yes, I think that's accurate.
- 19 You were free to sell your Dean Foods stock after the IPO,
- 20 right, Mr. Davis?
- 21 I'm not sure I was, actually. I'd have to refer to the
- 22 circumstances, but I still had knowledge about Morningstar, so
- 23 I'm not sure I was free to sell the stock immediately after the
- 24 offering.
- 25 Fair to say that during the period of August through

- October of 2012, you didn't sell any of your Dean Foods stock? 1
- No, I didn't. I did not. 2 Α.
- 3 Mr. Berke asked you a number of questions about the loans
- 4 that you had with Mr. Walters. Do you recall that?
- 5 Α. Yes.
- Just to be clear, with respect to the first loan, 6
- 7 Mr. Davis, who did you ask to borrow money from?
- From Mr. Walters. 8 Α.
- 9 Who agreed to loan you that money? Q.
- 10 A man named Luther James agreed. Α.
- 11 When you met with Mr. Walters, did he agree to set up the
- 12 loan with Mr. James?
- 13 Yes, he did. He suggested that. Α.
- 14 But it was actually Mr. Walters who agreed? Q.
- 15 Α. Yes, that's accurate. I misunderstood your question.
- Who did you negotiate that note with? 16 0.
- 17 I think I had all those discussions with Mike Luce. Α.
- 18 Who does Mike Luce work for? 0.
- 19 Mr. Walters. Α.
- 20 Who ultimately took over that loan? 0.
- 21 Took over insofar as? Α.
- 22 Took over the note for that loan. Ο.
- 23 Mr. Walters did. Α.
- 24 So on your cross-examination, Mr. Berke went over
- 25 with you the communication, many of which you described on

- direct, which you had with Mr. Luce concerning your loans with 1
- Mr. Walters. Right? He showed you a bunch of e-mails? 2
- 3 Α. Yes.
- 4 And you testified that you made interest payments to Q.
- 5 Mr. James for about a year after you borrowed the money from
- Mr. Walters, right? 6
- 7 Yes, that's accurate.
- So until the beginning part of 2011, you made interest 8
- 9 payments to Mr. James?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 Then I believe you testified that that loan was extended
- 12 for another year with Mr. James, right?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- But you didn't make any interest payments during that year, 14
- 15 right?
- 16 That's correct. Α.
- 17 During 2011, when you were not making any interest payments
- 18 to Luther James, did the defendant ask you to make interest
- 19 payments on the loan?
- 20 Α. No.
- 21 Did Mr. Luce ask you to make any payments? Q.
- 22 No, not that I recall, no. Α.
- 23 In 2012, you testified that you had discussions with
- 24 Mr. Luce about the defendant taking over the loan from
- 25 Mr. James, right?

- 1 Α. Yes.
- Mr. Davis, to be clear, you spoke to Mr. Luce about a lot 2 Q.
- 3 of things during this period, right?
- Yes, that's accurate. 4 Α.
- 5 You spoke to him both in person and on the phone?
- Yes, I did. 6 Α.
- 7 When you met in person with Mr. Luce, what, if anything,
- did he typically ask you to do with your phone? 8
- 9 MR. BERKE: I'm going to object, your Honor. This is
- 10 outside the scope of the cross-examination. We didn't go into
- 11 any of this.
- 12 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 13 Q. You may answer.
- 14 A. He always asked me to turn my phone off. To power my phone
- 15 off every time we met.
- Turning back to the conversation that you had with Mr. Luce 16
- 17 in January of 2012 about Mr. Walters taking over the loan, that
- 18 was on the phone, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 It was because you hadn't been making interest payments,
- 21 right?
- 22 A. Yes, that's accurate.
- 23 At that point, you put the loan with the defendant in
- 24 writing, right?
- 25 Yes. Α.

H3R3AL6 Davis - redirect

- But at that time, there was no requirement that you 1 continue making interest payments, right, Mr. Davis? 2
- 3 That's also correct.
- You didn't have to pay anything for two years until March 4 Q.
- 5 of 2014, right?
- That's correct. 6 Α.
 - And the transfer of this loan was in early 2012?
- 8 Α. Yes, it was.

- 9 Q. So that's shortly after the defendant had just given you 10 another loan for \$400,000, right?
- 11 MR. BERKE: I will object to the description of it as
- 12 a loan. I think the testimony was different.
- 13 MS. CUCINELLA: I'll rephrase it, your Honor.
- That was right after the defendant had given you a line of 14
- credit in November, right, Mr. Davis? 15
- 16 That's correct, yes.
- 17 And that line of credit, you took almost \$350,000 out of it
- right away, right, Mr. Davis? 18
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- So by early 2012, approximately how much did you owe the 20
- 21 defendant?
- 22 In principal \$975,000, plus interest accruing.
- 23 I'm going to show you what's been marked as Government
- 24 Exhibit 1727. What's the date of this e-mail?
- 25 June 16, 2014. Α.

- 1 In it Mr. Luce says I'll apply that amount to your note.
- Correct? 2
- 3 Yes. Α.
- 4 Is this the first e-mail asking you for a payment on the Ο.
- 5 loan, Mr. Davis?
- Yes, that's my recollection, yes. 6
- 7 Q. From early 2012 until June of 2014, neither Mike Luce nor
- Billy Walters asked you to actually make a payment on either of 8
- 9 your -- either your loan or your line of credit, right,
- 10 Mr. Davis?
- 11 That's accurate, yes.
- 12 MS. CUCINELLA: Your Honor, the government would like
- 13 to read a stipulation at this time.
- 14 THE COURT: All right.
- 15 MS. CUCINELLA: If called to testify, Supervisory
- 16 Special Agent John Roberts of the Federal Bureau of
- 17 Investigation would testify to the following:
- On June 10, 2014, he and another special agent 18 Α.
- with the FBI formally notified William T. Walters, the 19
- 20 defendant, of the existence of the FBI's investigation in this
- 21 case.
- 22 The parties have further agreed that this stipulation,
- 23 which has been marked as Government Exhibit 2104, may be
- 24 received in evidence. It's dated March 27, 2017 and signed by
- 25 the parties.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Davis - redirect

THE COURT: It's marked as what? 1

MS. CUCINELLA: Government Exhibit 2104.

THE COURT: You're offering it?

MS. CUCINELLA: I am.

THE COURT: Any objection?

No objection, your Honor. MR. BERKE:

THE COURT: Received.

(Government's Exhibit 2104 received in evidence)

- Mr. Davis, what's the date of this e-mail?
- 10 Α. June 16, 2014.
- 11 So approximately six days after Mr. Walters is notified of 12 the formal investigation, you get an e-mail about payment on a
- 13 loan?
- 14 MR. BERKE: Objection, your Honor. It's argumentative
- and it's also contrary to the facts, but this witness has no 15
- firsthand knowledge of that. 16
- 17 MS. CUCINELLA: I'll withdraw the question.
- 18 THE COURT: All right.
- 19 MS. CUCINELLA: I just need one minute.
- 20 Q. Now, Mr. Davis, you testified that you didn't review the
- 21 defendant's trading records when you met with the government in
- 22 the spring of 2016, right?
- 23 Yes, that's correct. Α.
- 24 Did you review those trading records when you were prepping
- 25 for your testimony?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Α. No, not at all.
- So you don't know the specific days on which Mr. Walters 2 Q.
- 3 bought or sold stock, right?
 - A. No, I don't.
 - MR. BERKE: Objection, your Honor. I think the testimony was his lawyers had it, he discussed it with his lawyers, and over the government's objection we were not allowed to inquire about their discussions of the trading records with Mr. Walters that he had with his lawyers. I don't think this is proper in light of the government's objection that we couldn't question him about the discussions with his
- 13 THE COURT: Overruled.

lawyers about trading records.

- 14 So you don't know the specific days that Mr. Walters bought Q. 15 and sold stock, right, Mr. Davis?
- 16 No, I don't. Α.
- 17 And during the period where you were passing him
- information, he didn't always tell you what he was doing, 18
- right, Mr. Davis? 19
- 20 He didn't tell me what he was doing, rarely.
- 21 You testified that you were passing him the information to
- 22 make trading decisions though, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 And that was the case with respect to the information you
- 25 sent him on Darden, too, right?

- Α. Yes, it was.
- Because at that point, you had been passing Mr. Walters 2 Q.
- 3 information on Dean Foods for years, correct?
- That's accurate, yes. 4 Α.
- 5 Q. You expected him to do the same thing with the confidential
- information you were passing him on Darden? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- During the summer of 2013, when you passed him that 8
- 9 information on Darden, did he ever tell you what he had done
- 10 with the information?
- 11 No, not at all.
- 12 With respect to Dean Foods, if he didn't tell you when he
- 13 bought or sold stock, why did you believe that the defendant
- 14 owned Dean Foods stock?
- 15 A. At certain points in time, he was very demanding about the
- 16 information he wanted. So I assumed he had a position in the
- 17 stock.
- 18 Q. Did he ever make statements about how big his positions
- 19 were?
- 20 Yes, on a couple of occasions I recall he did, yes. Α.
- 21 Do you recall when those specific conversations took place? Q.
- 22 I don't. I do not recall that, no.
- 23 While you were passing the information to Mr. Walters, did
- 24 he ever tell you how much he was making based on the
- 25 information that you were tipping him?

- He did not. Α. No.
- When the FBI showed up at your house in May of 2014, was 2 Q.
- 3 that the first time that you learned that Mr. Walters had made
- millions of dollars trading in Dean Foods stock? 4
- 5 Yes, it is. Α.
- Did a time come, Mr. Davis, when you learned that 6
- 7 Mr. Walters had made more than 40 million by trading based on
- information you provided him? 8
- 9 Yes. Α.
- 10 When did you learn that?
- 11 I guess when it was reported in the papers. The FBI gave
- 12 me some information about certain of his trades during the
- 13 course of 2012. But I didn't know the full extent of his
- trading activity until it was reported later. 14
- 15 Q. Mr. Berke asked you a number of questions about your
- meetings with the government and the process used to refresh 16
- 17 your recollections. You've testified that you were shown board
- 18 meeting minutes and travel records, certain phone calls.
- 19 Right, Mr. Davis?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 And you were also shown things like the bill from Preston
- 22 Trail on the morning of May 8?
- 23 Α. Yes, I was.
- 24 You were also asked questions like whether or not you had
- 25 ever spoken to Mr. Walters when he was in Mexico, right?

- Α. Yes.

- Is it fair to say, Mr. Davis, sometimes things refreshed 2
- 3 your recollection and sometimes they didn't?
- Yes, that's totally accurate. 4 Α.
- 5 Q. Mr. Berke this morning spent some time trying to show that
- you may have gotten a fact wrong or that you thought stock 6
- 7 would go up when it in fact went down. Do you remember that?
- 8 Α. Yes.
- 9 Q. What you've testified to over the last few days, Mr. Davis,
- 10 those are your memories, right?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 Did the prosecutors ever tell you to change your memory?
- 13 Α. Never.
- Were you ever shown Mr. Walters' trading and asked to match 14
- 15 up your memory with that trading?
- 16 No, never. Α.
- 17 He asked you a lot of questions about meetings that you had
- 18 with the government before your cooperation agreement and after
- 19 your cooperation agreement. Right?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 In the meetings after your cooperation agreement, they were
- 22 to prepare for testimony, right?
- 23 Yes, I think that's accurate, yes.
- 24 And the meetings before you signed your cooperation
- 25 agreement, do you recall whether the prosecutors jumped around

3AL6 Davis - redirect

- to different periods of time? I think Mr. Berke alluded to that this morning.
- 3 A. Yes, I think that's a fair summary of it, yes.
- 4 Q. When you were preparing for testimony, did you discuss it
- 5 chronologically?
- 6 A. Sometimes, yes.
- 7 | Q. When you discussed it chronologically, was it easier for
- 8 you to put things in the order that you recall them happening?
- 9 A. Yes, much easier. Yes, that's accurate.
- 10 | Q. You met with the government a lot before your cooperation
- 11 agreement and after your cooperation agreement, right,
- 12 | Mr. Davis?
- 13 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 14 | Q. Can you remember precisely when you told them what?
- 15 | A. No, I don't.
- 16 | Q. Is your testimony here today based on what you told the
- 17 government in 2016 or is it based on your memory?
- 18 A. It's based on my memory.
- 19 Q. That's based on what you remember sitting here today,
- 20 | right, Mr. Davis?
- 21 A. Yes, ma'am, it is.
- 22 | Q. Mr. Berke showed you a number of documents that were notes
- 23 || from meetings that you had with the government, right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Have you ever seen any of those notes before?

H3R3AL6 Davis - redirect

- 1 A. Not before this trial started, no.
- 2 | Q. The government never showed you those before, right?
- 3 | A. No.
- 4 Q. Mr. Berke asked you about March of 2008 earlier today, do
- 5 you recall that?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. You were shown Defense Exhibit 283-A. Do you remember
- 8 | that?
- 9 A. I don't know which exhibit that was.
- MS. CUCINELLA: Ms. Meister, can we pull up 283-A.
- 11 | Specifically turn to page four. Is there a way to flip it?
- 12 | Q. This is the page he showed you flipped on its side.
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Mr. Berke asked you how it was possible that you or
- 15 Mr. Engles could possibly know that the quarter was going to be
- 16 a good one by mid-March. Do you recall that?
- MR. BERKE: Objection, your Honor. That question was
- 18 never asked.
- 19 THE COURT: Rephrase it.
- 20 | Q. Do you remember that Mr. Berke asked you some questions
- 21 about what either you or Mr. Engles knew by mid-March?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Looking at page four, to the extent you can see it, March
- 24 was a big month for the company, right, Mr. Davis?
- 25 A. Yes.

- You would agree with me, wouldn't you, that the CEO would 1
- have access to projections and forecasts of how this quarter 2
- 3 was doing by the middle of March, right, Mr. Davis?
- A. Yes, that's accurate. 4
- 5 MR. BERKE: Objection, your Honor. The witness's
- 6 testimony is that it was the financials for January and
- 7 February.
- THE COURT: Overruled. 8
- 9 The jury was here. The jury remembers the testimony.
- 10 When you're deliberating if you want any testimony read back or
- 11 brought back in, you can have that back. Go ahead. Next
- 12 question.
- 13 Q. Along with financials for January and February, Mr. Davis,
- 14 would you agree with me that the CEO would have projections for
- 15 the rest of the quarter by mid-March?
- Yes, he would. 16 Α.
- 17 By the last week of March, the audit committee would also
- 18 know how the month was shaping up, right?
- 19 A. Yes, that's accurate.
- 20 Q. You were asked some questions, Mr. Davis, about meeting
- 21 with Billy Walters on April 9 of 2010 at Bali Hai. Do you
- 22 recall that?
- 23 A. Yes, I do.
- 24 That was the meeting when you asked the defendant to give
- 25 you a loan?

- 1 A. Yes, the first loan, yes.
- 2 | Q. And he agreed to give you the loan in that meeting?
- 3 A. Yes, he did.
- 4 Q. You discussed Dean Foods at that meeting, too?
- 5 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Did you discuss the possibility of the spinoff of WhiteWave
- 7 | with the defendant at that meeting?
- 8 A. Yes, I did.
- 9 Q. Was the defendant interested in the possibility of a
- 10 | WhiteWave spinoff?
- 11 A. I would characterize it as very interested, yes.
- 12 | Q. What effect did you think a spinoff of WhiteWave would have
- on the stock price of Dean Foods?
- 14 A. I think -- I believed it was going to have a large effect
- 15 on the stock price.
- 16 Q. At that point, in April 2010, serious consideration of a
- 17 potential spinoff, that was a relatively new development at the
- 18 | company. Is that fair?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Had the company asked investment bankers to evaluate this
- 21 possibility for the first time?
- 22 A. Yes. That happened, yes.
- 23 | Q. Was that known to the public at this time?
- 24 A. No, it was not.
- 25 | Q. The defendant was interested in that possibility, right?

Davis - redirect

Yes, I told him we hired bankers, yes.

- Did you discuss the possibility of the spinoff, good or 2 Q.
- 3 bad, likely to happen, unlikely to happen, with him over the
- 4 next couple of years?
- 5 Yes, many times. Α.
- Was it something he asked about? 6 0.
- 7 Yes, repeatedly. Α.
- Did you regularly give him updates on the possibility of a 8
- 9 spinoff?
- 10 Yes, I did. Α.
- 11 I'm going to direct your attention now to May 8 of 2012.
- 12 Jumping two years ahead. You testified on direct and on cross
- 13 about the fact that the board approved the company to pursue
- the spinoff at the May 8 board meeting, right? 14
- 15 Α. Yes.
- 16 That was the board meeting where you took the call from
- 17 Preston Trail Golf Club, correct?
- A. Yes, it was. 18
- 19 And there was also a Dean Foods audit committee call that
- 20 day, wasn't there?
- 21 Yes, that's true. Α.
- 22 That was in the morning, the same morning, and immediately
- 23 followed by the board meeting for Dean Foods, right?
- 24 Α. That's correct, yes.
- 25 During that audit committee call, you learned that the

- 1 company was going to beat expectations for the first quarter,
- 2 right?
- 3 A. Yes, I did.
- 4 That announcement was going to be the following day, right, Q.
- Mr. Davis? 5
- I think the announcement was going to be the following day, 6
- 7 yes, that's accurate.
- Q. Shortly after that Dean Foods board call, you were playing 8
- 9 golf that afternoon, right, Mr. Davis?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 Your round would finish after the market closed, right?
- Yes, that's accurate. 12
- 13 You had a Periscope board meeting that morning as well,
- 14 right?
- 15 A. Yes, I did.
- 16 Q. You were shown some e-mails on your cross-examination with
- 17 Mike Luce about Periscope, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Generally, you updated Mike Luce about Periscope, not Billy
- 20 Walters, right, Mr. Davis?
- 21 Could you repeat the question? Α.
- 22 Generally, you updated Mike Luce about Periscope, not Billy
- 23 Walters, right?
- 24 I think that's an accurate characterization, yes.
- 25 Do you recall whether there was anything urgent that you

- learned in that Periscope board meeting? 1
- 2 There wasn't anything urgent, no. Α. No.
- 3 Now, Mr. Berke has suggested through his questioning that
- you created this whole story about Mr. Walters because you 4
- 5 didn't want to be arrested for misappropriating funds from
- Shelter Golf. 6
- 7 Objection, your Honor, to the MR. BERKE:
- characterization. 8
- 9 THE COURT: Rephrase the question.
- 10 Mr. Davis, have you created this whole story about insider
- 11 trading because you didn't want to be arrested for
- 12 misappropriating funds from Shelter Golf?
- 13 No, I have not. I'm telling the truth. Α.
- 14 When the FBI came to your home in May of 2014, what did
- they ask you about? 15
- They only asked me about Mr. Walters and my relationship 16
- 17 and insider trading activity that was mentioned in the
- 18 interview. They mentioned nothing else.
- When you were deposed by the SEC, what was the focus of 19
- 20 that deposition?
- 21 The focus was about insider trading activity between me and
- 22 Mr. Walters.
- 23 Q. Mr. Berke asked you questions about the conversation you
- 24 had with your ex-wife Louise Davis when you drove her to the
- 25 cemetery. Do you recall that?

- Α. Yes, I do.
- You asked her during that conversation whether she was 2
- 3 wearing a wire. Do you recall that?
- Yes, I did that. 4 Α.
- Were you worried that she was wearing a wire to catch you 5
- talking about taking money from Shelter Golf? 6
- 7 No. Not at all.
- 8 What crimes had you committed that you were worried about?
- 9 I was primarily worried about all the insider trading
- 10 activity that I had undertaken and that was -- that was my
- 11 focus.
- 12 Q. When you met with your lawyers to discuss potentially
- 13 coming in and cooperating with the government, was Shelter Golf
- 14 on your mind?
- 15 Α. No.
- Q. At the point that you started cooperating, before you met 16
- 17 with the government, had you paid back the \$100,000 that you
- had taken from Shelter Golf? 18
- 19 A. Yes, I had.
- 20 So at that point there was 20 or \$25,000 that you hadn't
- 21 paid back, right, Mr. Davis?
- 22 Α. Yes.

- 23 Objection, your Honor. MR. BERKE:
- 24 THE COURT: Overruled.
 - Were you worried about going to jail for that 20 or

- \$25,000? 1
- 2 A. No, I was worried about the insider trading charges,
- 3 primarily.
- 4 Q. Mr. Berke asked you about questions about the penalties for
- 5 tax fraud and misappropriating funds for the charity. Do you
- recall that? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- He pointed out that it was up to five years for tax fraud. 8
- 9 Do you recall that?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 And potentially 20 for wire fraud, right, Mr. Davis?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 Ms. Meister, if you can pull up MS. CUCINELLA:
- Government Exhibit 1750, which is your cooperation agreement, 14
- 15 Mr. Davis. If you can put page one and two side by side.
- Q. Mr. Davis, you've pled quilty to the multiple counts of 16
- 17 wire fraud, haven't you?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Count Seven through 10? Q.
- 20 Α. Yes.
- How many counts of securities fraud have you pled quilty 21
- 22 to?
- I think it's three -- I'm sorry, three counts, Three 23
- 24 through Six.
- 25 You also pled guilty to obstruction of justice, right,

H3R3AL6

- 1 Mr. Davis?
- 2 Yes, I did. Α.
- 3 What was that for? 0.
- Destroying evidence, the bat phone, and lying to the FBI. 4 Α.
- 5 Separate count for lying to the FBI and destroying the
- burner phone, right, Mr. Davis? 6
- 7 Yes, that's accurate, yes.
- You also pled guilty to perjury for lying to the SEC, 8
- 9 right?
- 10 Yes, ma'am. Α.
- 11 Sitting here today, what is the maximum penalty you face
- 12 for committing insider trading and then working for years to
- 13 cover it up?
- 14 I could be subject to 210 years in prison.
- 15 Q. You're aware that your sentence will be based in part on
- how much money the defendant made as part of this conspiracy, 16
- 17 right, Mr. Davis?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- How does that amount of money compare to the \$150,000 that 19
- 20 you misappropriated and paid back to Shelter Golf?
- It's not even on the same level, in my opinion. 21
- 22 Q. Mr. Davis, has anyone made a promise to you about what
- 23 sentence you would get in this case?
- 24 Α. No.
- 25 What have you been promised?

- Davis redirect
- 1 Just the items that are outlined in my cooperation 2 agreement.
- 3 What is that, Mr. Davis? 0.
 - You can take that down.
- 5 I'm getting protection with regard to certain things 6 pertaining to Shelter Golf and the tax returns related to 7 Shelter Golf.
- Q. If you abide by the terms of your cooperation agreement, 8 9 what will you get from the government, what is the government
- 10 obligated to provide to you?
- 11 They're going to provide me a letter that goes to the 12 judge.
- 13 What will the judge be made aware of through that letter?
- 14 I'm told he will be fully briefed in that letter of all the Α. good things and all the bad things I've done. 15
- That includes the insider trading and your efforts to cover 16 17 it up?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 It includes the money you took from Shelter Golf? Q.
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Does it include gambling you've done? Q.
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Any other bad acts that you've committed, includes that, 24 right?
- 25 Yes, that's what I'm told, yes.

- Davis redirect
- Everything, right? 1
- 2 Yes, ma'am. Α.
- 3 And that judge will be able to review all of your testimony
- 4 from this trial, right, Mr. Davis?
- 5 Α. Yes.
- 6 Now, Mr. Davis, Mr. Berke asked you a series of questions
- 7 about how you recalled additional details as you met with the
- 8 government and as you reviewed documents. Do you recall that?
- 9 Yes. Α.
- 10 In which meeting did you tell the government that
- 11 Mr. Walters had provided you with a burner phone?
- 12 The very first meeting I had with the government.
- 13 In which meeting did you tell the prosecutors that you had 0.
- 14 thrown the burner phone in Turtle Creek?
- 15 Α. The first meeting.
- In which meeting did you tell them that you had been 16
- 17 providing the defendant with inside information about Dean
- 18 Foods for years?
- 19 The very first meeting. Α.
- 20 In which meeting did you tell them that you had called to
- 21 warn Mr. Walters about Dean Foods' bad earnings in May of 2010?
- 22 The very first meeting I told that.
- 23 In which meeting did you describe to the prosecutors the
- 24 specific and detailed information that you gave Mr. Walters
- 25 during the summer of 2012 about the spinoff?

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- The very first meeting. Α.
- In which meeting did you tell the prosecutors that you had 2 Q.
- 3 lied to the FBI and the SEC to attempt to cover up the insider
- 4 trading that you committed with the defendant?
 - The very first meeting. Α.
- 6 Mr. Davis, I'm about to sit down. But, before I do, I want 7 to ask you about some of the consequences of your cooperation.

THE COURT: I'll tell you what. We'll take that up tomorrow morning. There's an important matter that has to be attended to.

I had a brain lapse. I didn't realize it was the Huskies women playing tonight against Oregon. And I do enjoy the Lady Huskies, I really do, and I am going to be rooting for them. I really will. They have amassed one of the most amazing profiles in college sports, and perhaps all of sports. So, I hope you're in for a real treat tonight, and I want to make sure that you get there on time without any stress. So let's call it, let's not press our luck, and let's call it a day.

See you tomorrow morning for a 10 o'clock start. And I expect that you'll be here on time. All right. Good. Enjoy.

(Jury excused)

(Continued on next page)

1 THE COURT: Have a pleasant evening. 2 MR. GOLDMAN: One thing. 3 MS. CUCINELLA: Two things, if the witness can --4 THE COURT: Mr. Davis, if you can step down. 5 (Witness not present) 6 MS. CUCINELLA: We would be willing, we only had a few 7 more questions, if there is any way to get the witness home tonight, we would be willing to forgo the remainder of our 8 9 questions. 10 MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I had a very brief recross on 11 an issue that I think the testimony was false again, and I 12 would probably do it in about three minutes. 13 If I can have one moment, I may have a proposal. 14 THE COURT: Yes. 15 MR. BERKE: Your Honor, I think if I would be permitted as part of a recross to just publish two documents 16 17 that are in evidence already on an issue that was raised. 18 THE COURT: You talk to Ms. Cucinella right now. okay. You two can talk. And if you come to an accommodation, 19 20 If you don't, you don't. vou do. 21 (Pause) 22 THE COURT: I just point out for the record the jury 23 has been told that there are more questions. They believe they 24 broke early and that there is going to be further questioning.

I'm not going to stand in the way if the parties want to have

some sort of a stipulation. That's certainly not something 1 that I as the trial judge would reject. I know what the jury 2 3 went home tonight with an understanding of. 4 MR. BERKE: Your Honor, if I can just have one moment. 5 THE COURT: Yes. 6 MR. BERKE: Your Honor, thank you for your patience. 7 I think I've been overruled and I think we would ask that Mr. Davis be brought back to complete his testimony. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. 10 MS. CUCINELLA: Okav. 11 THE COURT: It goes with the territory. 12 MR. FERRARA: There was one other issue we wanted to 13 This will come up with a witness we believe tomorrow. tee up. 14 THE COURT: Yes. 15 MR. FERRARA: It is the issue of whether the defense has opened the door to some evidence of trading in other 16 17 I wanted to clarify one thing as we thought more about it. 18 What we would be seeking to offer on this is 19 20 essentially we would have a witness, Mr. Walters' former 21 stockbroker would testify in probably under 15 minutes about 22 Mr. Walters buying stock in Clorox on a certain date in July

and an announcement by Carl Icahn a few days later and Mr. Walters selling a few days after that.

23

24

25

THE COURT: Here's the question. So far, I'm not --

I've been doing this job long enough that I don't get bowled over by threats of if you open this door, this trial will go on for months or years. I've heard this too many times over my career. I don't worry about that.

The point that I found of interest to me is in specifically with regard to Clorox, whether or not trading on knowledge that Mr. Icahn had taken a position in Clorox is unlawful, material non-public information that he had taken a position in Clorox. It may be, but it's not self-evident to me and it is a point that's raised in the defense's letter.

Is he an insider? Is it a question that maybe one who trades in tandem with Mr. Icahn maybe has to file a 13D or a 13G. But I don't know whether the trading itself, as it's described in the letter, is unlawful.

Is it?

MR. FERRARA: The theory there, your Honor, is

Mr. Icahn has his own shareholders in his own company and has a

fiduciary duty to them, and is an insider as to his own company

and what it does. To the extent he has passed information

about what his company plans to do beforehand, that yes, that

can be unlawful trading. We of course would accept a limiting

instruction here, of course.

And I just wanted to flag one other thing that makes this sort of more relevant --

THE COURT: This description talks about Mr. Icahn

making the purchases if it was a publicly traded entity which he presides over, as distinguished from for his personal account. That might be a different story. I didn't get that from the correspondence.

MR. FERRARA: Fair enough, your Honor. I think that's how the evidence would come in, yes. And we would have for instance, I think that was part of the public announcement surrounding the bid.

I just wanted to flag two other things here. Number one is to make this relevant too. This trading is the reason why Wells Fargo ends up closing Mr. Walters' account. And there will be testimony that this witness Scott Duncan was asked by the FBI why the account was closed and was evasive when he answered that. That would be an appropriate line of cross-examination. And he essentially was not forthcoming with the FBI that he knew the reason was because of the Clorox trade, and he tried to evade that question. I plan to ask him about that. That's the sort of impeachment that Mr. Berke of course could inquire about.

So there's some other parts of the story that go back to this Clorox trading that also make it relevant.

THE COURT: Well, help me out here of what is the jury supposed to draw from the fact that Wells Fargo closed the account? That the trading in the account was unlawful? Is that the inference?

MR. FERRARA: We would not ask the jury to draw the inference that because Wells Fargo closed the account the trading was unlawful. The argument we would suggest to the jury is to the extent — the defense is saying, look at all of Mr. Walters' trading, this was insignificant. He traded the same way in all of these different stocks, he had a large portfolio, he saw tells, he had — he went all in, etc., etc. We would like the jury to know, well, sometimes getting this sort of edge is not necessarily — he's done it in other stocks as well.

So it's unfair to compare his trading -- it's unfair to say all this other trading is innocent, and if you look at it in context, there is all smoke.

We'd like to say, well, there is some other suspicious trading as well, so that's not a fair comparison.

THE COURT: What's your argument on the threshold that, as I read the defendant's correspondence, they take the position that they have not and will not argue that Mr. Walters' course of trading in securities, other than Dean Foods, demonstrates that he complies with the securities laws and has never done anything like this in any other context. They say they haven't and they say they won't.

Am I correct, Mr. Schoeman?

MR. SCHOEMAN: Yes, your Honor. And we don't intend to elicit any evidence, and we don't think anything has been

said for which anything Mr. Ferrara is talking about is at all a fair response.

THE COURT: You don't intend to argue that to the jury?

MR. SCHOEMAN: Right. The only thing we wanted to do is to elicit from brokers that Mr. Walters trades in -- trades in stocks and makes big purchases in sales, but that can be established entirely independently of any trading in Clorox or Apple.

THE COURT: You'll carve that out. I figured that much out. That's not the concern.

MR. SCHOEMAN: We don't intend to make the argument that the specific, you know, modus operandi, the purchase of a stock right before an announcement is something that

Mr. Walters does. We just want — and I think it would come out naturally from the brokers, that they were brokers with

Mr. Walters for many years and he takes big positions and he buys and sells a lot of stock.

We're not going to come close to what I think would be suggesting that every single trade he's ever done is free of suspicion.

But, again, what we're talking about here is 404(b) evidence where the government has the added burden of showing that the probative value is greater than the undue prejudice, and the fact that they can identify a trade here or there that

they suspect might have been based on something --

THE COURT: But we're mushing concepts together.

Mushing concepts together and there are a lot of moving parts
here. It's not your fault. Not anybody's fault.

But, the threshold of whether the door is being opened, it seems to me that when the defendant seeks to introduce evidence of trading in other securities to show that Mr. Walters, as is his right, takes large positions routinely in different securities, that seems to me to be the door opener to saying, yeah, but -- at least their assertion is, maybe they fall flat on their face on this -- their assertion is but in these two instances, it was on material non-public information.

MR. SCHOEMAN: So I guess we have two points. One is I think that because it's a standalone true fact put without Clorox and Apple, that it doesn't open the door.

I understand your Honor's argument, but I think our other argument, which is very important to us, is other than the government saying that they find these trading trades suspicious, nobody has ever actually charged anybody regarding those trades, or articulated a basis to say that Mr. Icahn breached a duty or got a benefit or that Mr. Walters knew there was a breach of duty or got a benefit.

THE COURT: That's the point that I got hung up on.

But I think on the door opening, I think the government has the better of that argument. But let me hear from Mr. Ferrara

on -- and I still don't even know what the -- explain to me your theory on Apple.

MR. FERRARA: Your Honor, in fact one of the things we were going to say is we are not going to introduce Apple. We wanted to slim it down in light of defense counsel's letter, so we are slimming it down to Clorox.

And I do want to flag, your Honor, that 404(b) has been interpreted as other act evidence. It's not necessarily a bad act. It is other act evidence. So, we don't have to establish it was unlawful. We have to establish it goes to one of the permissible reasons to introduce evidence under that rule.

THE COURT: No. I'm not going to quarrel with you on that aspect of 404(b). But, if it's a big fat lawful activity that is being developed by the government to kind of create the impression in the jury's mind that it's unlawful, that's something that has its probative value outweighed by the danger of jury confusion and unfair prejudice.

MR. FERRARA: Let me put a finer point on it. For instance, in opening Mr. Berke said that Mr. Walters invested in close to 100 different companies. I'm quoting. And he would do — and what he would do with these companies is he would invest in them over a period of time, often looking for the moment when there would be action involving those companies, earnings announcements or other significant events

where he would either win or lose, and you would make that bet.

What that leaves out is Mr. Walters also traded at least in one other instance when the circumstances suggest an insider gave him information. Someone associated with the company.

THE COURT: Okay, so listen. You're somewhat ahead of the game on door opening and you're somewhat okay on 404(b), that it doesn't have to be an affirmatively bad act.

But, tell me what you have here, because it not being a bad act but implying it's a bad act is what 403 is about. So what do you have here, what are you going to show that is more than just smoke that would confuse a jury into believing that a crime was committed here?

MR. FERRARA: I'm happy to. First, we have in evidence already, Mr. Davis has suggested that -- has testified that Mr. Walters and Mr. Icahn knew one another. He was -- Mr. Davis described it as -- said Mr. Walters would call him "my friend in New York." So that is now in the record.

We will have the Mr. Walters's broker, that's Scott Duncan. Mr. Duncan I expect will testify that Mr. Walters and he often discussed -- Mr. Icahn's name came up at times because Mr. Walters did invest in some of Mr. Icahn's stocks. He will -- Mr. Duncan will then walk us through two account -- two account statements, one for each of Mr. Walters' accounts at that time at Wells Fargo. He will show us that on July -- and

I'm going to miss the date, but July something, Mr. Walters bought such-and-such shares of Clorox Company in each of his accounts. That really two or three days later, Mr. Icahn announced this bid for Clorox or an interest in a bid for Clorox. That he will describe a conversation he had with Mr. Walters about that, and that one or two days later Mr. Walters sold Clorox.

Mr. Duncan will then testify that based on that trade, Wells Fargo made the decision to close the account. That Mr. Walters was not informed that that was the reason. And he will then testify that a couple years later, when he was approached by the FBI, he was evasive when answering the question why was the account closed, because he had been told not to discuss the reasons by Wells Fargo.

And I missed one important thing. I want to be complete. There are phone calls between Icahn and Walters that will come in through a summary witness toward the end of the trial that show phone calls between the two men around the time of those trades.

THE COURT: All right. Now, the bid that was announced by Icahn, Carl Icahn, was by his publicly traded corporation?

MR. FERRARA: Yes, that's right, your Honor. And the only reason I'm hesitating is because to the extent that -- I just don't -- I want to say that's in a news article that we

would offer as the evidence of the announcement. But standing here today, your Honor, I honestly can't say I remember that. So I'm not 100 percent positive that evidence comes in. But I believe it is in the news article.

Mr. Duncan, for instance, does not know that, so I don't want to represent that would be his testimony.

Oh, there is an SEC filing, your Honor. My colleagues are reminding me there was an SEC filing that says as much.

THE COURT: Says that it is Mr. Icahn?

MR. FERRARA: Enterprises I believe.

THE COURT: Which is a publicly traded company of which he is a board member? Is that --

MR. FERRARA: That's a little farther --

THE COURT: Listen, you got to get to the point that this is more than what somebody at the SEC thinks is a sharp practice versus something that, at least on its face, suggests that a crime was committed. Not because 404(b) requires that it be a bad act, but if it's not a bad act, and it's one big pile of smoke, I'm not letting it in. That's why. Because it's a 403 problem now, if it's just smoke.

MR. FERRARA: Understood and I apologize I wasn't ready to answer those questions from the Court. But certainly we can educate ourselves on that and make a proffer as to what we think the evidence would show on that point.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schoeman, let me give you

a chance to knock this all down and blow it away.

MR. SCHOEMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

The first one to knock down, I understood Mr. Ferrara to be saying they want to introduce the fact that the account was closed because somebody may have told Mr. Duncan that it was because of the Clorox trade, although he denies it, but that no one said that to Mr. Walters. So all you have here is that somewhere somebody up the chain had an idea that something was suspicious and maybe it was told to Mr. Duncan, but it never went to Mr. Walters, and therefore is not relevant to anything that somewhere along the train in a hearsay chain somebody voiced a suspicion. I understand if it had been expressed to Mr. Walters and they could show that was relevant to something, maybe they would be in business. But it was not explained to Mr. Walters, and therefore that fact has no relevance.

And it's ridiculous to call a witness to have him say he doesn't remember telling Mr. Walters, and then get in a bad act by impeaching your witness with something that the defendant didn't know. That's the first one I want to knock down.

The second one, for the first time in my life I wish I was a securities lawyer. But I'm not. But here's what I understand. Mr. Icahn was not an insider of Clorox. And so --

THE COURT: Understood.

MR. SCHOEMAN: -- the government has now abandoned the idea that there was anything -- and there has been many theories floated over the years that maybe he was making a tender offer, which he wasn't doing, but maybe it is something else.

They have now abandoned the idea that it was something owed to Clorox. What they're now saying, without any support, that maybe this was a misappropriation of Mr. Icahn of information belonging to his company. But they're completely unable to suggest that Mr. Walters, in talking to Mr. Icahn, if Mr. Icahn had said, "Hey, I'm buying Clorox," that Mr. Walters would say "Ah-ha. Carl Icahn is misappropriating information from Icahn Enterprises and giving me a tip, even though Mr. Icahn is not an insider at Clorox."

And just to round the whole thing out, two more points, which is Mr. Walters is a friend of Carl Icahn's.

THE COURT: Say that again?

MR. SCHOEMAN: Mr. Walters is a friend of Carl Icahn's. They talk to each other all the time and Mr. Walters has a long history of investing in stocks that Mr. Icahn has publicly announced he's interested in. So to put what Mr. Ferrara wants to do in context would open the door to a whole other thing.

And finally, your Honor, I don't believe the government has turned over any of the tens of thousands of

pages that they and the SEC amassed in trying to investigate this that we would need to have in order to have a fair opportunity to rebut this allegation.

THE COURT: Anything you want to say, Mr. Ferrara?

MR. FERRARA: Your Honor, I think the whole chain

comes in. The Wells Fargo closure is not, we believe is not

hearsay because it goes to the fact of the closing of the

account. But even if that comes out of the story, your

Honor --

THE COURT: The fact of the closing of the account is one thing. Testimony about the reasons for the closing of the account is a different thing. And that has a hearsay quality to it that someone in the chain of command at Wells Fargo made some kind of a determination that something bad had happened here. I don't see where that has probative value, so as far as I'm concerned, that's out. As well as any business about being evasive with an FBI agent.

MR. FERRARA: That's fine, your Honor. So my understanding is then the defense will not inquire about that either, right? So I won't elicit it on direct.

And your Honor, I wasn't in any way trying to say because these other things, you know, because of these other things, this must come in. I wanted to describe the story for the Court and have you understand, have your Honor understand what we plan to prove. I understand your Honor's ruling. It

doesn't change the fact that we have an instance here of suspicious trading, we think we're entitled to get it in to rebut some of the assertions that Mr. Berke made in his opening.

THE COURT: Well, not only made in his opening, but I gather from Mr. Schoeman's representations we'll be hearing more about it during the course of this trial. Which is not necessarily a bad thing, I am just simply saying that I think in my mind it helps the government on the first point, which is the door opening.

Now, I do want to hear more from the government as to what the basis for the assertion — you're going to have to look at the SEC filings — what the basis for the assertion is that Mr. Walters would have reason to know that Mr. Icahn was breaching his fiduciary duty to his shareholders in disclosing this information. I'm reserving on this and I'll hear more.

What else?

Okay. Have a great evening. See you tomorrow. (Adjourned until March 28, 2017, at 10 a.m.)

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	THOMAS C. DAVIS
4	Cross By Mr. Berke
5	Redirect By Ms. Cucinella
6	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS
7	Exhibit No. Received
8	507-в
9	507
10	GX1917-D
11	605-в
12	466
13	3501-76, pages 88 through 90,1349
14	2104
15	DEFENDANT EXHIBITS
16	Exhibit No. Received
17	4695
18	4663
19	4158
20	4933
21	4053
22	4048, first two pages with redaction,1217
23	468, 471
24	283-A
25	289

1	109	
2	100	
3	303	
4	107	
5	5294	
6	2196	
7	1002-A	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		